

CITY OF GLENDALE
5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, Wisconsin 53209

This meeting is in person, but will be broadcast over Zoom to
accommodate residents with COVID concerns.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82781177295?pwd=S1djdzlRNGJPC0pqMERiMXREZjJWZz09>

Meeting ID: 827 8117 7295

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

AGENDA—COMMON COUNCIL MEETING

Monday, June 14, 2021
6:00 p.m.

1. Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance.
2. Public Comment. Glendale residents, business owners and property owners are invited to speak to the Council on items that are not on the agenda and are within the City's ability to regulate or control.
3. Consent Agenda:
 - a) Adoption of Minutes of Meeting held on May 24, 2021.
 - b) Review and Approval of Accounts Payable.
 - c) Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Glendale Resolution authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.
4. New Business: (The public may speak to the Council prior to the beginning of deliberations on these items, provided they have notified their respective Alderperson or the Mayor in advance of this meeting).
 - a) Resolution Honoring Bruce Cole for His Decades of Service to the City of Glendale.
 - b) Resolution Recognizing June 2021 as LGBTQ+ Pride Month in the City of Glendale.
 - c) Schedule Public Hearing for Monday, July 12, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. for a Request to Rezone 6076 and 6100 North Port Washington Road (Tax Key Parcels 163-8993-000 and 163-8992-000) from B-1, F2 District to B-1, F1 District.
 - d) Recommendation from the Library Review Committee to commence marketing and education on the City of Glendale decision to exit the North Shore Library Agreement.
 - e) Recommendation from the North Shore Water Commission for approval of a Joint Resolution Authorizing the Sale of Land.
 - f) Review and approval of Application for Transient Merchant Permit filed by Tree-Ripe Fruit Co.
 - g) Review and Acceptance of Tree Donation from Mrs. Cathy Borman.
 - h) Review and Approval of Bid for the 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation
 - i) Review and Approval of Wisconsin Department of Transportation Traffic Mitigation Grant/Agreement for 2021.

- j) Application for change of zoning 5201 N. Port Washington Road from B-1 Business and Commercial to Planned Unit Development for Chick-fil-A–Referral to Plan Commission.
- 5. Commission, Committee, Board Reports: (This is an Opportunity for Council Members to Report on their Respective Committees, Commissions, Boards of which they serve as a Member.)
- 6. Adjournment.

Upon reasonable notice, efforts will be made to accommodate the needs of persons with disabilities.

3A–3C

6/14//2021

CONSENT AGENDA

CITY OF GLENDALE COMMON COUNCIL

Meeting Minutes

May 24, 2021

Regular meeting of the Common Council of the City of Glendale held via Zoom conference call.

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kennedy at 6:00 p.m.

Roll Call: Present: Mayor Bryan Kennedy, Ald. Tomika Vukovic, Jim Daugherty, John Gelhard, Richard Wiese, Steve Schmelzling, and JoAnn Shaw. Absent: None.

Other Officials Present: Rachel Safstrom, City Administrator; John Fuchs, City Attorney; Mark Ferguson, Police Chief; Todd Stuebe, Community Development & Planning Director; Mustafa Emir, City Engineer; Charlie Imig, Director of Public Works; and Megan Humitz, City Clerk

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

The members of the Common Council, City staff, and all those present pledged allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

OPEN MEETING NOTICE.

Administrator Safstrom advised that in accordance with the Open Meeting Law, the local news media was advised on Thursday, May 20, 2021, of the date of this meeting; that the Agenda was posted on the official bulletin board of City Hall, the Glendale Police Department, and the North Shore Library; that copies of the Agenda were made available to the general public in the Municipal Building and the Police Department; and those persons who requested, were sent copies of the Agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENT.

No public comment.

CONSENT AGENDA.

I. File No. _____

Adoption of Minutes of Meeting held on May 10, 2021, Approval of Monthly Reports, and Payment 1 to Mid City Corporation for work completed on the 2021 Watermain Replacement Project.

Motion by Ald. Gelhard, seconded by Ald. Vukovic, to adopt the minutes of the meeting held on May 10, 2021, approve Monthly Reports, and approve Payment 1 to Mid City Corporation for work completed on the 2021 Watermain Replacement Project. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS.

II. File No. _____

Review and approval of three-year contract for Environmental Services – GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. – 2200 W. Bender Road.

Administrator Safstrom stated until 2021, the City of Glendale had utilized Ayres Associates Inc. as a contracted consultant for environmental services related to the Bender Road Landfill. As was done with other engineering and contracted services, Staff sent out a request for proposal for environmental services in April. Three proposals were received. Each of the firms received a list of six tasks that required subsequent pricing. Staff evaluated the proposals based on the following: qualifications and experience with similar work, past performances and references, understanding of Glendale, experience and diversity of the team, proximity of project manager to Glendale, and cost. The RFP cost results for the three-year contract for Environmental Services came in from three firms with excellent proposals – GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc., Cedar Corporation, and Kapur, Inc. Staff is recommending the selection of GZA Geo Environmental, Inc. as they were the lowest bidder and have performed similar work for the City of Glendale previously. This work included work for hazardous material investigation, the DPW site investigation tank closure and removal, the new City Hall construction, soil analysis for watermain projects and sediment sampling for the leaf collection site. The three-year contract amount is \$39,000.00

Attorney Fuchs stated many area communities have worked with GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. and work under the agreement of Massachusetts law as specified in the contract, however it is his recommendation to have the language adapted to state Wisconsin law shall govern the agreement.

Ald. Wiese questioned why Ayres Associates Inc. did not submit an RFP at this time. Administrator Safstrom clarified Ayres Associates Inc. indicated they are not a local firm and it is a time consuming process, therefore they chose not to do so.

Ald. Shaw requested clarification if the motion to approve the contract will need to include the language adaptation or if that is purely a housekeeping item. Mayor Kennedy clarified it is a housekeeping item and Administrator Safstrom will address the issue with GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. for correction.

Motion by Ald. Daugherty, seconded by Ald. Wiese, to approve three-year contract for Environmental Services – GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. – 2200 W. Bender Road. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

III. File No.

Ordinance Repealing Title 8, Chapter 1, Section 20 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Glendale Pertaining to Face Covering Requirements During the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Administrator Safstrom stated in July 2020, the Common Council approved a Resolution for face masks. In August 2020, the State of Wisconsin implemented a face mask mandate. In February 2021, the City of Glendale implemented an ordinance requiring face masks. On May 1, 2021, the emergency declaration for the COVID-19 pandemic was rescinded. As a result, the ordinance was determined to no longer be in effect. Businesses still have the right to require a mask upon entry, should they choose to do so, however this is no longer mandated by the City.

Mayor Kennedy added the directive comes from the Clerk's office as a result of the previous Council meeting, and cleans up the ordinances at this time. It was necessary to have an emergency order in place for the ordinance to be active, and without the order in place the ordinance becomes extraneous language. The City of Glendale currently has approximately 70% of eligible residents vaccinated, and overall the North Shore is leading the area in vaccination rates.

Motion by Ald. Schmelzling, seconded by Ald. Wiese, to approve the Ordinance Repealing Title 8, Chapter 1, Section 20 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Glendale Pertaining to Face Covering Requirements During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

IV. File No.

Update and Discussion on City Owned Property 6035 N. Jean Nicolet Road.

Administrator Safstrom stated in 2010, the City received a donated piece of property. The Winston Family donated the property to be designated as "Winston Park" and use for park purposes. The City has been maintaining the lot with basic maintenance. Similar to Wingate Park, Staff is recommending the staff begin the process to budget for improvements such as a play structure, trash can, bench and signage for Winston Park.

Mayor Kennedy stated the Wingate tot lot area has a lot of trees which provide shade for the families and children, while this area is currently an open space. He recommended consideration of added trees to eventually provide some level of shade to this area as well.

Ald. Shaw stated a grant was received by previous administration for the Wingate tot lot for play equipment and a small island, however she did not have further details regarding the grant itself. Administrator Safstrom clarified City Staff will look into optional funding sources for items to be used at Winston Park.

Ald. Wiese recommended investing in trees sooner than later due to the time of growth to provide necessary shade for a park. Administrator Safstrom stated there have been numerous street trees removed due to the ash borer, and therefore the City will need to prioritize the street versus the park, however there is also an option to put out a call for donations from residents.

Ald. Schmelzling requested clarification regarding parking in the area of Winston Park. Administrator Safstrom stated it is more likely to be a neighborhood tot lot, where residents will walk or ride bikes, similar to Wingate Park.

This item was discussed for informational purposes only. No action was taken.

V. File No.

Authorization to City Administrator to post City Lots for sale – 4182, 4172, 4134, and 4100 N. Hubbard Street.

Administrator Safstrom stated over the years, the City acquired several pieces of property on N. Hubbard Street. The addresses of 4182, 4172, 4134, and 4100 N. Hubbard Street have been vacant for many years. The area is maintained by Public Works staff. There has been illegal dumping on the properties several times a year. Staff recommends the City begin the process to formally find a development that would be suitable for the area. The final sale of the lots would be subject to Council approval.

Motion by Ald. Vukovic, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to authorize the City Administrator to post City Lots for sale – 4182, 4172, 4134, and 4100 N. Hubbard Street. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VI. File No.

Review and Approval for Second Amendment to the Development Agreement with Canterbury Court LLC – 2233, 2245, 2129, and 2105-19 West Mill Road.

Administrator Safstrom stated in 2008, the Canterbury Court Development was approved by the City of Glendale. Canterbury Court was a condominium development at 2233, 2245, 2129, and 2105-19 West Mill Road. In 2010, the developer requested the single building built to be allowed to be a rental unit. The anticipation at the time was the remaining buildings would be constructed as condominiums and the first building revert back to a condominium building. Recently the property was purchased by Rory Oppenheimer. Mr. Oppenheimer has submitted a request to complete the project; however, due to economic restraints would like them all to be apartments. A draft second amendment to the development agreement has been provided allowing for the request. All other items in the development agreement would remain as is, with the only change for the units to be apartments instead of condos.

Mr. Oppenheimer was present on the Zoom call and stated he is looking forward to further working to develop the Canterbury Court Development, and making an investment in the City of Glendale.

Glendale resident Erica Sander, 6415 N Atwahl Rd., stated she has five items she would like the Council to consider. First, Mr. Oppenheimer claims that apartments are more feasible in current climate but the current climate is hot for single family units and condos. Second, she wonders if he presented a business plan to the Council similar to the previous developer, as there are differences to the development other than structural. Next, she raised the question about market rate apartments and wonders if they are consistent with the neighborhood and maintain a balance with the 2040 plan for the neighborhood and whether there is a need for more apartments or if we could use condos. Additionally, Ms. Sander would like the 2040 document to be considered for planning for housing and neighborhoods. Lastly, she does not understand why this came to Common Council and not to the Plan Commission first, and argues it should have been vetted there first since it was not done in a reasonable amount of time.

Glendale resident Fred Cohn, 2228 W Acacia Rd., stated he has served on the Plan Commission and recalls when this item was first brought for review in 2008 with several meetings with the original developer. Mr. Cohn has several concerns including: are apartments beneficial financially as there are plenty of properties currently selling at a high price, will there

be a rental office on site, and will there be a management company to oversee the property. Mr. Oppenheimer stated there will not be a specific unit designated at this time as a rental office or management office, however he personally is located locally and intends to be very hands on in his management approach.

Ald. Schmelzling raised several concerns regarding the potential Canterbury Court development. He inquired as to why the developer wanted to convert to apartments versus condos. Mr. Oppenheimer stated based on the costs of construction at this time, the costs will far exceed what he would be able to sell them for at this time, but there will be no issues with occupying the units as rentals. Administrator Safstrom added when there was a market downturn in 2010, the original developer made a decision to switch from condos to apartments. Ald. Schmelzling inquired as to what other experience Mr. Oppenheimer has with development, as he purchased this property in 2020 and whether he will be utilizing an outside agency for rental management. Mr. Oppenheimer clarified that while he recently acquired this property, he does own several other units in Milwaukee and across Wisconsin and will be present and accounting for the activity on the property. Rental management is not new to him and he will be responsible for the management of the property. Ald. Schmelzling raised the question regarding how Mr. Oppenheimer will determine fair market rents and what will be used for comparison pricing, and what the target market will be. Mr. Oppenheimer stated the units will be priced similarly to what is in existence at this time on the site and in the area. The rental rates are slightly higher, but the units are larger with more upgrades and amenities than other available options in the area. Attorney Fuchs added that fair market does not necessarily mean in the area, it means what is comparable to what is offered. Ald. Schmelzling's final concern was in regards to a lack of a connective pedestrian crosswalk on the north side of the road, and whether there will be an opportunity to have this added now that an apartment complex will be developed. Mr. Oppenheimer stated this was not part of the original plans, and there is currently a sidewalk located on the other side of Mill Road. Administrator Safstrom added she does not have the plans in front of her, but the street in question is owned by Milwaukee County and therefore the City is limited as to what can be done without working through the County.

Mayor Kennedy raised the question to Mr. Oppenheimer regarding his timeline for groundbreaking and buildouts. Mr. Oppenheimer stated he would like to get started immediately, and ideally have the next building completed within the next 12 months next to the existing building, before moving on to another building.

Ald. Shaw asked for clarification regarding construction costs being more feasible if the caliber of the units are the same as apartments as they were for condos. Mr. Oppenheimer stated the current cost is \$175-225 per foot, making the total cost \$400,000 per unit for a larger unit. It is much easier to recoup the cost in an apartment, and would not likely be possible to recoup the costs in the sale of a condo. Ald. Shaw also inquired regarding any DNR testing that would need to be done on the soil before groundbreaking. Mr. Oppenheimer stated he is currently working with the DNR on all testing and ensuring remediation is completed before construction begins. All four parcels will be tested individually. Ald. Shaw asked if once the units are labeled as apartments if they could be converted to condos at some point. Attorney Fuchs stated it would not be practical to split-use the complex, and once the building would be condos, all units should be that way and if some units are rented, the building is not considered owner-occupied.

Ald. Vukovic requested clarification regarding the need to have this item return to Plan Commission before Common Council would approve the agreement. Attorney Fuchs stated the legal categorization for apartments or condos does not change, and remains multi-family residential. Since there was no change in the zoning categorization, there was no recommendation to return it to Plan Commission. Ald. Vukovic voiced her support for having apartments in Glendale, as having an affordable option is not necessarily easy in the area. She expressed that Bayshore was supposed to be condos, however they converted to apartments as the market did not support the condo option. The switch to condos allows the developer to recoup more money, and the ongoing revenue strain will also provide a long term revenue impact.

Ald. Daugherty raised concern that he would like to see the item return to Plan Commission for review, as it has been a significant amount of time since it was initially reviewed.

Mayor Kennedy clarified the reason this item did not go to the Plan Commission prior to the Common Council was because the layout of parcels, the units, the materials being used, and all other items in the development are exactly the same as the original agreement. The only change to the plans is that the units will be called apartments instead of condos, and there were no other substantive changes. The Mayor and Administrator Safstrom therefore determined it did not merit a review by the Plan Commission, which would be looking at things such as parking, materials, façade, etc.

Ald. Wiese and Ald. Gelhard raised concern over the number of additional units being added, but only 33 parking spaces. Mr. Oppenheimer clarified there will be 40 additional units added, however each unit will have a two car garage. The 33 parking spaces are intended for visitor spaces. If there is a need for additional parking, that will be addressed as it arises.

Mayor Kennedy stated he has been to a unit on the Canterbury Court property, which currently has 8 existing units. It is a market rate opportunity in Glendale, and while the housing market is booming, there is a disparity for those who don't have the down payment but can afford a monthly payment and this would be a great opportunity for the City to offer housing stock options. The rental market is booming just as much as the housing market, and Glendale has much to offer including a desirable school district. Mayor Kennedy stated that preventing a significant development from finally being completed would be in the City's best interest when this project should have been completed a long time ago.

Ald. Schmelzling stated he would like to amend the motion to include a crosswalk. Mayor Kennedy clarified this motion cannot be made, as the road involved is a County-owned road and we do not have the jurisdiction to make motions involving County-owned property. Mayor Kennedy will recommend the City Administrator work with the County on the addition of a crosswalk, however that is the best option at this time.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Shaw, to approve the Second Amendment to the Development Agreement with Canterbury Court LLC – 2233, 2245, 2129, and 2105-19 West Mill Road. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Gelhard, Wiese, and Shaw. Noes: Ald. Daugherty and Schmelzling. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VII. File No.

Discussion and possible action on traffic safety concerns for the expansion of Port Washington Road and the Mount Royal Subdivision.

Administrator Safstrom stated in 2013, the City of Glendale worked with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WDOT) on the expansion of Port Washington Road from Bender Road to Daphne. Included in the design are the addition of two cul-de-sacs at Brentwood Lane and Apple Tree Road. As a result, much of the current traffic from the Mount Royal Subdivision onto Port Washington Road will divert to Clovernook Lane. City Engineer, Mustafa Emir, has reviewed the plans. At the time of design, he was not the City Engineer. He has indicated there is not necessarily a “need” for the cul-de-sacs; however, at the same time it would be helpful for the Mount Royal Subdivision to have a centralized traffic-controlled intersection. Staff has requested the WDOT to review the plans and include a traffic control at Clovernook and Port Washington Road. The traffic study indicates it is not necessary at this time; however, the City of Glendale can approve the addition at the City’s cost. The cost for the traffic control is estimated at \$250,000 for installation. In addition, the City could save approximately \$100,000 to eliminate the cul-de-sacs. Any additional costs would be included in the 2022 Capital Improvement Budget.

Ald. Gelhard voiced his concern with expansion of Port Washington Road, that Clovernook will become a main thoroughfare. A speed clock was previously set up on the street and of the 104 cars that were monitored, the average speed was 51-65 mph, with a top speed of 89 mph. A traffic light would be a critical item for this particular junction, especially with more people using Port Washington Road, changes to the freeway, and new businesses being built up.

Glendale resident Andrea Burns, 305 W. Clovernook Ln., expressed concern regarding the impending traffic changes, as her residence is the corner house at the intersection of Clovernook Lane and Port Washington Road, which will soon be a very busy intersection. Ms. Burns has two young children and likes to walk through the residential neighborhood. She is concerned about the high volume of traffic which will be increasing with the expansion of Port Washington Road and I-43 moving closer to her residence. Ms. Burns vocalized the need to protect the safety of the children and the elderly neighbors in the area, and the efforts that should be done to divert traffic away from her subdivision possibly towards Bender Road or Green Tree.

Mayor Kennedy expressed that while he lives in Crestwood, which is substantially larger than the Mount Royal subdivision, the addition of cul-de-sacs have brought down the traffic flow in the neighborhoods. The changes to the freeway and Port Washington Road and the impact on the neighborhoods are eminent domain, and are out of the City’s control. However, the hope is that the cul-de-sacs will reduce the ins and outs of excessive traffic and minimize it to those who typically live in the neighborhood. Ms. Burns intersection was chosen for the stoplight as it is a centrally located intersection, and as the neighborhood only has 125 homes there are limited options to funnel traffic.

Ms. Burns asked the Council for the possibility of a stop sign at the intersection of Clovernook and Brentwood. Mayor Kennedy recommended Ms. Burns reach out to Ald. Gelhard so he can work with City Staff on an evaluation to have a stop sign considered for that intersection

as well.

Ald. Wiese raised concern over the possibility that there will be two exits onto Green Bay Road and the four-lane road will converge into a two-lane road. Administrator Safstrom clarified it will remain a four-lane round all the way to Daphne.

Ald. Schmeizling inquired as to the amount of cut-through traffic in a neighborhood as a result of cul-de-sacs. Administrator Safstrom stated she does not have a traffic count available, and at this time the focus is about encouraging safe crossing with a green light at Port Washington Road and providing an exit point at Daphne.

Dr. Emir stated whenever there is a time that roads get bigger, it is important to protect residential character and Mount Royal subdivision is no different. The notion of a cul-de-sac is to isolate the neighborhood and help preserve the feeling of such as the freeway gets closer, keeping things insulated when the quality of life could be changing.

Ald. Shaw added she is grateful to be in a cul-de-sac, as it has helped preserve much of the quiet and some of the niceties in the neighborhood.

Ald. Daugherty stated Richard Maslowski was a proponent of more cul-de-sacs in the areas along Silver Spring and many residents were opposed at the time, however it has definitely made a big difference in a positive way over the long run.

Motion by Ald. Gelhard, seconded by Ald. Wiese, to authorize the City Administrator to work with the City Administrator to work with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the installation of traffic signals at Port Washington and Clovernook Lane was approved. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmeizling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VIII. File No.
Update and Discussion on American Rescue Plan Act.

Administrator Safstrom stated earlier this year we were notified that each municipality in the United States would receive Federal Funding through the American Rescue Plan Act. Funds are received in 2021 and 2022. Funds would need to be expensed by December 31, 2024. On May 10, 2021, the US Department of Treasury published additional guidance on the limitations of the broad categories in the Interim Final Rule. We still have not received final information on the total amount to be received. The last estimate is at \$1.26 million for Glendale. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue has also issued guidance on the funds as it relates to the Expenditure Restraint Program. Due to the guidance from the WDOR, the Council will be asked at a future meeting to create a Special Revenue Fund for these funds to be allocated and expenses tracked. Possible uses for the funds include public health expenditures, lead service lateral replacements, green infrastructure, and expenditures as related to infrastructure to protect from COVID spread. As more information becomes available, it will be brought back to the Council for review and approval. Staff will try to work through issues to determine the best course of action for the use of funding and will not ask for final approval from Council until a plan has been submitted to the Department of Treasury and has been approved for final costs.

Ald. Wiese raised the question regarding how each municipalities amount to be received will be determined. Administrator Safstrom stated it is based on community size and several other variables that factor into a formula set by the federal government.

This item was discussed for informational purposes only. No action was taken.

COMMISSION, COMMITTEE AND BOARD REPORTS.

There were several updates from Council members, on the activities of the various Commissions, Committees and Boards on which they serve.

CLOSED SESSION.

Motion by Ald. Gelhard, seconded by Ald. Wiese, to convene in Closed Session per Wis. Stats. §19.85(1)(g) Conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved (Moeckler v. Glendale). Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

A closed session of approximately 19 minutes was held. The Council discussed Moeckler v. Glendale.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Schmelzling, to reconvene to open session and regular order of business. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS.

No action was taken on items discussed during closed session

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, motion was made by Ald. Shaw, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to adjourn the meeting. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried and adjournment of the Common Council was ordered at 7:59 p.m., until Monday, June 14, 2021, at 6:00 p.m.

Megan Humitz
City Clerk

Recorded: May 25, 2021.



CITY OF GLENDALE
OFFICE OF THE CITY TREASURER

5909 N. Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, Wisconsin 53209-3815
Telephone (414) 228-1705

June 10, 2021

Mayor and Common Council
City of Glendale

Re: Accounts Payable Register

Honorable Mayor and Council Members:

This is to certify that the Accounts Payable Register, for your approval on June 14, 2021, has been reviewed and checked as to the dollar amount and vendor name on the register and the checks. Both have been found to be correct and ready for your approval for payment. This certification is for:

- 1) Accounts payable checks numbered 48733 to 48765 totaling \$393,834.18;
- 2) Prepaid checks numbered 2584 to 2613 and 48599 to 48708 totaling \$1,711,233.68.

Upon your approval, I will complete final processing of the Accounts Payable Register and issue the checks.

Sincerely,

CITY OF GLENDALE

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Shawn Lanser". The signature is fluid and cursive.

Shawn Lanser,
Deputy City Administrator

Attachments

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48733							
201-522.01-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	A/E GRAPHICS INC	DPW ATLAS BOOKS	646467	06/14/21	1,215.62	48733
250-522.57-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	A/E GRAPHICS INC	DPW ATLAS BOOKS	646467	06/14/21	1,215.63	48733
900-539.20-53921	OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EXPE	A/E GRAPHICS INC	DPW ATLAS BOOKS	646467	06/14/21	2,431.24	48733
Total For Check 48733						4,862.49	
Check 48734							
101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	AHLBORN EQUIPMENT IN	GLOVES	322639	06/14/21	350.74	48734
Total For Check 48734						350.74	
Check 48735							
101-520.19-55060	BUILDINGS	BATZNER PEST CONTRO	PEST CONTROL	3132022	06/14/21	59.00	48735
Total For Check 48735						59.00	
Check 48736							
204-000.00-43526	FORFEITURES - TREASURY	BRILLIANT DPI INC	CUSTOM MEMO BOOKS	36849	06/14/21	2,760.00	48736
Total For Check 48736						2,760.00	
Check 48737							
101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	COMPLETE LAWN AND L	GRASS SEED	55250	06/14/21	198.28	48737
Total For Check 48737						198.28	
Check 48738							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	EICHHORN CHRISTOPH	UNIFORM REIMBURSEMENT	Multiple	06/14/21	82.17	48738
Total For Check 48738						82.17	
Check 48739							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	FUGMAN, RHETT	UNIFORM REIMBURSEMENT	1819433	06/14/21	103.93	48739
Total For Check 48739						103.93	
Check 48740							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	GALLS LLC	UNIFORMS FOR OFFICER SANDLER	Multiple	06/14/21	313.27	48740
Total For Check 48740						313.27	
Check 48741							
101-520.20-55070	VEHICLES	GENERAL FIRE EQUIPME	SQUAD 2 REPAIRS	145469	06/14/21	450.00	48741
Total For Check 48741						450.00	
Check 48742							
201-522.01-55090	SEWER SYSTEMS REPAIRS	GLOBE CONTRACTORS I	VARIOUS LOCATIONS - SANITARY	Multiple	06/14/21	68,312.33	48742
250-522.57-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	GLOBE CONTRACTORS I	VARIOUS LOCATIONS - STORM	1985	06/14/21	3,051.18	48742
900-536.73-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	GLOBE CONTRACTORS I	VARIOUS LOCATIONS - WATER	Multiple	06/14/21	151,342.52	48742
Total For Check 48742						222,706.03	
Check 48743							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	GUSE ERIC F	UNIFORM REIMBURSEMENT	052021	06/14/21	36.75	48743
Total For Check 48743						36.75	
Check 48744							
101-520.19-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	IRON MOUNTAIN SECUR	SECURE SHREDDING	DRCB187	06/14/21	225.44	48744
Total For Check 48744						225.44	
Check 48745							
101-520.19-55060	BUILDINGS	K&L HEATING & A/C INC	INSTALL BOILER PARTS	Multiple	06/14/21	3,516.50	48745

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48745							
			Total For Check 48745			3,516.50	
Check 48746 101-000.00-46212	TOWING	LEADER TOWING & TRAN	ALD3344 CASE 21-6748	52499	06/14/21	135.00	48746
			Total For Check 48746			135.00	
Check 48747 221-522.63-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	LEGACY RECYCLING	ELECTRONIC RECYCLING	2410	06/14/21	150.00	48747
			Total For Check 48747			150.00	
Check 48748 101-522.52-55020	OTHER EQUIPMENT	LFGEORGE INC	STUMP GRINDING SUPPLIES	IC78829	06/14/21	978.80	48748
			Total For Check 48748			978.80	
Check 48749 101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	LYNCH TODD M	UNIFORM REIMBURSEMENT	Multiple	06/14/21	158.10	48749
			Total For Check 48749			158.10	
Check 48750 101-520.19-52140	TRAINING AND EDUCATION	MATC - BUSINESS OFFIC	INSTRUCTOR DEVELOPMENT; OFFICER	Multiple	06/14/21	456.84	48750
			Total For Check 48750			456.84	
Check 48751 101-520.19-52140	TRAINING AND EDUCATION	MATC ATTN-BUSINESS O	RECERTIFICATION FOR OFFICER BARTL	62344	06/14/21	40.04	48751
			Total For Check 48751			40.04	
Check 48752 201-522.01-54020	OTHER FEES	MILW METROPLTN SEWE	SEWER USER CHARGE - 05/31/2021 BILLI	UC 000564	06/14/21	85,917.14	48752
			Total For Check 48752			85,917.14	
Check 48753 101-522.61-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	MINOR'S GARDEN CENT	TREES	0343348	06/14/21	4,003.00	48753
			Total For Check 48753			4,003.00	
Check 48754 900-500.00-06020	PURCHASED WATER	NORTH SHORE WATER C	MONTHLY OPERATIONAL EXPENSE	GLEN_OPS_06_20	06/14/21	58,489.95	48754
			Total For Check 48754			58,489.95	
Check 48755 101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH	PRE-EMPLOYMENT TESTING FOR OFFIC	103370706	06/14/21	371.00	48755
			Total For Check 48755			371.00	
Check 48756 204-520.20-55046	EQUIPMENT - TREASURY	SAFE RESTRAINTS INC	WRAP RESISTANT DEVICES	CH112520GLPD	06/14/21	2,994.24	48756
			Total For Check 48756			2,994.24	
Check 48757 900-539.30-53903	CUSTOMER RECORDS	SCHAFER, NATHAN	MILEAGE DELIVER 05/31/2021 WATER BIL	2021.06.01	06/14/21	10.64	48757
			Total For Check 48757			10.64	
Check 48758 101-518.12-55060 101-522.53-53190 270-526.70-54020	BUILDINGS OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE OTHER FEES	SEBERT LANDSCAPING SEBERT LANDSCAPING SEBERT LANDSCAPING	FERTILIZER APPLICATION FERTILIZER APPLICATION FERTILIZER APPLICATION	S253682 S253682 S2537347	06/14/21 06/14/21 06/14/21	160.14 310.86 298.00	48758 48758 48758

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48758							
			Total For Check 48758			769.00	
Check 48759							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	SILK SCREEN SPECIALIS	VELCRO NAME TAGS	25491	06/14/21	411.00	48759
			Total For Check 48759			411.00	
Check 48760							
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	STREICHER'S INC	UNIFORMS FOR OFFICER SIMON	Multiple	06/14/21	1,263.82	48760
			Total For Check 48760			1,263.82	
Check 48762							
101-522.56-53150	SIGNS/BOARDS/BARRICADES	TRAFFIC & PARKING CO I	SIGNS	I696975	06/14/21	156.70	48762
			Total For Check 48762			156.70	
Check 48763							
102-000.00-21550	UNION DUES	TREASURER GLENDALE	UNION DUES	05192021	06/14/21	888.00	48763
			Total For Check 48763			888.00	
Check 48764							
250-522.57-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	VEOLIA WATER NORTH A	MAY 2021 DEBRIS	90284636	06/14/21	544.03	48764
			Total For Check 48764			544.03	
Check 48765							
101-520.19-52140	TRAINING AND EDUCATION	WAUKESHA CO. TECHNIC	TRAINING FOR SGT. HOFFMANN, OFFICE	S0742830	06/14/21	432.28	48765
			Total For Check 48765			432.28	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Fund Totals:							
			Fund 101 GENERAL FUND			14,213.66	
			Fund 102 PAYROLL CLEARING ACCOUNT			888.00	
			Fund 201 SEWER UTILITY			155,445.09	
			Fund 204 POLICE ACQUISITION FUND			5,754.24	
			Fund 221 RECYCLING FUND			150.00	
			Fund 250 STORM WATER UTILITY			4,810.84	
			Fund 270 MASLOWSKI PARK FUND			298.00	
			Fund 900 WATER UTILITY			212,274.35	
			Total For All Funds:			393,834.18	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 2584							
102-000.00-10003	BMO BANK PAYROLL	BMO HARRIS BANK	PAYROLL DEPOSIT	04302021	05/06/21	142,825.75	2584
			Total For Check 2584			142,825.75	
Check 2585							
101-000.00-20123	SECTION #125 MEDICAL REIM	DIVERSIFIED BENEFIT SE	FLEXIBLE SPENDING REIMBURSEMENT	050621	05/06/21	21.39	2585
			Total For Check 2585			21.39	
Check 2586							
102-000.00-21511	FEDERAL TAX WITHHOLDING	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	04302021	05/06/21	23,631.97	2586
102-000.00-21513	SOCIAL SECURITY WITHHOL	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	04302021	05/06/21	28,227.57	2586
102-000.00-21514	MEDICARE WITHHOLDING	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	04302021	05/06/21	6,601.68	2586
			Total For Check 2586			58,461.22	
Check 2587							
270-526.70-54060	UTILITIES	GLENDALE WATER UTILI	WATER BILLS	043021	05/06/21	432.48	2587
			Total For Check 2587			432.48	
Check 2588							
101-518.16-53040	POSTAGE	HASLER INC.	POSTAGE METER RESET 4-30-21	043021	05/06/21	3,000.00	2588
			Total For Check 2588			3,000.00	
Check 2589							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	NO SHORE BANK FSB	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	04302021	05/06/21	3,240.00	2589
			Total For Check 2589			3,240.00	
Check 2590							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	VOYA RETIREMENT INS/A	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	04302021	05/06/21	975.00	2590
			Total For Check 2590			975.00	
Check 2591							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	WISCONSIN DEFERRED	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	04302021	05/06/21	5,743.62	2591
			Total For Check 2591			5,743.62	
Check 2592							
102-000.00-21512	STATE TAX WITHHOLDING	WISCONSIN DEPT. OF RE	STATE WITHHOLDING	05102021	05/11/21	11,413.66	2592
			Total For Check 2592			11,413.66	
Check 2593							
248-000.00-12002	Refund: MR Unapplied Payment	CITY OF GLENDALE	APPLY TO CIB FEES IN CASH RECEIPTIN	05/13/2021	05/13/21	45.00	2593
			Total For Check 2593			45.00	
Check 2594							
101-000.00-20123	SECTION #125 MEDICAL REIM	DIVERSIFIED BENEFIT SE	FLEXIBLE SPENDING REIMBURSEMENT	05102021	05/13/21	135.50	2594
			Total For Check 2594			135.50	
Check 2595							
270-000.00-46721	PARK AND RECREATION FEE	PAYMENT SERVICE NET	REFUND REM PARK RENTAL DEPOSIT - Z	051121REF	05/13/21	100.00	2595
			Total For Check 2595			100.00	
Check 2596							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	RJ HEATING & A/C LLC	APPLY OVER PAYMENT OF RECEIPT 2446	042221PERMIT	05/13/21	7.00	2596
			Total For Check 2596			7.00	
Check 2597							

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 2597							
102-000.00-10003	BMO BANK PAYROLL	BMO HARRIS BANK	PAYROLL DEPOSIT	05192021	05/25/21	145,909.19	2597
Total For Check 2597						145,909.19	
Check 2598							
101-518.04-53200	WATER	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	ELECTION FOOD	042021ELECTIONF	05/25/21	560.72	2598
101-518.16-53040	POSTAGE	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	POSTAGE; CERTIFIED MAIL	POSTAGE421	05/25/21	11.80	2598
101-518.16-53190	YEARLY ZOOM	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	MEDIA	042021MEDIA	05/25/21	160.03	2598
101-518.16-54030	DYN	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	WEBSITE	042021WEBSITE	05/25/21	445.97	2598
101-520.19-52120	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	UNIFORMS AND ID CARDS	2120042021	05/25/21	523.38	2598
101-520.19-52140	TRAINING AND EDUCATION	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	TRAINING LODGING, CRITICAL INCIDENT,	21400421	05/25/21	2,024.68	2598
101-520.19-53010	OFFICE SUPPLIES	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	OFFICE SUPPLIES; RECORDABLE MEDIA	301042021	05/25/21	407.02	2598
101-520.19-54020	OTHER FEES	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	NOTARY RENEWAL FEES FOR CAPTAIN B	402042021	05/25/21	50.00	2598
101-520.20-58150	LAB SUPPLIES	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	BAGS FOR JAIL AND CUSTOM EVIDENCE	815042021	05/25/21	555.75	2598
101-520.21-54080	INFORMATION SERVICES	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	MICRO TREND RENEWAL, USB, ACCURIN	4080421	05/25/21	201.89	2598
101-522.51-53010	WEBCAM	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	WEBCAM	042021AMAZ1	05/25/21	19.99	2598
101-522.52-53170	GAS, OIL, LUBRICANTS, TIRE	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	ANTI-LOCK BRAKES	Multiple	05/25/21	238.53	2598
101-522.52-55020	FLEX HONE TOOL	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	FLEX HONE TOOL	042021AMAZ	05/25/21	14.62	2598
101-522.58-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	MIFLEET	042021MIFLEET	05/25/21	239.70	2598
205-520.21-55051	K-9 EXPENSE	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	BECHLER TRAINING:WLECHA CONFERE	042021K9	05/25/21	175.00	2598
205-520.21-55052	CRIME PREVENTION EXPENS	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	REPLACEMENT PARTS GYM	CP42021	05/25/21	11.65	2598
250-522.57-53160	INLET BASKET	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	AEC - INLET BASKET	042021AEC	05/25/21	308.00	2598
270-526.70-52510	WHITE VINEGAR	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	PICKNSAVE FOR PARK	042021PICKNSAVE	05/25/21	103.84	2598
270-526.70-52511	WINE	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	TOTAL WINE	042021TOTALWINE	05/25/21	44.34	2598
270-526.70-54020	MINORS GARDEN CENTER	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	MINORS GARDEN CENTER	042021MINORS	05/25/21	90.00	2598
275-518.10-53040	REED MOTION APPEAL 12/17,	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	FEDEX	042021FEDEX	05/25/21	18.78	2598
900-539.20-53930	MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL E	CARD MEMBER SERVICE	WISCONSIN RURAL WT	042021ACI	05/25/21	54.95	2598
Total For Check 2598						6,260.64	
Check 2604							
102-000.00-21511	FEDERAL TAX WITHHOLDING	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	05192021	05/25/21	23,623.25	2604
102-000.00-21513	SOCIAL SECURITY WITHHOL	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	05192021	05/25/21	28,465.72	2604
102-000.00-21514	MEDICARE WITHHOLDING	EFTPS	FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT	05192021	05/25/21	6,657.24	2604
Total For Check 2604						58,746.21	
Check 2605							
102-000.00-21530	SECTION 125 HEALTH INSUR	EMPLOYEE TRUST FUND	HEALTH INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05212021	05/25/21	115,584.94	2605
248-524.41-56035	HEALTH -HOSP INS RETIREE	EMPLOYEE TRUST FUND	HEALTH INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05212021	05/25/21	54,295.83	2605
702-000.00-22004	HEALTH INSURANCE - RETIR	EMPLOYEE TRUST FUND	HEALTH INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05212021	05/25/21	12,956.23	2605
Total For Check 2605						182,837.00	
Check 2606							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	NO SHORE BANK FSB	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	05192021	05/25/21	3,240.00	2606
Total For Check 2606						3,240.00	
Check 2607							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	VOYA RETIREMENT INS/A	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	05192021	05/25/21	975.00	2607
Total For Check 2607						975.00	
Check 2608							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	WISCONSIN DEFERRED	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION	05192021	05/25/21	5,605.44	2608
Total For Check 2608						5,605.44	
Check 2609							
101-520.19-54020	OTHER FEES	WISCONSIN DEPT OF TR	WINTVP015052402	051721	05/25/21	219.00	2609

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 2609							
Total For Check 2609						219.00	
Check 2610							
101-520.19-54020	OTHER FEES	WISCONSIN DEPT OF TR	WINTVP015062733	051821	05/25/21	15.00	2610
Total For Check 2610						15.00	
Check 2611							
102-000.00-21512	STATE TAX WITHHOLDING	WISCONSIN DEPT. OF RE	STATE WITHHOLDING	05192021	05/25/21	11,551.71	2611
Total For Check 2611						11,551.71	
Check 2612							
101-000.00-20123	SECTION #125 MEDICAL REIM	DIVERSIFIED BENEFIT SE	FLEXIBLE SPENDING REIMBURSEMENT	05262021	05/27/21	55.00	2612
Total For Check 2612						55.00	
Check 2613							
102-000.00-21520	RETIREMENT WITHHOLDING	WISCONSIN RETIREMEN	WISCONSIN RETIREMENT - APRIL 2021	05262021	05/27/21	83,760.58	2613
Total For Check 2613						83,760.58	
Check 48599							
101-520.20-51318	HEALTH SAVINGS	LAW HEATH TRUST	VEBA PAYMENT - 2020	2214	05/06/21	2,770.00	48599
Total For Check 48599						2,770.00	
Check 48600							
101-518.16-54030	COMPUTER SERVICES & EXP	CTACCESS INC	LSAP RENEWAL	40921	05/06/21	2,311.20	48600
Total For Check 48600						2,311.20	
Check 48601							
701-000.00-23002	CURRENT YEAR TAX OVERPA	FIELD F LEHMANN	2020 TAX REFUND-LOTTERY CREDIT	40753	05/06/21	199.21	48601
Total For Check 48601						199.21	
Check 48602							
101-518.08-54020	OTHER FEES	FUCHS & BOYLE S C	MONTHLY LEGAL SERVICES	12629	05/06/21	12,133.33	48602
Total For Check 48602						12,133.33	
Check 48603							
101-520.19-52140	TRAINING AND EDUCATION	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	TRAINING SUPPLIES	8011521	05/06/21	142.24	48603
Total For Check 48603						142.24	
Check 48604							
101-520.19-53050	HOUSEKEEPING AND JANITO	ITU ABSORBTECH INC	MAT SERVICES	7686649	05/06/21	62.69	48604
101-522.53-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	ITU ABSORBTECH INC	MATS	7686650	05/06/21	66.02	48604
Total For Check 48604						128.71	
Check 48605							
101-518.08-54020	OTHER FEES	LAND TITLE SERVICES IN	LAND TITLE DOCUMENTS 21301018M	629301	05/06/21	120.00	48605
Total For Check 48605						120.00	
Check 48606							
101-518.05-51380	MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT	LI, JIALIN	DAILY DEPOSIT MILEAGE REIMBURSEME	043021JL	05/06/21	32.12	48606
Total For Check 48606						32.12	
Check 48607							
275-000.00-45110	FINES - BAYSIDE	MILW CNTY TREASURER	COUNTY SURCHARGES APRIL 2021 - VIL	043021-1	05/06/21	590.55	48607

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48607							
Total For Check 48607						590.55	
Check 48608							
275-000.00-45140	FINES - GLENDALE	MILW CNTY TREASURER	COUNTY SURCHARGES NSMC APRIL 202	043021	05/06/21	6,361.62	48608
Total For Check 48608						6,361.62	
Check 48609							
102-000.00-21553	HEALTH SAVINGS	NORTH SHORE BANK-HR	HRA CONTRIBUTION 05/10/2021 PAYROLL	04302021	05/06/21	250.00	48609
Total For Check 48609						250.00	
Check 48610							
201-522.01-55090	SEWER SYSTEMS REPAIRS	NORTH SHORE ENGINEE	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE FLOW OBS	9661	05/06/21	3,495.00	48610
Total For Check 48610						3,495.00	
Check 48611							
420-540.40-58005	WATER UTILITY CAPITAL PRO	NORTH SHORE WATER C	VIDEO UPGRADE	Multiple	05/06/21	11,970.04	48611
Total For Check 48611						11,970.04	
Check 48612							
101-518.04-54130	RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT	RICOH USA INC	MAY21 COPIER LEASE	9028861159	05/06/21	194.03	48612
101-520.19-53055	OFFICE MACH MAIN CONTRA	RICOH USA INC	MAY21 COPIER LEASE	9028861159	05/06/21	259.99	48612
101-522.53-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	RICOH USA INC	MAY21 COPIER LEASE	9028861159	05/06/21	140.05	48612
101-528.15-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	RICOH USA INC	MAY21 COPIER LEASE	9028861159	05/06/21	143.74	48612
275-518.10-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	RICOH USA INC	MAY21 COPIER LEASE	9028861159	05/06/21	96.33	48612
Total For Check 48612						834.14	
Check 48613							
101-518.12-54070	TELEPHONE	SAFSTROM, RACHEL	MAY CELL PHONE	052021	05/06/21	55.48	48613
Total For Check 48613						55.48	
Check 48614							
275-000.00-45140	FINES - GLENDALE	STATE OF WI CONTROLL	STATE NSMC SURCHARGES APRIL 2021	043021	05/06/21	25,479.94	48614
Total For Check 48614						25,479.94	
Check 48615							
275-000.00-45110	FINES - BAYSIDE	STATE OF WI CONTROLL	STATE SURCHARGES APRIL 2021 - VILLA	043021-1	05/06/21	1,832.51	48615
Total For Check 48615						1,832.51	
Check 48616							
101-520.21-54080	INFORMATION SERVICES	U S CELLULAR INC	CELL SERVICE	0436164930	05/06/21	427.75	48616
Total For Check 48616						427.75	
Check 48617							
275-000.00-45110	FINES - BAYSIDE	VILLAGE OF BAYSIDE	APRIL 21 NORTH SHORE MUNI COURT FI	043021	05/06/21	3,168.71	48617
275-000.00-45140	FINES - GLENDALE	VILLAGE OF BAYSIDE	APRIL 21 NORTH SHORE MUNI COURT FI	043021	05/06/21	2,194.79	48617
Total For Check 48617						5,363.50	
Check 48618							
420-540.40-58079	TRAFFIC ALTERATIONS	WISCONSIN DEPT OF TR	I-43 NORTH SOUTH FREEWAY PROJECT	Multiple	05/06/21	8,692.30	48618
Total For Check 48618						8,692.30	
Check 48619							
102-000.00-21580	GARNISHMENT DEDUCTION P	WISCONSIN SCTF	FAMILY SUPPORT	04302021	05/06/21	268.63	48619
Total For Check 48619						268.63	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48620							
270-526.70-54060	UTILITIES	AT&T	PHONE SERVICE	414540134605 21	05/11/21	208.82	48620
Total For Check 48620						208.82	
Check 48621							
102-000.00-21590	LONG TERM DISABILITY	HARTFORD, THE	LTD MAY 2021	375914931649	05/11/21	645.91	48621
Total For Check 48621						645.91	
Check 48622							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	ICMA RETIREMENT TRUS	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION 05/10/2021	05102021	05/11/21	375.00	48622
Total For Check 48622						375.00	
Check 48623							
102-000.00-21541	LIFE INS - BASIC	SECURIAN FINANCIAL G	LIFE INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05102021	05/11/21	849.27	48623
102-000.00-21542	LIFE INS - SUPPLEMENT	SECURIAN FINANCIAL G	LIFE INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05102021	05/11/21	402.27	48623
102-000.00-21543	LIFE INS - ADDITIONAL	SECURIAN FINANCIAL G	LIFE INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05102021	05/11/21	1,150.07	48623
102-000.00-21544	LIFE INS - SPOUSE/DEPENDE	SECURIAN FINANCIAL G	LIFE INSURANCE JUNE 2021	05102021	05/11/21	112.00	48623
Total For Check 48623						2,513.61	
Check 48624							
101-522.55-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	U S CELLULAR INC	DPW VEHICLE CELL SERVICE	0436416938	05/11/21	220.14	48624
Total For Check 48624						220.14	
Check 48625							
101-522.59-54060	UTILITIES	WE ENERGIES	CITY HALL 14 GROUP BILL ENERGY CHA	Multiple	05/11/21	47,182.95	48625
Total For Check 48625						47,182.95	
Check 48626							
101-522.52-53170	GAS, OIL, LUBRICANTS, TIRE	WEX BANK	EXXON FUEL STATEMENT APRIL 2021	71433816	05/11/21	4,198.07	48626
Total For Check 48626						4,198.07	
Check 48627							
101-518.12-54060	UTILITIES	WE ENERGIES	UTILITY BILL	043021 CH	05/12/21	5,291.80	48627
101-522.53-54060	UTILITIES	WE ENERGIES	UTILITY BILL	043021 CH	05/12/21	1,242.33	48627
101-522.53-54180	HEAT - FUEL	WE ENERGIES	UTILITY BILL	043021 CH	05/12/21	978.71	48627
101-522.59-54060	UTILITIES	WE ENERGIES	2060 W BENDER RD STREET LIGHT SVC	Multiple	05/12/21	2,817.38	48627
270-526.70-54060	UTILITIES	WE ENERGIES	UTILITY BILL	043021 CH	05/12/21	685.62	48627
900-536.62-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	WE ENERGIES	UTILITY BILL	043021 CH	05/12/21	1,514.68	48627
Total For Check 48627						12,530.52	
Check 48628							
701-000.00-23002	CURRENT YEAR TAX OVERPA	ALBERT & XIAOHUA SHA	2020 TAX REFUND	45687	05/13/21	1.55	48628
Total For Check 48628						1.55	
Check 48629							
250-522.57-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	ALL-WAYS CONTRACTOR	TOPSOIL	48499	05/13/21	462.50	48629
Total For Check 48629						462.50	
Check 48630							
101-522.52-55020	OTHER EQUIPMENT	ANDREW AUTOMOTIVE	BATTERY	174239	05/13/21	156.88	48630
Total For Check 48630						156.88	
Check 48631							
701-000.00-23002	CURRENT YEAR TAX OVERPA	ANDREW COOK	2020 TAX REFUND	45805	05/13/21	43.90	48631

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48631			Total For Check 48631			43.90	
Check 48632 275-000.00-45140	PENALTIES ASSESSED ON PA	BLAKE, JOSEPH DANIEL	OWI REFUND DUE, PENALTIES ASSESSE	05072021	05/13/21	811.00	48632
			Total For Check 48632			811.00	
Check 48633 101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	COMPLETE LAWN AND L	MULCH	54300	05/13/21	334.00	48633
			Total For Check 48633			334.00	
Check 48634 270-526.70-52510	FOOD/BEVERAGE STOCK	GORDON FOOD SERVICE	FOOD	Multiple	05/13/21	780.09	48634
			Total For Check 48634			780.09	
Check 48635 101-518.12-55060	BUILDINGS	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	55.93	48635
101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	95.80	48635
101-522.56-53150	SIGNS/BOARDS/BARRICADES	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	31.62	48635
101-522.61-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	21.08	48635
270-526.70-54020	OTHER FEES	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	38.10	48635
900-536.73-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	APRIL STATEMENT	040521	05/13/21	60.69	48635
			Total For Check 48635			303.22	
Check 48636 900-000.00-14210	10-WATER USAGE	HOME PATH FINANCIAL L.	UB refund for account: 1-07-01230-02	04/07/2021	05/13/21	216.06	48636
			Total For Check 48636			216.06	
Check 48637 250-522.57-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	MACQUEEN EQUIPMENT	PARTS	P19097	05/13/21	128.72	48637
			Total For Check 48637			128.72	
Check 48638 101-000.00-23410	DEPOSIT - OTHER AGENCY B	MILWAUKEE COUNTY CL	BAIL FOR JEFFREY RANDALL SWERNOF	21-5757	05/13/21	150.00	48638
			Total For Check 48638			150.00	
Check 48639 101-518.12-55060	BUILDINGS	MINOR'S GARDEN CENT	MULCH	Multiple	05/13/21	446.40	48639
			Total For Check 48639			446.40	
Check 48640 101-522.59-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	OUTDOOR LIGHTING CO	INSURANCE CLAIM - GREEN BAY & MILL	9056	05/13/21	13,933.70	48640
			Total For Check 48640			13,933.70	
Check 48641 101-518.04-54130	RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT	RICOH USA INC	C4500 & C6000 MAINTENANCE CONTRAC	5061904523	05/13/21	218.15	48641
101-528.15-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	RICOH USA INC	C4500 & C6000 MAINTENANCE CONTRAC	5061904523	05/13/21	209.40	48641
			Total For Check 48641			427.55	
Check 48642 101-520.27-53010	LAMINATING BADGES	STAPLES	DPW AND INSPECTION SUPPLIES	3474600387	05/13/21	19.99	48642
101-522.51-53010	MANILA ENVELOPES	STAPLES	DPW AND INSPECTION SUPPLIES	3474600387	05/13/21	64.91	48642
			Total For Check 48642			84.90	
Check 48643							

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48643							
101-522.59-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	TRAFFIC & PARKING CO I	ANNUAL TRAFFIC SIGNAL PREVENTATIV	I695237	05/13/21	4,175.75	48643
Total For Check 48643						4,175.75	
Check 48644							
101-520.19-54070	TELEPHONE	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	734.19	48644
201-522.01-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	79.50	48644
205-520.21-55051	K-9 EXPENSE	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	35.50	48644
205-520.21-55052	CRIME PREVENTION EXPENS	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	39.00	48644
270-526.70-54060	UTILITIES	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	93.98	48644
900-539.30-53902	ADMINISTRATIVE-METER REA	U S CELLULAR INC	PHONE CHARGES	0436077483	05/13/21	171.85	48644
Total For Check 48644						1,154.02	
Check 48645							
101-522.55-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	UW-MILWAUKEE	APRIL 2021 WEATHER SERVICES	7170	05/13/21	300.00	48645
Total For Check 48645						300.00	
Check 48646							
101-522.52-55020	OTHER EQUIPMENT	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SCREWS, PLATE CASTERS	207175/1	05/13/21	33.07	48646
101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	CLEARING HOOK	206678/1	05/13/21	41.39	48646
101-522.56-53150	SIGNS/BOARDS/BARRICADES	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SUPPLIES	Multiple	05/13/21	60.32	48646
101-522.61-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SHOVELS	206836/1	05/13/21	42.30	48646
270-526.70-53010	OFFICE SUPPLIES	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SOAP	207249/1	05/13/21	5.13	48646
270-526.70-54020	OTHER FEES	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	FASTENERS	Multiple	05/13/21	33.96	48646
420-540.40-58004	WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SUPPLIES	206814/1	05/13/21	5.23	48646
900-536.63-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SUPPLIES	206990/1	05/13/21	22.98	48646
900-536.75-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	SUPPLIES	206756/1	05/13/21	12.04	48646
900-536.76-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	VILLAGE HARDWARE INC	WATER METER SUPPLIES	207228/1	05/13/21	47.34	48646
Total For Check 48646						303.76	
Check 48648							
101-522.52-55020	OTHER EQUIPMENT	VILLAGE OUTDOOR LIVIN	COMBINATION WRENCH	Multiple	05/13/21	132.33	48648
101-522.61-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	VILLAGE OUTDOOR LIVIN	FORESTRY SUPPLIES	10695/3	05/13/21	56.09	48648
101-522.61-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	VILLAGE OUTDOOR LIVIN	CHAIN SAW SUPPLIES	Multiple	05/13/21	114.96	48648
Total For Check 48648						303.38	
Check 48649							
101-520.19-55060	BUILDINGS	AUER STEEL	COIL	10754932	05/25/21	6,697.80	48649
Total For Check 48649						6,697.80	
Check 48650							
260-522.67-54150	BENDER ROAD LANDFILL	AYRES ASSOCIATES INC	BENDER ROAD LANDFILL	191732	05/25/21	6,777.00	48650
Total For Check 48650						6,777.00	
Check 48651							
270-526.70-52511	BEER STOCK	BEER CAPITOL DISTRIBU	BEER	1527905	05/25/21	294.00	48651
Total For Check 48651						294.00	
Check 48652							
101-522.59-54060	UTILITIES	CITY TREASURER-MILWA	JANUARY 2021 GENERAL ILLUMINATION	5140018863	05/25/21	235.45	48652
Total For Check 48652						235.45	
Check 48653							
204-520.20-55047	EQUIPMENT - JUSTICE	DELTA GLOVES	BLACK MAGIC NITRIX GLOVES	170053	05/25/21	1,437.68	48653

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48653							
Total For Check 48653						1,437.68	
Check 48654							
101-520.21-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	MATRIX CONSULTING GR	POLICE DEPARTMENT ORGANIZATIONAL	21-14 #1	05/25/21	11,800.00	48654
Total For Check 48654						11,800.00	
Check 48655							
420-540.40-58004	WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT	MID CITY PLUMBING AND	PAYMENT 1 2021 WATERMAIN REPLACEM	052121	05/25/21	350,279.42	48655
Total For Check 48655						350,279.42	
Check 48656							
101-518.04-53200	ELECTION MATERIALS	MILWAUKEE COUNTY EL	2021 SPRING ELECTION PRORATED COS	MCEC001135	05/25/21	1,419.92	48656
Total For Check 48656						1,419.92	
Check 48657							
270-526.70-54020	OTHER FEES	MONITORING SERVICES	ANNUAL FIRE ALARM SYSTEM MONITORI	2776	05/25/21	572.00	48657
Total For Check 48657						572.00	
Check 48658							
102-000.00-21553	HEALTH SAVINGS	NORTH SHORE BANK-HR	HRA CONTRIBUTION 05/25/2021 PAYROLL	05192021	05/25/21	250.00	48658
Total For Check 48658						250.00	
Check 48659							
201-522.01-55090	SEWER SYSTEMS REPAIRS	NORTH SHORE ENGINEE	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE FLOW OBS	9723	05/25/21	2,500.00	48659
Total For Check 48659						2,500.00	
Check 48660							
102-000.00-21580	GARNISHMENT DEDUCTION P	TRUSTEE ROBERT E HA	GARNISHMENT - LAMPKIN CASE #17CV01	05192021	05/25/21	111.00	48660
Total For Check 48660						111.00	
Check 48661							
250-522.57-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	VEOLIA WATER NORTH A	APRIL 2021 STORM SEWER & CATCH BAS	90282914	05/25/21	139.53	48661
Total For Check 48661						139.53	
Check 48662							
244-518.16-58090	CONVENTION AND TOURISM	WELCOME TO GLENDAL	TRANSFER 2020 FUNDS TO WELCOME T	2021	05/25/21	161,418.86	48662
Total For Check 48662						161,418.86	
Check 48663							
247-518.16-58120	JULY 4TH CELEBRATION	WELCOME TO GLENDAL	FORWARD FUND 247 TO WELCOME TO G	CLOSEOUT FUND	05/25/21	25,257.00	48663
247-518.16-58320	MUSIC IN THE GLEN	WELCOME TO GLENDAL	FORWARD FUND 247 TO WELCOME TO G	CLOSEOUT FUND	05/25/21	11,817.73	48663
Total For Check 48663						37,074.73	
Check 48664							
101-518.12-54070	TELEPHONE	WINDSTREAM	PHONE SERVICE	73746240	05/25/21	293.79	48664
Total For Check 48664						293.79	
Check 48665							
102-000.00-21580	GARNISHMENT DEDUCTION P	WISCONSIN SCTF	FAMILY SUPPORT	05192021	05/25/21	268.63	48665
Total For Check 48665						268.63	
Check 48666							
101-522.52-53170	GAS, OIL, LUBRICANTS, TIRE	AIRGAS USA LLC	CYLINDER RENTAL	9979165452	05/27/21	338.12	48666
Total For Check 48666						338.12	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48667							
101-518.16-53190	OTHER SUPPLIES AND EXPE	AMERICAN ACRYLICS	COUNCIL CHAMBER DIVIDERS	13295	05/27/21	325.00	48667
			Total For Check 48667			325.00	
Check 48668							
101-518.12-54070	TELEPHONE	AT&T	PHONE CHARGES	414228765605 21	05/27/21	52.92	48668
			Total For Check 48668			52.92	
Check 48669							
101-518.09-54020	OTHER FEES	BAKER TILLY VIRCHOW K	AUDIT SERVICES	Multiple	05/27/21	7,476.00	48669
			Total For Check 48669			7,476.00	
Check 48670							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	BEDROCK SEWER & WAT	REFUND ON OVERPAYMENT OF PERMIT	050521REF	05/27/21	185.47	48670
			Total For Check 48670			185.47	
Check 48671							
270-526.70-52510	FOOD/BEVERAGE STOCK	BEECHWOOD DISTRIBUT	SODA	458009	05/27/21	502.25	48671
			Total For Check 48671			502.25	
Check 48672							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	BOHMANN AND VICK INC	REFUND ON OVERPAYMENT OF PERMIT	030421REF	05/27/21	85.60	48672
			Total For Check 48672			85.60	
Check 48673							
275-000.00-45140	FINES - GLENDALE REFUND	CHAZ BASS	REFUND OVERPAYMENT SDC PN 02-03-2	02032021	05/27/21	87.27	48673
			Total For Check 48673			87.27	
Check 48674							
101-518.12-55060	BUILDINGS	CINTAS FAS LOCKBOX 63	FIRE EXTINGUISHER INSPECTION/REPAI	0F36116794	05/27/21	453.89	48674
			Total For Check 48674			453.89	
Check 48675							
101-518.12-54090	JANITORIAL	CLEAN SOURCE LLC	MARCH 2021 JANITORIAL SERVICES	Multiple	05/27/21	6,920.00	48675
101-520.19-55060	BUILDINGS	CLEAN SOURCE LLC	APRIL 2021 JANITORIAL SERVICES	043121-COG	05/27/21	100.00	48675
			Total For Check 48675			7,020.00	
Check 48676							
101-522.52-53170	GAS, OIL, LUBRICANTS, TIRE	COREY OIL LTD	OIL	209405	05/27/21	534.80	48676
			Total For Check 48676			534.80	
Check 48677							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	CORNERSTONE PLUMBI	REFUND ON OVERPAYMENT OF PERMIT	030421REF	05/27/21	147.00	48677
			Total For Check 48677			147.00	
Check 48678							
101-522.61-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	DAVEY RESOURCE GRO	TREE INVENTORY	115165	05/27/21	15,000.00	48678
			Total For Check 48678			15,000.00	
Check 48679							
102-000.00-21531	SECTION 125 DENTAL INSUR	DELTA DENTAL PLAN OF	DENTAL JUNE 2021	1606605	05/27/21	3,502.14	48679
248-524.41-56032	DENTAL INSURANCE	DELTA DENTAL PLAN OF	DENTAL JUNE 2021	1606605	05/27/21	1,822.89	48679
702-000.00-22004	HEALTH INSURANCE - RETIR	DELTA DENTAL PLAN OF	DENTAL JUNE 2021	1606605	05/27/21	172.44	48679
			Total For Check 48679			5,497.47	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48680							
101-518.16-53190	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	DIVERSIFIED BENEFIT SE	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MAY- 2021	328866	05/27/21	95.00	48680
Total For Check 48680						95.00	
Check 48681							
248-000.00-12002	Refund: MR Unapplied Payment	ESTATE OF JAMES DAND	REFUND OVERPAYMENT OF PREMIUMS	05/25/2021	05/27/21	1,027.71	48681
Total For Check 48681						1,027.71	
Check 48682							
250-522.57-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	GLOBE CONTRACTORS I	PAY REQUEST #1 - 2021 CATCH BASIN CL	051221	05/27/21	90,550.20	48682
Total For Check 48682						90,550.20	
Check 48683							
900-000.00-14210	10-WATER USAGE	GREGORY & LARISA BER	UB refund for account: 3-10-00100-00	05/19/2021	05/27/21	121.42	48683
Total For Check 48683						121.42	
Check 48684							
270-000.00-46721	PARK AND RECREATION FEE	GRIFFIN,TAUNYA	DEPOSIT REFUND	PARKRENTALREF	05/27/21	100.00	48684
Total For Check 48684						100.00	
Check 48685							
101-518.12-55060	BUILDINGS	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	59.20	48685
101-522.52-55020	OTHER EQUIPMENT	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	80.72	48685
101-522.55-53130	OTHER ROAD MATERIAL	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	166.95	48685
270-526.70-53010	OFFICE SUPPLIES	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	14.97	48685
900-536.73-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	6.97	48685
900-539.20-53921	OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EXPE	HOME DEPOT CREDIT SE	MAY STATEMENT	050521	05/27/21	68.10	48685
Total For Check 48685						396.91	
Check 48686							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	HORAITIS ELECTRIC	REFUND ON OVERPAYMENT OF PERMIT	31821REF	05/27/21	2.36	48686
Total For Check 48686						2.36	
Check 48687							
102-000.00-21570	DEFERRED COMPENSATION	ICMA RETIREMENT TRUS	DEF COMP CONTRIBUTION 05/25/2021	05242021	05/27/21	375.00	48687
Total For Check 48687						375.00	
Check 48688							
101-518.01-54110	MUNICIPAL LEAGUE DUES	INTERGOVERNMENTAL C	INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION C	2021DUES	05/27/21	350.00	48688
Total For Check 48688						350.00	
Check 48689							
101-000.00-44104	TAVERN OPERATIONS	JOHNSON, THOMAS	REFUND ON BARTENDER LICENSE-BUSI	051721REF	05/27/21	75.00	48689
Total For Check 48689						75.00	
Check 48690							
101-520.19-55060	BUILDINGS	K&L HEATING & A/C INC	CHECK A/C FOR EAST END; NO FREON,	10617	05/27/21	182.50	48690
Total For Check 48690						182.50	
Check 48691							
900-000.00-14210	10-WATER USAGE	LISA MILLER	UB refund for account: 1-02-00100-02	03/24/2021	05/27/21	144.26	48691
Total For Check 48691						144.26	
Check 48692							
201-522.01-55090	SEWER SYSTEMS REPAIRS	MACQUEEN EQUIPMENT	PARTS	P19459	05/27/21	89.57	48692

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48692							
Total For Check 48692						89.57	
Check 48693							
101-000.00-23410	DEPOSIT - OTHER AGENCY B	MILW CNTY CLERK CIRC	BAIL FOR LORENZO CHRISTOPHER TAYL	Multiple	05/27/21	650.00	48693
Total For Check 48693						650.00	
Check 48694							
101-518.12-55060	BUILDINGS	MINOR'S GARDEN CENT	MULCH	Multiple	05/27/21	781.20	48694
Total For Check 48694						781.20	
Check 48695							
270-526.70-54090	JANITORIAL	NASSCO INC	PARK SUPPLIES	S2754403.001	05/27/21	76.40	48695
Total For Check 48695						76.40	
Check 48696							
101-522.59-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	OUTDOOR LIGHTING CO	PORT WASHINGTON RD & DAPHNE RD	Multiple	05/27/21	4,231.52	48696
Total For Check 48696						4,231.52	
Check 48697							
101-000.00-20029	PERMIT OVERPAYMENT	RS PLUMBING	REFUND ON OVERPAYMENT OF PERMIT	031721REF	05/27/21	79.80	48697
Total For Check 48697						79.80	
Check 48698							
101-520.27-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	SAFEBUILT WISCONSIN L	INSPECTIONS	Multiple	05/27/21	75,165.28	48698
101-528.15-54010	CONSULTANT FEES	SAFEBUILT WISCONSIN L	PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW	0075245-IN	05/27/21	43.50	48698
Total For Check 48698						75,208.78	
Check 48700							
101-000.00-23270	DEPOSIT - STREET OPENING	Salvador Lancaster-Jones	BD Bond Refund	BRW20-0025	05/27/21	1,000.00	48700
Total For Check 48700						1,000.00	
Check 48701							
101-522.61-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	SEBERT LANDSCAPING	JANUARY 2021 MAINTENANCE	9990	05/27/21	4,837.58	48701
478-580.80-51587	BAYSHORE EXP	SEBERT LANDSCAPING	JANUARY 2021 MAINTENANCE	9990	05/27/21	2,073.25	48701
Total For Check 48701						6,910.83	
Check 48702							
101-518.03-53010	OFFICE SUPPLIES	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3477370366	05/27/21	27.49	48702
101-518.04-53010	HANGING FOLDERS	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3477370366	05/27/21	83.17	48702
101-518.06-53190	HIGHLIGHTERS	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3472815492	05/27/21	10.75	48702
101-518.16-53010	MANILA FOLDERS	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3472815492	05/27/21	50.49	48702
101-520.27-53010	RUBBERBANDS	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3472815492	05/27/21	4.50	48702
101-528.15-53010	STAPLES	STAPLES	OFFICE SUPPLIES	3477370366	05/27/21	19.99	48702
Total For Check 48702						196.39	
Check 48703							
101-518.16-54030	COMPUTER SERVICES & EXP	TIME WARNER CABLE IN	MAY INTERNET SERVICE	720692901051421	05/27/21	699.54	48703
270-526.70-54060	UTILITIES	TIME WARNER CABLE IN	MAY INTERNET SERVICE	720692901051421	05/27/21	161.98	48703
900-539.30-53902	ADMINISTRATIVE-METER REA	TIME WARNER CABLE IN	MAY INTERNET SERVICE	720692901051421	05/27/21	159.93	48703
Total For Check 48703						1,021.45	
Check 48704							
101-522.59-54150	CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	TRAFFIC & PARKING CO I	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABINET EQUIPMENT	I695259	05/27/21	5,286.00	48704

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
Check 48704			Total For Check 48704			5,286.00	
Check 48705 101-520.21-54080	INFORMATION SERVICES	U S CELLULAR INC	CELL SERVICE	0439320487	05/27/21	592.80	48705
			Total For Check 48705			592.80	
Check 48706 900-539.30-53903	CUSTOMER RECORDS	U.S. POSTMASTER	05/31/2021 - WATER BILL MAILING	2021.05.19	05/27/21	431.64	48706
			Total For Check 48706			431.64	
Check 48707 900-536.73-53160	OTHER MATERIALS	USA BLUEBOOK	SUPPLIES	515993	05/27/21	305.28	48707
			Total For Check 48707			305.28	
Check 48708 900-539.20-53929	EMPLOYEE CLOTHING/EYE C	WILLIS, WILLIAM	2021 CLOTHING ALLOWANCE	052021	05/27/21	250.00	48708
			Total For Check 48708			250.00	

GL Number	Inv. Line Desc	Vendor	Invoice Desc.	Invoice	Chk Date	Amount	Check #
			Fund Totals:				
			Fund 101 GENERAL FUND			257,766.35	
			Fund 102 PAYROLL CLEARING ACCOUNT			656,592.24	
			Fund 201 SEWER UTILITY			6,164.07	
			Fund 204 POLICE ACQUISITION FUND			1,437.68	
			Fund 205 DARE FUND			261.15	
			Fund 244 HOTEL ROOM TAX FUND			161,418.86	
			Fund 247 GLENDALE DAYS FUND			37,074.73	
			Fund 248 HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES FU			57,191.43	
			Fund 250 STORM WATER UTILITY			91,588.95	
			Fund 260 ENVIRONMENTAL FUND			6,777.00	
			Fund 270 MASLOWSKI PARK FUND			4,337.96	
			Fund 275 NORTH SHORE MUNICIPAL COU			40,641.50	
			Fund 420 CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND			370,946.99	
			Fund 478 TIF 8 BAYSHORE PROJECT FUND			2,073.25	
			Fund 701 TAX ROLL FUND			244.66	
			Fund 702 RETIREE HEALTH-PREPAID			13,128.67	
			Fund 900 WATER UTILITY			3,588.19	
			Total For All Funds:			1,711,233.68	



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Glendale Resolution authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

FROM: Charlie Imig, Director of Public Works

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	N/A
Budgeted Expenditure:	N/A
Budgeted Revenue:	N/A

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	NR 208
Municipal Code:	N/A

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

The City of Glendale's sanitary sewer system is regulated by a WPDES (Wisconsin Pollution Discharge Elimination System) permit. As a condition of the permit, the City of Glendale is required to submit a sanitary sewer Compliance Maintenance Annual Report to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources each year.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Resolution, authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to approve the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Resolution, authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Compliance Maintenance Annual Report.
2. Draft Resolution.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 2020

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0047341

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			4	16
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 2020

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information

Name:

Charlie Imig

Telephone:

(414) 228-1700

(XXX) XXX-XXXX

E-Mail Address
(optional):

c.imig@glendalewi.gov

2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues

2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?

● Yes (0 points) ☐

○ No (40 points)

If No, please explain:

2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?
Year:

2020

● 0-2 years ago (0 points)

○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)

○ N/A (private facility)

2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system?

● Yes (0 points)

○ No (40 points)

0

REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]

3. Equipment Replacement Funds

3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?

Year:

2020

● 1-2 years ago (0 points)

○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)

○ N/A

If N/A, please explain:

3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity

3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR

\$ 89,215.00

3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)

\$ 0.00

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance

\$ 89,215.00

3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)

+

\$ 0.00

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/24/2021

2020

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)

- \$ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

\$ 89,215.00

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 89,215.00

0

Please note: If you had a CWWP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

● Yes

○ No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

● Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. ☐ ☐

○ No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	Rehabilitation projects - sewers and manholes	305000	2018
2	2019 Rehabilitation work (cleaning, televising, lining, I/I grant-related expense and other expenses	600000	2019
3	Sanitary Sewer and Manhole Rehabilitation and CCTV	600000	2020
4	Rehabilitate 13,400 ft sanitary sewers and 44 manholes.	250000	2021

5. Financial Management General Comments

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

6. Collection System

6.1 Energy Usage

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 0

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 **2020**

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	0	
February	0	
March	0	
April	0	
May	0	
June	0	
July	0	
August	0	
September	0	
October	0	
November	0	
December	0	
Total	0	0
Average	0	0

6.1.2 Comments:

The City of Glendale does not have any lift stations nor associated energy related equipment with lift stations.

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Comminution or Screening
- ☐ Extended Shaft Pumps
- ☐ Flow Metering and Recording
- ☐ Pneumatic Pumping
- ☐ SCADA System
- ☐ Self-Priming Pumps
- ☐ Submersible Pumps
- ☐ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

☒ No

☐ Yes

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 **2020**

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Section 6 is Not applicable to the City of Glendale since the City does not have any sanitary sewer lift or pump stations.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 2020

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If No, explain:

1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (30 points)
- ☐ N/A

If No or N/A, explain:

1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)

☒ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]

Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:

1- Inspect all sanitary sewer manholes
2- No SSOs - 2020. No SSOs since 1998
3- Continue sewer and manhole rehab program - ongoing
4- Clean sanitary sewers
Clean 100% catch basins to reduce stormwater into sanitary sewer

Did you accomplish them?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If No, explain:

☒ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] ☐ ☐

Does this chapter of your CMOM include:

- ☒ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
- ☒ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
- ☒ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public

☒ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]

What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?

Code Title 9 Public Utilities and Title 15

If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY)

2000-01-01

Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:

- ☒ Private property inflow and infiltration
- ☒ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
- ☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
- ☒ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
- ☒ Fat, oil and grease control
- ☒ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance

☒ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]

Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 **2020**

- ☒ Equipment and replacement part inventories
- ☒ Up-to-date sewer system map
- ☒ A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation
- ☒ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below)
- ☒ Capacity assessment program
- ☒ Basement back assessment and correction
- ☒ Regular O&M training
- ☒ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] ☐ ☐
What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property?
 - ☒ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements
 - ☒ Construction, Inspection, and Testing
 - ☐ Others:

0

- ☒ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)] ☐ ☐
Does your emergency response capability include:
 - ☒ Responsible personnel communication procedures
 - ☒ Response order, timing and clean-up
 - ☒ Public notification protocols
 - ☒ Training
 - ☒ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures
- ☒ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)] ☐ ☐
- ☒ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):
 - ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis
 - ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)
 - ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Plan (SECAP)
 - ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report
 - ☒ Others:

Reviewed inspection and cleaning program and developed a 5-year inspection and cleaning to prioritize inspections including sanitary sewers that will be impacted by I-43 reconstruction by DOT. Updated the rehabilitation recommendations for the next five years.

2. Operation and Maintenance

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	3	% of system/year
Root removal	1	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	100	% of system/year
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	0	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	56	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	0	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	1.5	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation		

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 **2020**

Private sewer inspections	0	% of sewer lines rehabbed																																				
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of system/year																																				
River or water crossings	0	% of private services																																				
	0	% of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained																																				
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: Over 45% of the sanitary sewers have been rehabilitated using CIPP. The City inspected 44% of the manholes in 2019 and 56% in 2020 with all manholes inspected within 2 years. This also complies with the State Stipulation to inspect manholes every 5 years. This year focused on identifying which sewers needed to be rehabilitated in 2021 and the next five years. Note that all of the sanitary sewer system has been inspected and in 2020 a 5 year plan was developed to identify which sewers need to be re-inspected within the next 5 yrs .																																						
3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">30.6</td> <td>Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">32</td> <td>Annual average precipitation (for your location)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">62.1</td> <td>Miles of sanitary sewer</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td>Number of lift stations</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td>Number of lift station failures</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td>Number of sewer pipe failures</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td>Number of basement backup occurrences</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td>Number of complaints</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Average daily flow in MGD (if available)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)</td> </tr> </table> 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">NaN</td> <td>Lift station failures (failures/year)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0.00</td> <td>Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0.00</td> <td>Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0.00</td> <td>Basement backups (number/sewer mile)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0.00</td> <td>Complaints (number/sewer mile)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)</td> </tr> </table>			30.6	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches	32	Annual average precipitation (for your location)	62.1	Miles of sanitary sewer	0	Number of lift stations	0	Number of lift station failures	0	Number of sewer pipe failures	0	Number of basement backup occurrences	0	Number of complaints		Average daily flow in MGD (if available)		Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)		Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)	NaN	Lift station failures (failures/year)	0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)	0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)	0.00	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)	0.00	Complaints (number/sewer mile)		Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)		Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)
30.6	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches																																					
32	Annual average precipitation (for your location)																																					
62.1	Miles of sanitary sewer																																					
0	Number of lift stations																																					
0	Number of lift station failures																																					
0	Number of sewer pipe failures																																					
0	Number of basement backup occurrences																																					
0	Number of complaints																																					
	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)																																					
	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)																																					
	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)																																					
NaN	Lift station failures (failures/year)																																					
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)																																					
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)																																					
0.00	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)																																					
0.00	Complaints (number/sewer mile)																																					
	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)																																					
	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)																																					
4. Overflows <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="5">LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **</th></tr> <tr> <th style="width: 5%;"> </th><th style="width: 20%;">Date</th><th style="width: 35%;">Location</th><th style="width: 20%;">Cause</th><th style="width: 20%;">Estimated Volume</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: center;">None reported</td></tr> </table> ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.			LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **						Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume	None reported																									
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **																																						
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume																																		
None reported																																						
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)																																						

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 **2020**

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If Yes, please describe:

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Continue to rehabilitate manholes

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Continue to rehabilitate manholes and sanitary sewers. Have addressed private laterals by rehabilitating. Will continue with the private property program.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Glendale Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/24/2021 2020

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0047341

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			4	16
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

STATE OF WISCONSIN :: CITY OF GLENDALE :: MILWAUKEE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 21-
A Resolution Authorizing and Filing of a
Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

WHEREAS, the City of Glendale is a community served by the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District; and

WHEREAS, the City of Glendale is regulated by a WPDES (Wisconsin Pollution Discharge Elimination System) permit for its waste water collection system; and

WHEREAS, the WPDES permit and NR 208 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code require the City to submit a CMAR (Compliance Maintenance Annual Report) by June 30th annually for the previous year's activity; and

WHEREAS, the CMAR for the activity of 2020 has been submitted to and reviewed by the Common Council of the City of Glendale; and

WHEREAS, the Common Council of the City of Glendale has reviewed and approved such report.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Glendale, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, that the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report for 2020 is hereby approved and the Director of City Services is authorized to submit the report to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Glendale this 14th day of June, 2021.

CITY OF GLENDALE

By _____
Bryan Kennedy
Mayor

Countersigned:

Megan Humitz
City Clerk

STATE OF WISCONSIN :: CITY OF GLENDALE :: MILWAUKEE COUNTY

**RESOLUTION HONORING BRUCE COLE
FOR HIS DECADES OF SERVICE TO THE CITY OF GLENDALE**

WHEREAS, volunteer community service is an integral part of the operation and spirit of the City of Glendale; and

WHEREAS, we choose to honor those who generously give their time and talents to improve our community; and

WHEREAS, Bruce Cole has served the City of Glendale in a variety of roles, and in multiple organizations and institutions, for nearly four decades; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Cole served the City continuously for nearly two decades from 2002 to 2021 as an elected Alderman, a Plan Commissioner, an Election Day Poll Worker, and on the Board of Appeals, the Architectural Review Board, and the Glendale Days Committee; and

WHEREAS, Bruce also served the Glendale-River Hills School District as an elected School Board Member for nine years, with part of that time serving as the School Board President; and

WHEREAS, Bruce Cole served as treasurer for the Nicolet Booster Club and the Ronald McDonald House, and also served on the Glendale-River Hills School District Foundation; and

WHEREAS, Bruce and his wife Linda were honored by the Glendale Lions Club in 1988 as Glendale Citizens of the Year.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Glendale recognize Bruce Cole for decades of service to the city, the schools, and the community, and thank him for the thousands of hours he freely donated to making the City of Glendale a better place to live, work, and raise a family.

Signed this 14th day of June, in the year 2021.

Bryan Kennedy, Mayor

Tomika Vukovic, 1st District Alderperson

Richard Wiese, 4th District Alderperson

Jim Daugherty, 2nd District Alderperson

Steve Schmelzling, 5th District Alderperson

John Gelhard, 3rd District Alderperson

JoAnn Shaw, 6th District Alderperson

STATE OF WISCONSIN :: CITY OF GLENDALE :: MILWAUKEE COUNTY

**RESOLUTION RECOGNIZING JUNE 2021 AS
LGBTQ+ PRIDE MONTH IN THE CITY OF GLENDALE**

WHEREAS, the City of Glendale cherishes the value and dignity of each person and appreciates the importance of diversity, equity, and inclusion; and

WHEREAS, all are welcome in the City of Glendale to live, work, and play; and

WHEREAS, every family, in any shape, deserves a place to call home where they are safe, happy, and supported by friends and neighbors; and

WHEREAS, the City denounces prejudice and unfair discrimination based on age, gender identity, gender expression, race, color, religion, marital status, national origin, sexual orientation, or physical attributes as an affront to our fundamental principles; and

WHEREAS, Pride Month was first celebrated in June 1970 on the one-year anniversary of the June 1969 Stonewall Uprising in New York City when LGBTQ+ and allied friends rose up and fought against the constant police harassment and discriminatory laws that have since been declared unconstitutional; and

WHEREAS, the City appreciates the cultural, civic, and economic contributions of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ+) community; and

WHEREAS, this Pride Month, we reaffirm our commitment to standing in solidarity with LGBTQ+ Americans in their ongoing struggle against discrimination and injustice; and

WHEREAS it is imperative that young people in our community, regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, and gender expression feel valued, safe, empowered, and supported by their peers and community leaders; and

WHEREAS, despite being marginalized, LGBTQ+ people continue to celebrate authenticity, acceptance, and love.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Common Council does hereby declare the month of June 2021 as LGBTQ+ Pride Month in the City of Glendale and urges residents to recognize the valuable contributions made by members of the LGBTQ+ community and to actively promote a welcoming and inclusive community where all people can be their full, authentic selves.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Glendale this 14th day of June, 2021.

CITY OF GLENDALE

Bryan Kennedy, Mayor

Countersigned:

Megan Humitz, City Clerk



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Schedule Public Hearing for Monday, July 12, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. for a Request to Rezone 6076 and 6100 North Port Washington Road (Tax Key Parcels 163-8993-000 and 163-8992-000) from B-1, F2 District to B-1, F1 District.

FROM: Rachel Safstrom, City Administrator

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	N/A
Budgeted Expenditure:	N/A
Budgeted Revenue:	N/A

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	N/A
Municipal Code:	Zoning Code

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

The applicant – Keyhan Sheikholeslami, owner of Ogden Cleaners has been informed by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation that they will need to relocate due to the construction of I-43 and expansion of Port Washington Road. They wish to continue their business in the City of Glendale at 6076 N. Port Washington Road. Currently, the zoning of the property does not allow for this use. At the same time, 6100 N. Port Washington Rd (Valvoline) is a legal non-conforming use. Rezoning both properties will allow for full compliance for both businesses. It will also alleviate concerns of “spot zoning” in the City. Staff has reviewed the location and feels the Ogden Cleaners at 6076 N. Port Washington Road location will be a good fit.

The Plan Commission is scheduled to hold a public hearing on July 6, 2021. Due to time restraints, the Common Council is requested to schedule their public hearing for Monday, July 12, 2021.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Plan Commission is scheduled to hold a public hearing on July 6, 2021. Due to time restraints, the Common Council is requested to schedule their public hearing for Monday, July 12, 2021.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to Schedule Public Hearing for Monday, July 12, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. for a Request to Rezone 6076 and 6100 North Port Washington Road (Tax Key Parcels 163-8993-000 and 163-8992-000) from B-1, F2 District to B-1, F1 District.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Application for rezoning.



CITY OF GLENDALE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
5909 NORTH MILWAUKEE RIVER PARKWAY
GLENDALE, WISCONSIN 53209-3815
PHONE: (414) 228-1704 or (414) 228-1742
FAX: (414) 228-1725
WWW.GLENDALE-WI.ORG

APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF ZONING
Application Fee: \$250 (\$500 for Planned Development)

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name of Applicant: Keyhan Z. Sheikholeslami
Name of Business Owner (if different from applicant): same
Business Name: Ogden Cleaners
Address of Applicant: 6260 N. port Rd. (clay)
City, State, Zip: _____
Office Phone Number: 414-378-4545 Cell: 414-378-4545 Fax: _____
Applicant E-Mail: _____
Applicant Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/3/21

PROPERTY INFORMATION

(Please use additional application forms if re-zoning more than one parcel)

Current Zoning of Property: office Proposed Zoning of Property: Retail
Current Use of Property: Dental office Proposed Use of Property: Dry clean pickup drop off
Property Address (or addresses): 6076 N. port Rd
Property Owner (s) (if different from applicant): Michael A. Nicollef DDS
Property Owner Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____
Property Owner Phone: 414-962-2100 Cell: _____ Fax: _____
Tax Key Number: 163-8993000
Lot Size: Depth: 275.80 Width: 50 Area: _____

PROPOSAL INFORMATION

Type of Business: Dry clean Drop off / Pick up
Reason for Seeking a Change In Zoning: _____

See Attached Letter

Who is the Primary Contact for This Project: Keyhan Sheikholeslami
Contact Phone Number: 414-378-4545 Cell: 414-378-4545 Fax: _____
Contact E-Mail: KeyhanZia1234@gmail.com

Please See Reverse Side of Application for Additional Submittal Requirements

CITY OF GLENDALE USE ONLY

Total Fee: _____ Application Date: _____ Hearing Date: _____ Time: _____

OTHER INFORMATION REQUIRED

In addition to the information above, the following items are also required:

- ☐ Plot plan, drawn to a scale of one (1) inch equals one hundred (100) feet showing the area proposed to be rezoned, its location, its dimensions, the location and classification of adjacent zoning districts and the location and existing use of all properties within three hundred (300) feet of the area proposed to be rezoned.
- ☐ Owners' names and addresses of all properties lying within two hundred (200) feet of the area proposed to be rezoned.
- ☐ Legal Description of real estate to be rezoned
- ☐ A detailed cover letter outlining your proposal and reasons for rezoning
- ☐ Any other information you feel is necessary

- k. Any other uses found to be a similar use by the Plan Commission or Community Development Authority upon review of application
- (9) **B-1, Sub-Area "F-1"—Permitted Uses.** The following uses are permitted within the B-1 sub-area "F-1" district upon review by the Plan Commission for conformance with the standards established in Sections 13.1.34(d), 13.1.34(e), 13.1.34(f), and 13.1.34(g):
- a. Specialty retail shops and stores (no drive-through service)
 - b. Restaurants: seated dining, full waiting service (no drive-through service)
 - c. Studios for photography, painting, music, sculpture, dance or other recognized fine arts
 - d. Professional services and administrative offices
 - e. General corporate headquarters offices
 - f. Medical and dental offices
 - g. Sales offices
 - h. Financial, insurance and real estate offices
 - i. Office services and supplies, including employment agencies, blueprinting, duplicating and similar functions

Last updated 3/10/2021 8:26:00 AM

13-32

- j. Any other uses found to be a similar use by the Plan Commission or Community Development Authority upon review of application
- (10) **B-1, Sub-Area "F-2"—Permitted Uses.** The following uses are permitted within the B-1 sub-area "F-2" district upon review by the Plan Commission for conformance with the standards established in Sections 13.1.34(d), 13.1.34(e), 13.1.34(f), and 13.1.34(g):
- a. Professional services and administrative offices
 - b. General corporate headquarters offices
 - c. Medical and dental offices
 - d. Sales offices
 - e. Financial, insurance and real estate offices
 - f. Office services and supplies, including employment agencies, blueprinting, duplicating and similar functions
 - g. Any other uses found to be a similar use by the Plan Commission or Community Development Authority upon review of application
- (11) **B-1, Sub-Area "C-1"—Permitted Uses.** The following uses are permitted

To: Honorable mayor Kennedy and distinguished members of
Glendale city counsel

04/30/2021

With a heavy heart, we would like to inform the city of Glendale that Ogden cleaner's cleaning operation at 6260 N. port Rd will come to an end soon since our building is in eminent domain and part of state road expansion project. We do understand such projects are for the good of general public. We are a bit disheartened that DOT surprised us at March of 2021 with a short notice of departure even though all others were informed earlier and the only business affected by this project was dealt with last. We have since been in talks with DOT and have secured a small extension. We have found a new location to purchase for our business at 6705 N. port road. We find this location to be the only suitable location that will insure survival of our operation in respect to location and financial feasibility.

We are cordially requesting city of Glendale's support in order for us to be able to continue our cleaning services in city of Glendale. This is a unique situation that may never happen again in city of Glendale to another business.

In advance, I would like to thank you for your time and considerations on behalf of myself and my family.

Sincerely ,

Kayhan Z. Sheikholeslami

Ogden cleaners

Owner and operator

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'KZ' followed by a stylized flourish.





6076 N Port Washington Rd, KMTL, LLC

163-8990

95.50'

95.5'

163-8992

278.40'

163-8993

275.80'


196.00'

72'



CSM 8926

CITY OF
GLENDALE



W. B. ENGELHARDT
3937 N. 16TH STREET
PHONE CONCORD 3782


W. B. ENGELHARDT
ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR
MILWAUKEE, WIS.

HAROLD W. WARD
ASSOCIATE

HAROLD W. WARD
4850 N. 40TH STREET
PHONE HILLTOP 5388

PLAT OF SURVEY

OF PROPERTY AT TENTATIVE PLAT. OWNER,
DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: PART OF S $\frac{1}{2}$ OF THE S. $\frac{1}{2}$ OF THE W. $\frac{1}{2}$ OF THE
N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SEC. 29-T.8N.-R.22E. IN THE TOWN & COUNTY OF
MILWAUKEE WISCONSIN SCALE 1"=100FT.



State of Wisconsin, }
County of Milwaukee } ss.

Plat No. 39/356

SS.

I do hereby certify that I have made the above survey on the 9TH day of MAY 1939
and that the information relative to all buildings as shown on said survey, is complete and correct.

Signed Harold W. Ward
Engineer and Surveyor

Engineer and Surveyor

8-22-29-1-0176

(http://www.co.milwaukee.wi.us/)

Milwaukee County Web Portal



Tax Year	Prop Type	Parcel Number	Municipality	Property Address	Billing Address (http://www.gcsSoftware.com)
2019 ▼	Real Estate	1638993000	231 - CITY OF GLENDALE	6076 N PORT WASHINGTON RD	KMTL, LLC N64W13796 COBBLESTONE DR MENOMONEE FALLS WI 53051-6061

Tax Year Legend: = owes prior year taxes = not assessed = not taxed Delinquent Current

Property Summary

Parcel #:	1638993000
Alt. Parcel #:	
Parcel Status:	Current Description
Creation Date:	
Historical Date:	
Acres:	0.000

Property Addresses

Primary ▲	Address
☒	6076 N PORT WASHINGTON RD GLENDALE 53217-4524

Owners

Name	Status	Ownership Type	Interest
KMTL, LLC	CURRENT OWNER		

Parent Parcels

No Parent Parcels were found

Child Parcels

No Child Parcels were found

Abbreviated Legal Description

(See recorded documents for a complete legal description)

COM 611.82' N & 165' W OF SE COR OF SW 1/4 OF NE 1/4 SEC. 29-8-22 TH N 50' W 278.40' S 2D39M E 50.05' TH E 275.80' TO BEG EXC PARTS TAKEN FOR HIGHWAY

Public Land Survey - Property Descriptions

No Property Descriptions were found

District

Code ▲	Description	Category
	LOCAL	OTHER DISTRICT
0900	MATC	TECHNICAL COLLEGE
	MILWAUKEE COUNTY	OTHER DISTRICT
	STATE OF WISCONSIN	OTHER DISTRICT
2184	GLENDALE-RIVER HILLS	REGULAR SCHOOL
2177	NICOLET UNION HIGH	UNION HIGH SCHOOL
5020	MMSD	METRO SEWERAGE
	SALES TAX CREDIT	OTHER DISTRICT

Associated Properties

No Associated properties were found



(<http://www.gcssoftware.com>)

SUBJECT: Library Committee Recommendation
FROM: Katie Wood, Library Committee Chair
DATE: June 8, 2021

BACKGROUND/ANAYLSIS:

At the direction of Common Council and the establishment of the Library Committee, the Library Committee hired a consultant to develop a community survey to assess resident the opinions and feasibly of the future of library services in Glendale. The City of Glendale received 719 survey responses, and of those, 96.8% of respondents reported that they lived in Glendale. The Library Committee is still formulating a full recommendation for the committee; I anticipate that our recommendation will be available by the end of Summer 2021. Upon initial review and discussion, it became apparent that there is an immediate need for public education. Because of the timeliness of that need, a separate recommendation to the Common Council is necessary.

RECOMMENDATION:

Provide immediate public education regarding the circumstances in which the City of Glendale left the North Shore Library, the status of the future of library services within Glendale and initial results from the community survey. As we enter the summer months, the city hosts several key events which attract many residents in one location and which present a unique opportunity to communicate the reasons for Glendale's withdrawal from the North Shore Library and the requirements for future library services.

ACTIONS REQUESTED:

- 1) Approve community tables and outreach efforts at community events. Reach out to key community partners (Welcome to Glendale, Bayshore, etc) to host tables and potentially provide speaking opportunities at these events to spread the word and engage the public on this topic.
- 2) As the Common Council members unanimously voted to leave the North Shore Library, we are seeking support from all Alderpersons in this community engagement effort. We ask that all Alderpersons reach out to their respective wards with a concise message around the Library and make themselves available at community events to discuss the future of Glendale library services.
- 3) Additionally, we request funding and approval to initiate a RFP for a public relations/marketing firm to assist in developing a PR/Marketing strategy pertaining to the future of library services within the City of Glendale.

ATTACHMENTS:

Community Survey

Community Survey Executive Summary

**CITY OF GLENDALE
LIBRARY REVIEW COMMITTEE**

COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION & METHODOLOGY	4
HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE	5
USING A FUTURE LIBRARY	13
FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY	46
DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION	56
APPENDICES:	
SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	
CROSS-TABULATIONS	

INDEX OF SURVEY QUESTIONS

1. Do you live in Glendale?	4
2. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, how often did you visit the North Shore Library?	5
3. What other libraries do you use, if any?	6
4. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, which of the following reasons explain why you used a library other than the North Shore Library, if applicable?	9
5. As Glendale transitions from the joint North Shore Library, what do you hope for in library service?	13
6. How do you envision using a public library that is located in Glendale in the future?	30
7. How important to you are the following attributes of a future public library in Glendale?	33
8. Please share any additional comments you have regarding a future public library in Glendale.	37
9. Would you support the creation of the Glendale Public Library if it involves increased taxpayer support?	46
10. What increase, if any, would you support through an operational referendum to help Glendale provide public library service?	46
11. Please provide any additional comments regarding the funding of a future public library in Glendale.	47
12. Please select your age range.	56
13. Please select how many people live in your household, including yourself.	56
14. Please select the ages of the people in your household, including yourself.	56
15. Please select the following categories with which you identify.	57
16. Do you rent or own your current residence?	57

INTRODUCTION & METHODOLOGY

INTRODUCTION


In August 2020, the City of Glendale Common Council voted to give notice to leave the North Shore Library in 2023.

The North Shore Library is a joint library comprising the member communities of Glendale, Fox Point, Bayside, and River Hills. After more than a year of discussion focused on improving the library building and enhancing library service to its community, Glendale made the decision to leave the joint library and move forward with a plan to provide library service that will better meet the needs of its residents.

During April 2021, the City of Glendale sought input from residents on what the community desired for public library service. Surveys were disseminated electronically via social media, e-blasts, the City of Glendale website, and among community partners. Paper copies of the survey were made available to residents as requested.

The City of Glendale received 719 survey responses, and of those, 96.8% of respondents reported that they lived in Glendale. The following analysis provides details on the responses provided by Glendale residents.

DO YOU LIVE IN GLENDALE?




■ Yes, 696 (96.8%) ■ No, 23 (3.2%)

n = 719


HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

BEFORE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, HOW OFTEN DID YOU VISIT THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY?



BEFORE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, HOW OFTEN DID YOU VISIT THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY?

BY SUPPORT FOR LIBRARY IF IT INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT




INSIGHTS: Resident support for a library-related tax increase does not monolithically align with resident usage of the current library.

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

WHAT OTHER LIBRARIES DO YOU USE, IF ANY? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BY SUPPORT FOR LIBRARY IF IT INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT



INSIGHTS: Use of other public libraries is not strongly correlated with support of potential tax increase.

Other responses included:

- Access to the Consolidated System of Milwaukee
- Antigo Public Library
- Bayview
- Cedarburg Library
- Central
- Central library
- downtown Milwaukee Public Library
- East Side Library (Milwaukee Public Library)
- East Side Public Library
- Elmhurst IL public library
- Francis de Sales Seminary Library Cedarburg Public Library
- Germantown
- Google books and similar online resources.

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE


- Grafton Public Library
- Grafton Public Library
- I almost exclusively borrow audiobooks from the North Shore Library. I borrow at least one audiobook per week.
- I also order books and materials online from all county libraries and pick them up at North Shore Library.
- I don't physically go to other libraries, but I do often request items that are not in stock at North Shore from other system libraries.
- I moved here after the start of COVID-19. I had no reason to use the library.
- I only use Northshore - but they cooperate with the other libraries
- I only use the North Shore Library because it's within walking distance
- I only use the North Shore library but frequently request books that are transported from other libraries.
- I order items online from other libraries to be delivered to the North Shore Library
- I purchase books.
- I request books from other libraries, but usually pick them up at Northshore.
- I stopped at the Cedarburg library every now and then because it was right off of the interurban trail and it is nice.
- I use the CountyCat through the Glendale Library 2 or 3 times a month
- I use the MPL on Villard
- I use these libraries when I was looking for special books.
- I used Germantown and Milwaukee in past.
- I used to work at UWM so used their library mostly for music from the fine arts quartet or other faculty, or events in the building.
- I would order materials from other libraries but pick them up at North Shore
- I've only used the North Shore Library.
- If I order audio tapes, books, etc. on line, the items might come from any of the above libraries, but I pick them up at the North Shore Library and I return them at NSL.
- If this is the library on Port Washington Road, I don't use any other library - this one is convenient to me, just a few blocks from my house. I can walk there.
- Just the Wisconsin Digital Library
- Kenosha Public Library University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point Library
- Lived in New Berlin the year before the pandemic. Went there a few times in that year.
- Logan college
- Main & East Side branches of MPL
- Marquette University and UW-Milwaukee
- Mequon-Thiensville
- Mill Road Library
- Mill Street, Locust St.
- Milwaukee County Federated Library System
- None directly but we get material from all over that comes to Glendale.
- none. To be clear, I am REALLY angry that Glendale didn't bother to ask in a survey if north Shore library was wanted. which it is. WFB is my next closest and it SUCKS by comparison.
- North Shore Library is the only Library that I use
- Not all too often
- Not too much. I do most of my reading online.
- Oak Lawn, IL library
- Ocean Springs Library in Mississippi
- On-line service
- Online card for digital reading.
- Online Milwaukee County
- online through Overdrive/Wisconsin Public Library Consortium.
- Only North Shore Library
- Our kids use the library at Glen Hills Middle School.

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

- Pewaukee, Brookfield library, WCTC
- Port Washington for a specific program.
- Public School Library
- Rarely use another library.
- Rhinelander
- School libraries
- Special requests for books that are from other libraries in the Milwaukee county federated system.
- Sussex Public Library
- The books I request are provided by many libraries in the MPL network. Access to those resources are very important to me.
- the Milwaukee Federated Library system on-line to request books from other libraries within the system.
- The online app to stream audio books. Use it heavily.
- Tippecanoe Public Library
- University libraries (I work at a university)
- University of Milwaukee Golda Meir Library
- University of Wisconsin System, Wisconsin Historical Society
- UWM
- UWM Golda Meir Library; Marquette Law School Library; Milwaukee County Courthouse Library; UW Madison Law School Library; Wisconsin Historical Society Library
- UWM Library
- UWM Library
- UWM Library MPL East Branch
- UWM Milwaukee
- Wauwatosa
- we use online services and access the CountyCat multiple times per week.
- Weyenberg in Thiensville
- WLPC digital books via Milwaukee Federated System
- would often reserve books that came from a variety of other libraries also
- Zablocki Library

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

BEFORE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS EXPLAIN WHY YOU USED A LIBRARY OTHER THAN THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY, IF APPLICABLE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)



Other responses included:

- All of above libraries have loaned materials thru MCFLS @ NORTH SHORE LIBRARY
- All of above. North Shore Library was very convenient to me.
- Although North Shore library is a convenient location, I typically only visit to pick up the books reserved using CountyCatMobile. Pre-pandemic, as a remote employee, I'd often find a spot in a library to work for the day. The North Shore library has been my least preferred working spot, simply because the building itself is like a cave and not pleasant to visit.
- Amazon's easier and milwaukee's main library has a better reference desk.
- As noted above, access to the MPL network is very important to me. I am always amazed at the books and materials that I can access through MPL.
- Both the Shorewood Public Library and the downtown Milwaukee location have great children's sections that we liked to visit - the Shorewood for its play area and the Milwaukee for its play things and great selection of kids books,
- Brown Deer Library often has copies of books that North Shore does not have.
- Certain books that I wanted weren't always available at North Shore but may have been available at Whitefish Bay or even Brown Deer when it was still open. Still, I used North Shore as my go to library.
- Convenience at school.
- Did not use another library
- did not use any other libraries
- Downtown drive-thru pick-up or vending library at 64th and Silver Spring
- Drive thru pick up is great at Central MPL *
- Also, staff at other library locations are kinder and more welcoming to people with disabilities than staff at NSL
- During the COVID pandemic the Milwaukee Library was the only one open.

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

- Enjoy the varied spaces and amenities at the different libraries.
- Errands take me to different areas of metro Milwaukee. I visit what is convenient that day.
- FEB is closer to my grand kids house when we are watching yhem.
- For work in between appointments
- Friendlier and more helpful staff at the other libraries I use
- Grandchildren live in WFB
- Grandparents live there and beautiful children's library
- Had an item that I wanted immediately
- Had no need for the library
- Had what I was looking for
- Happened to be in the area.
- Husband worked close to Greenfield
- I also used Brown Deer and WFB when my daughter was little. Purely for variety of outings and exposure to books and shared space.
- I am close to numerous libraries so if it was more convenient or expedient to visit a different library, I would.
- I didn't
- I do a lot of law specific research, while I would not be looking for that from Glendale, the thing of most importance would be a beautiful view and a comfortable seating area for study. Not to be rude, but not a stuffy basement with no view like last time.
- I do not use any other library at this time
- I don't North Shore has lots of great materials and they are KNOWLEDGEABLE. Glendale Common council has made a grave error. REVERSE IT!
- i ENJOY VISITING DIFFERENT LIBRARIES
- I found all of the signs telling patrons what they should not do in the library really uninviting, so I would go to any other library other than North Shore, even if the drive was longer.
- I had a problem with the very outdated tables and chairs, i.e. uncomfortable chairs and table height unsuitable for ergonomic working from a laptop.
- I had business on the south side so this location was the closest.
- I have been charged twice for damaged books that I did not damage, cranky head librarian
- I have lifetime membership
- I just moved to Glendale, was not able to use the library prior to Covid as I lived elsewhere.
- I like the book sale rooms at WFB and Wisconsin Ave Library Cafe.
- I like the current location.
- I lived in Milwaukee before moving to Glendale in 2019.
- I love libraries and love to see different libraries.
- I moved from Shorewood last year and I also liked the layout of that library and how open it is...WFB too. We had meetings at the library, voted there...it was very welcoming.
- I moved here after the start of COVID-19. I had no reason to use the library.
- I moved here after the start of covid.
- I never physically went inside any other library.
- I only use the North Shore Library
- I only use the one on Port Washington Road
- I only visit the NS library.
- I prefer the ebooks available thru the Milwaukee Library Consortium
- I recently moved to Glendale
- I resided in IL pre pandemic
- I try to pick up the book at the library that has it on the shelf. I prefer the North Shore as it is close to my condo. But I work in WFB so I use them and Shorewood too.
- I use other libraries because they have better collections of materials than NorthShore. It is an embarrassment that NorthShore has such a poor collection of materials.
- I use the North Shore Library, I just don't "visit" it physically in person.
- I used an app to download my reading material but my card expired so I no longer use the app. My fault for the lapse but still sad.
- I used online books so online books from Milwaukee federated were available
- I used to live in Shorewood so just went there
- I wanted to check out their books for sale.
- I was driving near it to drop off a book.

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

- I was often nearby or I could see via CountyCat that WFB library had on their shelf the book I was looking for.
- I wasn't aware of the North Shore Library, I visited in March but it wasn't much space to do anything probably. Due to Covid regulations the capability or capacity was limited.
- I work at the WFB library
- I would like a more appealing physical space. A amazing children section.
- I would use the Milwaukee Public library for specific archives and collections. I use the East Branch for nice, reservable study space. I sometimes use Whitefish Bay Library if it has a title I want. I overwhelmingly use the Northshore Library and am especially grateful to be able to request items online from other libraries for pick-up at my local branch.
- I'm a student at UWM
- If I am in an area I often use the library of that area.
- If northshore didn't have it, i then tried Whitefish bay, down town milw, our UWM
- in rare occasions Whitefish Bay had a book I could get quickly
- in the area
- In the neighborhood at the time
- In the vicinity
- It's a warmer newer environment, also close to me and they provide the services I need.
- Just for variety.
- Just get some of my books digitally.
- Just in the neighborhood
- Kids play area
- Lived elsewhere (we moved here in fall 2020)
- Location near family member
- Location not convenient
- Location over Dr. Center
- Longer loan period
- Material I was looking for was available at other libraries; sometimes just happened to be in a different part of town and went into the library to see what they had.
- meetings for plant based diet group
- More available to young kids.
- more child-friendly physical space with multiple children programs and materials better/larger selection of audioCD books
- More new materials at the downtown library.
- Most importantly was a superior digital system with a large archive.
- moved to glendale
- Na, I only use the NS library.
- Near my work
- Never used another library.
- North Shore is very conveniently located for us, as is Whitefish Bay. Milwaukee Central Library often has materials aren't available at North Shore or WFBay. I am personally a "browser" ... love to wander the stacks, which is always fun to do at the downtown library.
- North Shore Library was my main library. About once a month I would go to different libraries for the variety of communities, their library environments and their patrons.
- Northshore library seemed unorganized and chaotic. I like the WFB library because of the way it's laid out. It's a welcoming space and makes me want to spend a couple of hours there.
- Offered more kid friendly space
- On-line to download items
- Online books
- Online databases are only accessible at MPL
- Online digital is convenient for me.
- Only download for reading.
- Only would go to another library if they had a book I wanted that day, otherwise I would order that book to go to North Shore.
- out of town in summer
- out of town use
- Previously resided in Shorewood
- Provided children books that I was looking for. It was easier to go in rather than requesting them.
- Recently moved to Glendale
- Recently moved to Glendale (November 2020)
- Shorewood and WFB have the best kids area. Wauwatosa has amazing community rooms And meeting space.
- Shorewood senior center is in its library so that one was convenient
- Since the pandemic I am working from home and now walk to the library on a routine basis. I now rely solely on the North Shore library.
- So many books!

HISTORY OF LIBRARY USAGE

- Some libraries had a larger selection of the books I am interested in.
- Sometimes it's faster, easier than transferring a book from one library to another. The North Shore is my favorite library.
- Sometimes we stop in at other libraries to look at new materials or buy used materials.
- Space and personnel more friendly and welcoming in Whitefish Bay. Materials easier to find.
- Story time was at a time that worked best for me.
- The amount of days that I could keep a DVD was more generous.
- The book I was looking to read would be available.
- The children's section of the Milwaukee public library is physically bigger and has more materials/books/program's for the children.
- The county libraries have s broader collection of books than the North Shore library. Is the new Glendale library going to be connected to the County system?
- THE LIBRARIAN HAS MORE TIME TO HELP YOU
- The Library Downtown is gorgeous. I would make an outing of it. The Tippecanoe Library is near a friend's house and a convenient place to meet.
- The library had a book sale I wanted to check on.
- The location of the library was closer to work office building.
- The North Shore Library is most convenient to me.
- The other library's that we use offer more children fun interactive and fun activities that are interest to our children and us as a family. They also had tablets and better selection of kid books and programs.
- The services of my institution's library are very appropriate for my professional needs.
- The staff is significantly nicer.
- They were on my way home from work and happened to have the book I wanted at the time, so it was convenient to stop by for it.
- This was my childhood library
- Use of copy machine
- Used to live closer to downtown Milwaukee
- way bigger children's section. So cramped at North shore
- We enjoy the Whitefish Library due to the large children's area. They have a variety of stations and the flow is really nice - big and open.
- We just moved to the area
- We love the free events for kids at the libraries in the Milwaukee Area
- We moved to Glendale from Whitefish Bay 12 months ago. The Northshore library felt cramped and dark compared to the Whitefish Bay Library. We would love a library that is closer than Whitefish Bay but has some of its amenities, including use of community rooms that give Glendale residents preference.
- We stopped using the NS library a few years back. Other libraries have staff that are more friendly—and appreciate diversity. The WFB library is also much closer.
- We would sometimes travel to the main library downtown just for the experience as well.
- We're pretty recently moved to Glendale, the others are on the way up from work... Not actually sure where the North Shore Library is.
- WFB is close to my work place.
- Whenever I am downtown, I visit the Main Library as a treat (love the space)
- Work takes to areas of another library's jurisdiction.

INSIGHTS: Residents use other libraries out of convenience and for amenities, such as larger collections and larger, more updated space.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

AS GLENDALE TRANSITIONS FROM THE JOINT NORTH SHORE LIBRARY, WHAT DO YOU HOPE FOR IN FUTURE LIBRARY SERVICE?

Common themes of responses to this open-ended question included:

1. Breadth and depth of physical and electronic collection (page 13);
2. Resources for children and teens (page 15);
3. Location of library facility (page 17);
4. Library programs (page 17);
5. Characteristics of the space within the library facility (page 18);
6. Comments that included multiple categories of suggestions (page 19);
7. Questions and concerns about maintaining existing library service through the North Shore Library (page 24); and
8. Miscellaneous comments (page 27).

PHYSICAL & ELECTRONIC COLLECTION

- A better variety of new books and dvds.
- A broad selection of DVD's
- A good selection of books along with educational and information/data sources.
- Good selection of current periodicals and newly released non fiction.
- Good selection of materials, community programming and resources.
- Good selection of new books.
- Great book and DVD library with lots of current fiction.
- I borrowed mostly puzzles and some Dementia materials. Loved the idea of the Barn Shed/ lending things other than books.....
- More video game systems
- Movie cd on rare occasions
- My primary interest is in access to the spectrum of materials available from the North Shore Library and the MPL network. The people at North Shore Library are excellent, the activities for all ages, especially the book club all contribute with my delight with the North Shore Library.
- new books
- Newspapers, magazines, books for sale
- Offer a wide selection of materials & a beautiful child area & selection
- Online services like Libby and Hoopla
- Computers, books, DVDs.
- Continue the Hoopla app
- A wide variety of books across fiction and non-fiction, a wide variety of magazines, audio books, movie dvds, whatever the North Shore Library and any other library would offer.
- Access to a larger collection of books!
- Access to books, etc. Acceptance of books to library - used and newly used books
- Access to libraries for downloads.
- access to numerous books
- Access to the broadest possible library materials and increased online access to films, recordings, magazines, and ebooks.
- Access to the County federated library system
- Access to the Milwaukee County Federated Library System
- Additional current adult books.
- availability of books and movies
- availability of books even when coming from other libraries. -The outstanding service currently given at North Shore

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Based on where I live in Glendale I'll probably always be closer to the WFB Library for physical books but I would love to have access to more digital books and steaming movies via the Glendale library.
- Better browsing capabilities. I like to browse new/recent titles to find new authors/series. Also, when I discover a new series NSL rarely has so I end up putting on hold and having interlibrary transfer
- Better/more options for e-books
- Books on tape
- Continue to provide access to audiobooks. If it's a nice library with an impressive collection and technology, then I will visit. I've found the NSL depressing and I'm not impressed with their collection.
- Continued access to the Milwaukee County Federated Library System. Most critical is continued access to the Hoopla service for audiobooks for blind and visually impaired people. Also, continued friendly and highly service-oriented staff as well as a reading room with current periodicals and newspapers.
- Digital access to books both text and audio versions.
- Digital book rental
- Digital loans, an app to reserve books
- E-books; access to digital magazines; access to Wall Street Journal online.
- easy availability and accessibility of information and materials
- Ebook and audiobook availability
- Large digital archives, especially availability to local publications (Whitefish Bay Herald for example) from the 60's and 70's. Would desire a large traditional American History selection.
- Large print books
- Larger capacity for shelving of more books.
- Shorter waiting lists for books in high demand.
- That materials are quickly available if not in our local library
- Continued online services, i read most of my books online.
- Continued partnership with the Milwaukee Public Library system, so that we can borrow books from any branch library.
- I hope the plan is to stay in the Milwaukee county system. Maintain digital services. I use online resources like Libby and other programs of the Milwaukee system. Also borrow books from other libraries.
- Inclusion of large print books
- larger selection of hardcover books to borrow, especially new best-selling novels
- Mainly I use online book so I hope we are able to join a group where we will have access to a large collection of online or ebooks available
- more books available at the library versus having to request and wait for the books from another library.
- More materials online
- More movie options
- More movies to rent.
- Retaining membership in hoopla and overdrive. Interlibrary loan program.
- That there are more books on the shelves; more books available.
- That you retain or expand the book selection
- I primarily use the library for access to books on CD. I hope the future library will continue to have access to a variety of books in this format.
- I really want us to still be part of the Milwaukee Public Library System, so that we can reserve books from this larger system. I check County Cat all the time for books. I'm in 2 book clubs.
- more best sellers-fiction and non-fiction. more books in an educational/current affairs venue
- The major concern for me is that Glendale continues to be a part of the Milwaukee County Federated Library system so that during transition and beyond I can always get materials from another library within the system.
- to be a part of the Milwaukee library system
- To be able to have the same access to books and with the same renewing privledges.
- To still be able to get books from other libraries
- Top quality lending/materials available at North Shore Library just as before pandemic.
- Updated reference materials in arts/crafts sections.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Use on line library most of time
- I want in-person ability to browse the collection of materials including books, magazines and DVDs
- I want to make sure we remain members of the county library system so that I can continue to reserve books and materials from throughout the entire county system.
- I would hope that the Glendale library is still part of the main library system where books/movies can be requested from other libraries within the Milwaukee Public Library System if not available at our local library (not that it will be independent of the system).
- This library should discontinue DVD and video game rentals. It should be devoted to reading, research and studying, not Blockbuster 2.

RESOURCES FOR CHILDREN AND TEENS

- A better kids area.
- A better layout so my kids can more easily find books they want to read
- A better space for children to learn and explore in the library and expanded book selection.
- A big children's section with lots of books and toys similar to the central library location before COVID.
- A caring space that promotes true values for our children and grandchildren. nothing political! Politics are destroying our society Keep it a happy space NO agendas!
- A great kids program and area within the library
- A large children's section with both books and activities for kids. Something besides books to make kids want to stay longer. Continue to offer programs for kids - reading time, songs, etc.
- A large, colorful children's area.
- A library geared more toward middle and high school age children. Something that welcomes them and doesn't make it feel overwhelming to find new adventures that are the right reading level.
- A more comfortable space for kids and better children's programming.
- A more interactive and engaging children's library
- A more interactive children section, more Lucky Day books, more recent audiobooks
- A nice, quiet, safe place to take my daughter for something to do on the weekends. Young children seem to really enjoy the act of picking out/exchanging physical children's books (the checkout process as well!). Children's activities are a plus. It's also wonderfully fun and convenient when there's a playground onsite/short walking distance.
- Great Children and Teen Programs, lots of books and media including new items.
- Great children's area, continued great selection of books, classes and programs.
- Great reading programs for kids and frankly a more inviting, aesthetically-pleasing space.
- Hours and thought given to families with children. Larger children's area.
- A place for kids to use their imagination.
- A place to take children (story time, fun programs, etc)
- A space that is child-friendly and that is easily accessible to Glendale residents, particularly those who use public transit or rely on others to get around. A place for people from all over Glendale to congregate and utilize library services.
- Child play/reading area, group crafting activities, trivia nights, or other get togethers that harness the community.
- Children's area and services Ability to seamlessly request and pick-up
- Children's programs
- Children's Programs and crafts (the homeschool program in particular)
- Children's programming
- Children's section with an area to read books, selection of DVD's, curbside pickup
- Children's services and community activities & services
- Clean and inviting space for children, access to Milwaukee library system's book catalog, space to study
- A very large Children's section, Story Time, and lots of windows!
- An amazing kid space! Newer books, a play area, kids programming and a dedicated room for it, etc. I find the current NSL kid area to be so subpar to shorewood and WFB

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- An improved children's area. A place with a lot of reading nooks as my kids and I love to read books while we visit
- Better organization of kids fiction with non fiction
- Better space and programming for children--that's why we go/went elsewhere most often. Nice work spaces, separate rooms as well as general work spaces. It is great to have a space outside the home to go to and work when staying home is just not desirable.
- Better space for kids
- Bigger kids section and more activities for children. More encouragement for the summer reading programs, story times etc.
- Books for children to help reading at home
- Continued, huge variety of books for kids 0-18, convenient location & weekend & evening hours for working families
- Continuing excellence in children's library services.
- Expanded programs and spaces for middle school and high school students
- Extensive children and teen collection so that the library becomes a gathering place for families with children of all ages.
- I want a strong children's section; I'm willing to request books from elsewhere in the library system but when I go to the library with my children we need to leave with a book or movie then.
- Kid friendly, lots of space for kids to enjoy reading.
- Kids activities/programs
- Kids events on Saturday's are great. I like the curbside service. I like ordering items online to pick up.
- Kids programming
- Kids programs and better space for the children's area. More community space to read and play in a nice setting.
- Lots of children's programs
- Lots of kids programming, events, and materials.
- services and classes for kids
- Similar services. Children's area, books and a reading room. Videos
- Strong children's programming
- Strong kids program, wide selection of kids and juvenile fiction and nonfiction kept in proximity of each other. Play area. Open on Sundays.
- Continued and perhaps more children's activities. I have been pleased with children's opportunities so far and the zoom and bag of activities. I would like this to continue. A bigger children's area with toys. More materials. Often when I look for a specific top it is not available at NS.
- I hope there is a robust children's department (events, activities, diverse materials).
- I loved going to the library when I was younger because everything I would be looking for would be in the kids section. I think that having sections in categories or what a certain age group might enjoy would be cool. Puzzles and Board Games would be cool as well.
- I would still like to see toddler/children's groups/activities available to participate in
- I'd like a library that's close to my home that offers free events for children, since I have 2 young children.
- I have appreciated the programs that encourage kids to read. I would like to see those continue.
- It's critical for a creative space for early childhood development and programs that make it unique. Also STEM and hands on technology such as VR and 3D printers will allow the community to learn in great ways.
- more children programs and a better children space
- More kids programs
- More programming for young children. Technology and resources to help older students succeed. Reading spaces that incorporate the outdoors.
- Programs and activities for my child
- Programs for children. Video / movie lending.
- Programs for toddlers
- Reading programs for children.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- With a 1 1/2 year old and a newborn, I'd hope for good programs to be offered for young kids and families.
- Would love to see a great kids space. Love the ability to rent out board games as well. And a fine forgiveness program please! We always rent 20 or so kid books at a time, and if I'm a little late returning, we rack up awful fines that makes me not able to rent again so I can pay them off!
- I recently moved to Glendale from WFB and we own our first home here. I hope that Glendale will develop robust options for children as well plan to raise our family here. We absolutely would love storytimes and a fun children's area.
- I want a place my kids can enjoy.
- More activities for children and a place for tutoring
- Toddler/children programming

LOCATION OF LIBRARY

- A close, convenient, nice location that is supported by it's users.
- parking and proximity to MCTS
- Close and convenient.
- Availability of use at a nearby library like Brown Deer or WFB
- Convenience of location, access to all library function that exist now.
- Convenient location and access,
- Convenient location in Glendale Please leave the library in the same location. Very convenient
- Convenient location with ample ground level parking. I hope we can hold on to all or most of the services and resources we have at the North Shore Library.
- Convenient location with ample parking.
- Conveniently located to where I live
- Similar convenient location.
- I like the current location. Try to stay there.
- I'd love to have it located in a place which I felt comfortable biking with children.
- Keep it in the same area it is in due to everyone in the area can use the library. Clean out the old books in back of the library but keep the areas for us to read and enjoy the resources it provides
- Location is important. The North Shore Library's location has been perfect for us. It's easy to stop there.
- That you remain in Glendale
- Within walking distance - I don't drive
- Would like to see a more inviting space that is more centrally located. The location of the library east of the expressway and on a street that was not pedestrian or bike friendly is a problem

LIBRARY PROGRAMS AND EVENTS

- Great classes and activities for children and adults.
- Multigenerational community events (after covid restrictions)
- I hope to feel a sense of community. I would like to see a lot of interest in book discussion groups and movies.
- Local events, such a community hobby groups or discussion groups
- More interested programs for the community
- More programs
- Programming
- Story times and other programming for the youth in the community & a book club for Adults

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

LIBRARY FACILITY, SPACE, AND ATMOSPHERE

- A better physical space. Big, modern, great play area, and space for classes gatherings kid events etc. good technology too
- A brand new, state-of-the-art building instead of a run-down, dilapidated cavern with books in it.
- A cleaner, more updated and aesthetically pleasing space like Whitefish Bay or Shorewood library
- A comfortable safe area to read , research and get materials
- A community space that offers classes, resources, etc.
- A functional and inviting space that is up to date with books and technology.
- A functional state of the art library in Glendale, or Or together with a neighboring city/village.
- A large inviting space (much bigger than current location) that has public meeting spaces, a coffee house vibe, enhanced children's programming and indoor/outdoor community gathering space. Maybe in Baysshore?
- A library that will be designed more for 2031 than 2011.
- A lovely space, access to the materials I want/use.
- A more appealing/comfortable atmosphere. Otherwise, I was happy with the services offered by the North Shore Library.
- A more open no larger physical space
- More space - a little more breathing room inside and in the parking lot. A space you could rent out would be nice too.
- more welcoming atmosphere and comfortable areas to read
- Natural light, inviting space. Comfortable places to sit so you can read or work. Bigger children's area to include toys and places to read.
- nice convenient location, with plenty of parking. and a modern facility
- Our own library in Glendale with a green space.
- A nicer space, if possible. We mainly use the library to check out books though, so really, book accessibility is the most important thing for my family.
- A place to browse for books and DVDs. I enjoy the atmosphere of books around me. I enjoy the book sales. It is fun to hear folks talk to the check-out folks and kids little voices. I enjoy the teens snickering to one another.
- Comfortable, roomy, socially distanced (because this is the new normal) work and reading stations.
- Comfy place to read magazines and newspapers
- A world-class space that creates a sense of community and provides the resources our city needs.
- All the services that are currently at the North Shore library but with a larger community room that can hold up to 50 people comfortably.
- An attractive space and drive up book return.
- An inviting and attractive space to read, do research and be part of the intellectual community. Also I am a professional artist and would be willing to donate a work of art to the new library.
- an physically attractive library conducive to in library reading and magazine browsing
- An updated facility
- Attractive space for reading, quiet time, spreading papers out on a table to work on.
- Beautiful views with wide open spaces and a comfortable reading area. Like the UW Law School main reading room.
- Beautiful, comfortable, functional hard copy and virtual reading/work space.
- Better accomodations for reading, browsing, relaxing with books and magazines.
- better seating, more room
- Large enough gathering spaces for community meetings and small group meetings.
- Larger Community Meeting Spaces Would be nice for big groups.
- Looking for a cozy reading area and ample parking.
- The same services I enjoy now, but in a more pleasant facility
- An attractive community space - full of children reading and a hub of activity. Location and aesthetics of the building are very important to me.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I hope for a quiet environment.
- I hope there will be meeting rooms for groups to gather.
- I need a place where I can think. Attractive light-wood clad, sunlight-friendly, seating space.
- I would still like a library but with better flow and larger children's area. Also, I think a large outdoor space with a garden and summer events would be a really cool way to get the community together.
- I would like the space layout etc. to be more inviting. Increased community rooms.
- Inspiring architecture.
- More inviting, modern space, larger library with comfortable seating. Better location than in a boring office building.
- More modern facility. Nicer space than in an office building. Maybe at or near Bayshore mall. Nice sitting area inside.
- More selections, nice sitting areas and meeting space
- More space
- Public meeting space with WiFi and a kitchenette.
- Quiet and private spaces to sit and read or do work. Good wifi.
- Welcoming space where I can work, good hours, walkable
- WFB is a great example from an aesthetic POV. A coffee shop (perhaps run by a program that serves the disabled in our community), a bookstore, a book exchange, meeting rooms (large and small), weekly/monthly programming for kids-adults, a space for Nicolet rec programs.
- To remain part of the county system to be in or near Glendale to continue to employ beloved staff. Bigger space maybe?
- Up to date design, space for different groups-adults, youth of all ages and laptop borrowers. Also, it would be great to have more comfortable and ventilated space for 1 or 2 people working in a small room.
- Updated amenities. Updated play area for the children. The library needs to be more broadly looked at as a source of knowledge and learning and is a platform for information.
- I want to see nice large workspaces that are naturally lit, comfortable chairs, and access to things like 3d printers and laser cutters.

MULTIPLE SUGGESTIONS

- 3D printer, continue to provide children's picture books,. I feel like we did all this when we gave input on what we wanted if they remodeled the present North Shore library. That survey was much more detailed as well. Meanwhile the library failed to progress.
- 7 day service. Hours morning afternoon and evening. Movie programs. Special programs. A wide range of physical books. Using meeting rooms. Large space for lectures, civic topics.
- a beautiful space, more room for books, a cute and comfortable children's space, a coffee shop in library, size-inclusive seating (<https://canweallgo.com/2020/02/27/watch-share-experts-explain-how-to-pick-seating-plus-size-people-love/>)
- A better facility that is maintained to appropriate standards and a collection that is sufficiently broad to serve the community and other libraries.
- A better, more inviting space, better book selections and continued public educational opportunities
- A conveniently located, clean space that is well stocked & offers interesting programs
- A digital hub with internet service from this century not tin cans and wire. A commitment to periodicals. Rental space. Maintaining levels of books and media. Children's librarian
- A focus on traditional literature/history library, as well as a place for people to access free internet/basic research resources especially for those of lower income
- A full working library connected to the library system, offering a range of resources, books, technology and programs.
- A good location with ease of access. A meeting place with educational venues. Helpful staff
- A large selection of movies and TV shows on DVD -Training programs for computers and electronic devices -Community classes, games, craft lessons, etc -Senior programs, including a delivery service for disabled folks

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- A modern library needs to be up to date with the latest technology available for accessing information. I hope it will be part of the county library system to allow for access to more materials.
- Good electronic capabilities, good programs for children and adults
- Good hours - ideally opening a little earlier than ten - and good children's/young adult fiction and non-fiction selections.
- Great selection of materials, kids' and adult programming, computer usage, community space, part of the Milwaukee County Library system, reading area, private rooms for study
- More space inside, plenty of good parking; great selection of hardcover and softcover fiction books; books on tape for sight challenged friends. Also magazine eg National Geographic; Achaeology; crafts.
- More space, more recently published books.
- Online availability with a separate website and a wide selection of books
- Online book request; movie rentals; printing services
- Online e-book services, community gathering space, expanded children's section, more computer access,
- Online ordering and curbside pickup. Children's reading clubs/programs. Adult study/work areas with extended early/late hours and private office type video conference areas.
- Online requests; community programs; book club
- More space, that's truly the only reason I go to other library's. I still use the North shore branch but once 2-3 families come in the children section its cramped.
- A parking lot that doesn't get bottle necked. Continue to have print magazines and newspapers and a good area to read in. Significant weekend and evening hours.
- A physical library with access to books, videos, music, and computers staffed with librarians.
- A strong system of recommendations, friendly librarians who know their stuff--the things we had before. I would like it to still be close to Nicolet High School because that's really convenient for students.
- Closer to us in Glendale, centrally located, easy to access by students, close to residential. More inviting space for studying, group work. Materials catered to browsing; can order other materials from other Milwaukee Counties libraries on demand. For example, a good selection of kids books, videos, etc. Focus on community/study space.
- Collaboration with the Milwaukee Public Library CountyCat system. Interesting book groups and guest speakers. Community room availability. Airy, inviting physical premises. Availability of journals and periodicals.
- Collaboration with the schools for easy check out of books used in the battle of the books competition
- Community building activities, continue increasing of lending items beyond typical media, central location easily accessed without driving
- Community room. Classes. Books. Teen programs. Good location. Safety.
- Connection to the Milwaukee County system, computer access, online services like eBook, language learning resources, family activities/children's space
- Continuation of current movie rentals, children's programs, computer and copier availability.
- A type of traditional library with features of the new technology that keeps its services up-to-date.
- A wide selection of actual books and films; comfortable atmosphere; good children's collection, area and programs; meeting space.
- a. Adequate/Safe parking b. Available staffing when needed c. Ability to obtain books and/or materials from other libraries that are not available in Glendale Library d. Qualified staff
- Ability to browse books, DVDs, audiobooks & CDs. Pickup held items requested online. Scan items to my email address. Buy books, etc 2x during the calendar year. Additional space to show items on shelves. Craft projects for all ages..Assistance from librarians.
- Ability to order books from any Milw library Access to genealogy databases Assistance from librarians
- Access to new books, dvds. A strong collection. Community Room with public events and activities. Keep your children's reading programs -- very vital!
- Accessibility. It is important to have hours that are convenient to people in school or who work during the day.
- Accessible location, self-checkout, continue tech classes, access to video transfer, photo scan equipment, magazine reading area

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Accessible, broad variety of reading materials, quiet areas.
- ALL of No. 6 are needed.
- All of the things listed in Question 6.
- An inclusive Library that offers quality programming. With a top notch staff to serve our diverse population.
- An increase in current books and media and more community events.
- As large a circulation as we now have. Ability to get books from other libraries through inter library loans. A reference librarian always on site for help. Internet axis.
- Availability to specific needs of all ages - workshops-current topics of interest to attendees-volunteer opportunities
- Best seller books, copy machines, tax forms, special events room, book sales, available computers
- Better book selection, more copies of new releases, improved lighting, more programs, space for book clubs to meet and continuation of book sales.
- Better children's library (space, programming, and resources), better access to online research databases, more access to digital resources such as retaining hoopla and expanding e-book and online audiobook collections, other services would be great such as tool rental, 3d printer, digitizing tapes, etc.
- Better space for community meetings. Better parking. A place that is accessible by bicycle or walking without endangering your life being forced to ride or walk on busy streets with no alternative. Hopefully safe access from the high school.
- Big, clean, organized, comfortable, inviting, with online features and simple hold/pickup
- Books, magazines, DVDs with self serve stations. Special events/programs
- Borrowing books, kids programming, movie rentals, work space rentals, cafe space
- brainstorming space for team work and individual time. Quality technology, materials, and resources. Conference rooms or one nice size one for community gatherings. Presentation equipment and white/dry erase boards. etc.. Coffee and snack machines
- E-books, public internet access, physical book check out. Space for community events/educational programs. Child/family events/activities.
- Easy access. Study tables. Welcoming, cozy feel.
- Enhanced materials/better collection. Better designed physical space.
- Keeping the amazing staff and kid programming is my biggest concern. A better kids area, with activities and programs for all ages. A maker space, with 3-d printers and tools. A community kitchen space would be amazing, with classes. Better meeting spaces. Available for meetings and events.
- Knowledgeable helpful staff Programs for adult education/book discussion
- Knowledgeable librarians who can provide professional help and education for adults and children seeking materials and information and who can teach the use of databases and technology. Lots of children's programming which promotes literacy, fun, promotes library use and collaborates with local schools to promote summer reading programs. Room for small group collaboration as well as meeting space for larger community groups.
- Large selection, clean and organized space
- Larger area More books on CD
- Larger community space, programs for all ages
- Lots of children's books. Lots of new books selections. Programming for adults and kids. Performances.
- Lots of different programs that appeal to lots of different age groups: cooking classes, beer classes, wine making and tasting classes, toddler classes, summer programs, hs tutors...
- Shorter waiting period for new released books and DVD's. -Keep the current library staff -Afternoon and evening programs for all ages
- Continued collection of paperback and hardcover books. Secure Computer access.
- Continued ease of in/ out and availability of the books I want. I want study space so if my kids need to work in a quiet space outside of home they have somewhere to go
- Continued prompt receipt of books from other libraries in the County System. Convenient hours
- County cat availability, a place to take grand children to read or partake in children's activities, borrow books and pictures.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- County cat availability; same hours; friends of the library sales; same or expanded book availability
- Curbside pick up continued Online reservation Easily navigable online resources
- Current releases of new fiction. Year round weekend hours.
- For the North Shore joint libraries working together to update and purchase better selection of LGBTQ+ movies. I find less than resourceful at this time. New groups, to use facilities. Helpful instructors, such as writing classes, reading classes - classes I missed in school.
- Free, high speed internet access; wide range of periodicals.
- Friendly staff, reasonable hours, easy access.
- Friendly, good selection, staff are given disability and cultural competency professional development. Drive thru pick up would be terrific.
- Friendly, inviting staff. Electronic upgrade, self service, more non-fiction books. Prefer books over e-books as they tend to cause headaches if reading a long time
- Glendale needs a library! A convenient location with adequate parking and staff.
- I got to the library weekly with my kids, so youth programming is important to me. We also really value being connected to the network of Milwaukee libraries where we can borrow books from other branches. We use this service heavily and find great value in sharing books across many branches. I have also been very impressed with the community programming that's run out of the library. I have begun to see it in a new way - as a community hub, not just a place to check out books.
- I hope the new library will be in an easily accessible location with plenty of parking. We hope for a large selection of books , movies and music. The library should still be part of the Milw County Public Library System.
- I would love to be able to WALK safely to the library. I have been happy with all the services, staff, and multimedia available at the North Shore Library.
- I would use a local public library that has a decent collection, including ebooks, videos, and programming.
- improved surroundings; convenient location
- Increased family and and children's programs, A Strong Children's Library that offers up to date tech options to practice, programs to teach children how to do create or do new innovative things. Better hours. Small group rooms for children and adults to work on projects or have meetings. A better connection with our public school and what students will be learning about/ benefiting from.
- I would like book clubs. It would be nice to have a coffee shop reading room that would house the 'books for sale". I have used and loved access to the small private study rooms. SPACE, SPACE, SPACE, SPACE, SPACE.
- increased space, improved layout of physical space, more programs for adults and children
- Interlibrary loan, children's programs.
- Internet access, variety of research materials, comfortable seating/work areas
- It should be big enough in space to hold many books of various kinds without the necessity of having to regularly order from another library. Second, focus on Newer Books.
- Larger selection of everything , private study rooms , better disposition of the librarians, significant children's area with good programming for children. More reference type books so you don't always have to go to downtown to use them.
- Less physical building and materials and more online offerings in materials, programs and services.
- Location, service, materials easy to access and sense of community
- Magazine subscriptions, reference books for Everyday living, technology opens (download books on tape), good Selection of Contemporary books, meeting room Space)educational Options (how to use technology to optimize Library use)
- More books in the library; convenient central location in Glendale.
- More books, not so dark and better spaces, more materials (databases, other items)
- More current movies to borrow; ability to borrow past issues of magazine. I read Threads regularly but can't get issues more than a year old because of lack of storage. That sends me to Brown Deer Library where I can get them. events for older adults are mostly scheduled during the day. I work and cannot attend them. I know that older people don't want to go out at night, especially in the winter but a late afternoon slot might be a compromise. Putting useful user friendly info in the newsletters about using

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

electronic materials. I just got a notice about Hoopla being discontinued but have not seen an explanation as to why.

- More Materials and space, better Internet Access
- More materials on hand at Glendale's library and better programs.
- More programs for all ages. More space for meetings and quiet space
- Pleasant environment, lots of choices, programming for the community members of all ages, easy of service.
- Private study and meeting spaces; programming for children
- Programs for all ages. Up to date material/ books. Computer space. Study rooms. Community room for programs. Good children's area with variety of books and space.
- Quiet space for studying. Bigger room for kids to play in.
- Quiet spaces, areas to meet with others to work/study, interesting programs for all ages, variety of learning resources and technology
- reasonable hours and depth in the materials available
- Reasonable hours, plentiful books.
- Remain Part of the federated system for Milwaukee County period increase the availability of popular books weather in print or digital. Improved offerings for programming for non-child adults
- We go for the kids books and the kids story times, programming for kids, a good kids area, adult books, adult education
- Welcoming children's area. Bring back the friends book sale.
- Wide hours of availability and lots of access especially for children
- wide isles, large selection of current books and magazines, comfortable seating and a coffee bar would be great.
- Wide range of materials, earlier opening hours, pleasant, up-to-date space
- Wide selection of books media and community engagement
- Wide variety of materials. Less cramped space.
- Youth and adult programs, study/small group rooms.
- I hope for a library that is technologically advanced, has flexible meeting space for a wide variety of needs and audiences, has plenty of parking for larger events and activities, caters to all individuals across the lifespan, and has plenty of small and mid-size meeting spaces for residents to use for a variety of purposes
- I think books and ebooks in all formats are important. Programs for children are important. Adult and teen programs are not important. public computers, scanners, copiers and wifi are important
- I want a library with a large and broad collection of books easily accessible for browsing. A nice selection of music and movies is a plus. Although my kids are older now, a robust children's library is important. And, naturally, pleasant and helpful staff is to be valued.
- Modern library, up to date technology, large selection, separate area for non adults
- The same services provided at the North Shore Library, possibly longer hours (until later) due to the hours for people, particularly those working 9-5 jobs, not being advantageous to come.
- to continue. expand collection of books, cd's, magazines etc. increase adult and child programs. update computer area.
- To have it continue to be a place where people can run into others from the community. To have a place where I can physically browse available items. Loved how North Shore put themed displays of movie choices or book choices.
- Variety of recently published books, as well as historical works; meeting space for local organizations; access to printers for use with thumb drives, etc.
- Very basic: a good selection of books (current and otherwise) in a nice setting.
- video library, book borrowing, Ebooks, E audiobooks, children's section with playthings, reference, librarian to help as needed, place for community gatherings
- I want a robust community partner that provides an excellent and easy to use robust collection, modern online services and community programming. I want a gathering place that becomes a hub for diverse peoples to socialize, interact, learn and relax.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I would hope that the library is more centrally located, if it is a true Glendale library. I'm in the part of Glendale that is close to Estabrook Park, and North Shore was twice as far as the Whitefish Bay location. For parents, I think having nice areas for children to play and have story time, etc., would be a nice feature. Continued access to interlibrary loans, providing access to services for those that don't have WiFi, computers, etc.
- I would hope that we still have access to a large catalogue of books and ebooks, and that there is a welcoming space for community members to meet and attend programs
- Maintain services and inventory. Nicer space. Outreach to school children. Access for seniors (I'm not there yet, but they are a HUGE base of patrons).
- Maintain status as part of a federated library system so that interlibrary loans are still available ... *Bright, cheerful, well-stocked children's area with children's librarian available + large- and small-muscle equipment + children's programming ... *Online catalogs and search capabilities + computers available for community use with high speed internet ... *Physically pleasant and welcoming space in checkout area with helpful, pleasant staffing ... *Librarian on premises for assistance as needed ... *Private quiet space that can be reserved for studying ... *Private quiet space that can be reserved for internet-based meetings for those who cannot conduct them at home ... *A wealth of physical books to browse and to check out ... *DVDs, CDs, audiobooks, and other media, available to check out ... *Community resources and programming to encourage learning and use of tools like sewing machines, 3D printers, and other scientific and domestic tools and equipment ... *Adequate comfortable sitting areas throughout the library to encourage solo reading ... *Separate coffee shop / snack area with seating, to encourage people to gather and interact with other community members ... *Restroom facilities ... *Guest speakers and other programming to make learning opportunities available to all age groups ... **Volunteer opportunities for adults and teens, to foster a sense of community ownership and participation in the library and its programming, as well as the city
- The service that's most important to me is being able to request titles online via the CountyCat system and to be able to pick them up at my local branch. Second most important to me is study space that is welcoming and reservable. Third most important to me is that it be a community space serving the community's needs, even if those needs don't line up with the way I most often want to use the space. For example, I think library programming and public access to computers/internet/printing is extremely important, even though I don't tend to utilize those services.
- There needs to be books to browse, especially for kids. I hope there are programs. Glendale has no senior center and the library already fills some needs. Also for kids (or grandkids.) Must be part of MCFLS. I use the library extensively, often reserving books first. I'd love nice space for reading magazines or newspapers.

MAINTAINING CURRENT LEVEL OF LIBRARY SERVICE

- A library located in Glendale, providing the same services as the North Shore Library.
- A library nearby, just like the one we have!
- Hope similar services and materials are offered at a comparable tax burden
- Hoping we don't transition and all the grown ups and sit down and work it out. However, if that can't be accomplished, I hope a new library would have a better selection of newer materials, keep community programming for children and seniors.
- I actually have been extremely happy with this library- including the building, the layout, the employees and staff and the available books and media and programming. I really have no idea why we are leaving this wonderful library but I can only hope that the new one is as nice
- I actually like the library just the way it is
- I am happy with the status quo.
- I am satisfied with the North Shore Library
- I am totally disappointed as I LOVE the North Shore Library and its location. A replica. Why couldn't you negotiate w/ them???

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I am very disappointed in the decision to leave the joint North Shore Library. In future library service I would hope for a diverse material collection, vibrant programming for all ages, and multi-purpose space.
- My taxes better not go up because of this
- North Shore Library provides all services I need.
- North Shore served my needs just fine.
- not losing tax payer money, from it.
- Not too concerned about a library in Glendale when other libraries are available.
- Continue a great service.
- continue the good service that we now get classes on how to use certain types of electronic equipment or how to use certain Libby functions.
- All the programs and services now offered at the North Shore Library to be continued.
- All the same services that North Shore Library currently offers. Also, why weren't Glendale residents asked about this decision BEFORE it was made? I love the North Shore Library and will continue to use it. This decision seems to be a waste of my tax dollars since North Shore is great, convenient and connected to the greater Milwaukee library system. It seems our tax dollars should be used for something more important than a new library when we have a perfectly good one in the community.
- All the services that are provided now.
- All the services we have now are necessary for the community
- As good and convenient as the old library
- Continued services
- Current service is great.
- Don't plan on using a Glendale library, or any in the north shore area. Am concerned about any added cost to taxes that the split will create.
- Don't transition. Figure this out. It's a great library. Move it and make it better.
- Everything Northshore has, kids through adult and senior programming.
- Everything that the NSL offers me!
- Keep Services
- Same as now.
- Same as offered by the current library. Good parking. Convenient location
- Same as we have now
- Same service
- same service, ability to reserve and request books within the system, new books
- same service, it has been great
- Similar location, this one, no shore is very convenient, good hrs, good service.
- Similar service
- Similar services to those available at the North Shore Library, such as online reservation system, reciprocity with other Milwaukee County Libraries, e-book borrowing.
- Similar to services at North Shore. The book collection, the DVD/CD collection, the ability to look things up online while there, collaboration with the other county libraries, meeting space, programs for kid and adults, workspace for students.
- STAYS JUST AS GOOD AS THEY ARE - OR IF EVEN BETTER
- Still a member of CountyCat. Have all the features that are now available.
- That it continues to provide the services it did provide and that it maintains an adequate inventory of book selections, especially current material.
- That nothing changes
- That NS Library employees don't lose their jobs.
- That they stay as good as they are right now.
- The present library is top notch. Continue these great services.
- The same
- The same
- The same amenities that were provided to us in the past.
- The same as now.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- The same basic library services any library should provide.
- The same friendly, efficient, competent service I have come to enjoy in my years here.
- The same great service and staff as have been at the North Shore Library.
- The same library services and programs the now exist.
- The same or better than what was offered at North Shore
- The same quality as North Shore Library.
- The same service I have been getting at the North Shore Library
- Continue to be able to request materials online from other libraries. Children/youth programming
- Continue with current services.
- Go back to joint service
- I hope it continues to serve this North Shore area
- I hope it never comes to fruition. Spend the money on our own Dept. of Public Works so they can hire more employees 2 keep our community looking good.
- I hope it's the same as it was.
- I hope that I will still have access to all of the resources available through the County System, such as, Hoopla, Libby, RB Digital, CountyCat Mobile App, etc.
- I hope that it will go back to providing services before COVID.
- I hope the library service will return to offering the same or better services than before the Pandemic of 2020/2021
- I hope the services will be similar to what is already offered.
- I hope we can still offer a library of some sort in our own town.
- I hope we continue to have a library at all.
- I hope we have a great library that equals the North Shore library.
- I just like that we have one that is available to us
- I like all the services we receive now from North Shore. I really don't understand why we are separating.
- I like using the existing joint North Shore library service.
- I liked the North Shore Library very much, similar services.
- I would like to stay w North Shore Library & see it improved
- I'm not sure. I find the services of the North Shore are what I use most. They get things from other libraries if I want a book not in their library.
- I'm worried - I want the north shore library to stay!!
- I cannot imagine how a freestanding Glendale library would be an improvement over the shared resources of the Northshore library. Why buying a whole new library of books and other resources when you have one available already? What is the price tag?
- I don't think a physical library in Glendale is absolutely necessary. I will continue using Whitefish Bay.
- I would like all the same services that the NS library provides now.
- I would like the Glendale library to stay with the North Shore library.
- I would like the library to still be in Glendale and continue to offer a book club for adults.
- Joint library with other communities
- Keep it similar to what we have. I have used the library in Glendale more often than others and usually find what I want there
- More of the same we now have:wonderful reading material,,tables,chairs,comfortable nooksfor relaxing and reading and a separated children's area.
- Please be as good as the service at the North Shore Library. Please have as good a collection as the North Shore Library
- Question on why are you leaving North Shore Library?
- Same as currently available at North Shore Library
- We like the library location and the people that serve us.
- We really liked all the programs the NS Library offered! As grandparents and caregivers, we made use of all the children's activities and events. The grandkids enjoyed going for the events and then checking out materials. Continued on-line services. Audio-visual materials, DVDs, recorded books, friendly helpful staff members. Study rooms.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Why do we need our own library? The current library is so nice. Why does it have to be so hard to just keep things the way they are? Why do tax payers need to pay to create another library in the Milwaukee County system? It seems silly to me and a huge waste of time and resources. Why do you have to charge the other municipalities just because it's in Glendale? It's run very well for years and years. I believe i speak for many Glendale parens that know nothing about this survey. The whole idea of splitting away from the North Shore makes no sense.
- Why wasn't the public notified about what was going on and their opinions wanted before this. This is a project requiring everyone's input before now. But our deep pocket mayor and aldermen never get it!!!! I will not support a standalone Glendale library ever. This is just like the high school and its idea for millions of dollars to endlessly supplement their ambitions year after year after year. I think this is a huge mistake. Once again politicians can't work it out. The North Shore library is excellent as far as helpful staff and access to materials. The library needs physical updating - you are missing the boat. The combined communities can do more than one alone!
- I have had everything I needed at North shore. And i have used almost every aspect, program, and type of material. And more than weekly, for YEARS.
- I have loved the library just as it is. I hope not to lose anything. I do enjoy Hoopla as well.
- I really enjoy the location of our present library along with all it has to offer.
- I see no reason to have a new library. Since we have one in Glendale now.
- I think that Glendale should reconsider leaving the NSL.
- I think the North Shore Library is a wonderful place and I am extremely upset that you want to raise my taxes for something we already have.
- I thought that this issue was resolved to keep the existing library based on the last council meeting decision. Can't this group figure this decision out what was previously decided?! suggest Glendale decision makers ask the kids that use the library for their opinion such as students attending schools in Glendale.
- Maintain the same high level of service to the community
- That you will keep the existing. Why are we making a new library when we have a perfectly functioning one already? It's a waste of money.
- the library that we have is fine. We are a small city and people in Glendale need to remember that. We should not expect a big and shiny library as some of the bigger communities have. We have a smaller tax base.
- The same, basically the same hours and books available, and of course the book sale.
- To be as good as it was before. I kind of liked the place
- to provide what NSL offered plus more if possible: books, movies, etc
- I will stop using the library system if it moves to Glendale, or I will continue using the North Shore. Glendale does NOT need its own library and will lose money on the project. Additionally, it will be an additional tax burden on us, the already overtaxed residents.
- I wish to continue using the North Shore Library.

MISCELLANEOUS

- 1. An explanation of how this happened 2. An explanation why this happened 3. Will the GLENDALE independent library participate in a Milwaukee County Loan Service? 4. What will happen to the existing North Shore Library holdings? Will there be a duplication of administrative staff? 2 directors? We do not use the CURRENT LIBRARY THAT much because as a former UWM academic staff member most of my serious library use has been at the Golda Meir Library. MY WIFE'S BOOK LUB "ASSIGNMENTS" have generated most of our library use in retirement.
- happy Glendale
- Have lived in Glendale for 44 years. Went to Whitefish Bay Library long before we got own own library. Was thrilled when the North ShoreLibrary opened. Went there all the time but it started to feel less and less comfortable so I gravitated back to Whitefish Bay. Before the pandemic I went to the Whitefish Bay Library once or twice almost every week. A couple years ago I did take my granddaughter under 2 years

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

old to a program at North Shore with Miss Mary. We both loved it. Tried the next program up with less success. I've stopped in at the North Shore Library regularly through the years but usually come away feeling disappointed.


- I can't believe Glendale is leaving without detailed planning. I haven't used the library since COVID. It needs an inviting children's section with BOTH fiction and non-fiction easily accessible, room for movement and good lighting. Themed activities should be provided. All should have good lighting and easy parking outside.
- online book check out
- Continue to be a part of the Milwaukee county cat. Also, an expansion of our library.
- A variety of services that meets the needs of all individuals to assist in expanding the mind
- Ability to share resources.
- All that the Glendale people are using and have a need for.
- any help I need for 21st Century use!
- As good or better than North Shore
- As little tax burden on the community
- availability
- Better hours, more accessible on nights and weekends.
- book delivery for those unable to drive
- Convenient hours
- Convenient hours (later) and wide selection
- Convenient location and hours.
- Earlier opening or the ability to pickup 24 hours a day.
- Fiscally responsible library services
- Kill it off. Better to license access to a real library instead of pretending to operate a second-rate imitation.
- Longer times before renewal.
- Lower cost
- Same connection with Milwaukee Public Library system for wide variety of sources. Large indoor space with plenty of seats, tables, windows for ample natural lighting. Newspaper and periodical area for catching up on latest news. Community center for guest speakers or events. Wi-fi. Common puzzle area; puzzles and games to check out
- Same services available now. Add a drive through for dropping off books. Continue the roadside pick up or include it in the drive through service.
- Services that meet the needs of its constituents.
- Since the pandemic began I have come to rely on ordering things ahead and picking them up - not just for buying groceries and pet food, but also books. I anticipate doing more of that: putting books on reserve and picking them up at the library when my name is at the top of the list.
- Something more akin to Whitefish Bay
- That I can still use the north shore library.
- That staff will turn over, or receive training to not be so snotty.
- continued great resources and services for all ages. it's a treasure.
- I hope we do not forget that libraries are for books and not glorified community centers.
- I loved the library as it was so as long as it is just as good, I'm happy.
- I would like to donate books.
- I'd love for the library to connect local adults with civics/government education.
- In the pandemic I have become used to placing orders online and picking them up. This is true for groceries and pet food as well as library books. I envision myself doing even more of that in the future.
- I don't think libraries are needed with the internet. Most libraries I've been in past 10 years, people are just using computer and renting movies. A mixed use space i think better use, coffee shop, free internet, meeting rooms, indoor and outdoor playground for kids, small volume of books

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I have always felt welcome and the staff has been very helpful. Looking back I feel like I have noticed a lack of diversity. And Glendale is such a diverse community. As such, it would be nice to have a more diverse staff and environment.
- Leadership that is responsive to the needs of the community; membership in the county system; technology for those who need it and space for community gatherings
- Less arguing and politics bc it will be one community supporting the library instead of four. The Library should be located near the elementary or middle school so students can easily walk to it after school. Equal amount of space for children and adult area (unlike NSL), plus a dedicated teen space (not in the middle of the adult or children areas). Fine-free for everyone. That will bring in money as non-residents are drawn to the new Glendale Public Library bc the library system pays for circulation for non-residents that come in and use another library. Circulation department and some self-check machines, but don't rely on only self-check like Shorewood and Tosa do. It's nice to walk into the library and be greeted with a hello by friendly circulation staff. Going to a library is often about connecting, not just picking up and book and checking it out from a robot. Be ready to offer a mix of physical materials and e-materials (which cost much more). A dedicated storytime room in the children's department. Plus a large meeting room that can be used for other programs and be available for use by community groups. Small study rooms for 1-2 people at a time, plus a couple larger meeting rooms for groups of 4-8. Good soundproofing of the big rooms is important, as teens studying together get noisy. Comfortable seating options for those who prefer to sit back and read, plus study tables for those who need room to spread out materials and for tutors to work with students. A drive up return book that goes directly INTO the library building. A circle drive with an external drop box is a hassle to empty in the winter with snow. Try pushing a bin full of books through slush and snow! An area for self-pick-up of holds for those who do NOT want to HAVE to go to the desk and ask for their hold. If it is a sensitive book topic, some patrons prefer to be able to pick-up and check-out those items in privacy.
- More hours that the library is open. Earlier hours that the library opens.
- More online services.
- Plenty of computers for online
- That we have a full scale library with up to date technology.
- We need a Library in Glendale!
- We want to have a library that serves as a community center.
- I use the County Cat app and am very pleased on how well I can request books for pick up at the North Shore Library.
- I will continue to use the Brown Deer Library and it's even better in it's new location.
- I would be greatly disappointed if the Glendale library participated in Drag Queen Story Hour.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

HOW DO YOU ENVISION USING A PUBLIC LIBRARY THAT IS LOCATED IN GLENDALE IN THE FUTURE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)



Other responses included:

- A place to connect with others.
- All of above depends on the location relative to our home.
- All of the above are of necessary value to attendees of the existing library. Search to read about existing news that is reliable, verifiable, accurate, sustainable and of course of interest to the public.
- Assistance from research librarian to locate information and books via interlibrary loan.
- Attending author programs.
- attending the movies provided
- book sales and donations
- Borrowing cake pans or tools possibly or using a 3D printer like in other MPL buildings.
- Borrowing children's books also
- Browsing the Wall Street Journal, other news papers.
- Buying books at \$1 and \$2.
- Community gatherings - book clubs
- Community meeting room
- Community meeting room
- Currently the library (before the corona pandemic), there were many services that met the needs of residents.
- Donating sometime, where needed.
- for all the above reasons and maybe more
- Getting book recommendations from library staff. Serving as a polling place.
- Having access to study carrels &/or ability to book rooms for tutoring and group learning.
- Having an available meeting space for community gatherings


USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I do not wish for our tax dollars to be used for a new Glendale Library when North Shore Library will suffice
- I hope it is bright and airy and especially to have GOOD lighting between all aisles of book shelves so it is easy to read authors and barcodes.
- I hope my children will see it as a good place to meet friends for studying.
- I will stick with the Brown Deer Library. Glendale should have joined when they had the opportunity. The library is beautiful, has more kid-friendly spaces, more kid-friendly books and categories, the staff goes out of their way to assist and is much friendlier.
- I won't use the computers or the library's Wi-Fi but I would expect our town's library to have these features. I do use other technology that the NSL has; the equipment to copy old VHS tapes to CDs. A town library also needs to have a quiet environment for reading, working or studying.
- I would like to see the use of virtual programs.
- I would love to see a public access maker space with things like 3D printers. And possibly community programs for skilled residents to offer teaching, knowledge, and resource sharing.
- If you move it, I won't be able to go there anymore.
- Increased family and children's programs, A Strong Children's Library that offers up to date tech options to practice, programs to teach children how to do create or do new innovative things. Better hours. Small group rooms for children and adults to work on projects or have meetings. A better connection with our public school and what students will be learning about/ benefiting from.
- Interacting with all the employees.
- It depends completely on location. The WFB library walking distance from my home.
- It's hard to envision using spaces we have never had
- It's important to me to be able to read print additions of New Papers and Magazines.
- Kids are computers are nice.
- Knitting group meetings, book clubs
- Learning about and using equipment (including, but not limited to: sewing machines and 3D printers).
- Il of the above. I'd like a library like North Shore Library.
- Mostly a large reading room with beautiful views, lots of windows, tall ceilings and wide open spaces.
- My husband and I are hoping to start a family soon and if we are able to, I will absolutely take our child(ren) to the library regularly.
- my husband is a stay at home parent, so activities for kids that dads feel welcomed to
- North Shore would be better than just Glendale
- On-line resources; participating in events and activities. Although we do not use the computers there personally, we feel it is important to offer computers and internet for other community members who may otherwise not have access.
- One of my favorite parts about the MPL downtown library is the cafe/bookstore connected to it, as well as the cafe at the UWM library. I don't think the library itself should serve food, but I would love a nearby addition like that if possible. It might attract people to the space more regularly too.
- Online access to newspapers
- Pickup of items reserved through the Milwaukee Library Catalog.
- Please see my answer to question 8.
- Possibly attending programming.
- Purchasing used books?
- Recording studio (audio/video)
- reference
- Return to volunteering
- Scheduling meetings of community groups.
- Seeing movies
- Small meeting rooms that can be checked out for maximum of 4-5hrs by one or more persons
- Some of the NS Libraries have controversial publications that are not appropriate for youth. I have basically discontinued going to those locations.
- Starting using Hoopla recently too, but loved the puzzle exchanges.
- The availability of inter library loans is important.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- The library should be a community gathering place with art and educational programs.
- use of meeting space for groups in Glendale
- Using the printer and copier that are available.
- Why are you leaving North Shore Library?
- Won't mind if stayed with North Shore. Don't remember being asked. That location could be upgraded.
- Working on crafts or taking a craft home
- yOU GUYS make some BAD decisions. wow.

BY SUPPORT FOR LIBRARY IF IT INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT



INSIGHTS: Regardless of their support of a potential tax increase, community members primarily envision borrowing physical books, audio/visual materials, and electronic materials; attending programs; and taking children/grandchildren to the library.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

HOW IMPORTANT TO YOU ARE THE FOLLOWING ATTRIBUTES OF A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE?

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHTED SCORE
Access to the materials I want	4.44
Convenient location	4.25
Space for children to attend programs	4.00
Meeting space for community groups	3.66
Space for students to work collaboratively	3.52
Quiet area to read or work independently	3.51
Space for adults to attend programs	3.50
Access to computers and/or other technology	3.31

n = 688

	Access to computers and/or other technology	Convenient location	Access to the materials I want	Space for adults to attend programs	Space for children to attend programs	Quiet area to read or work independently	Space for students to work collaboratively	Meeting space for community groups
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	193 (28.7%)	343 (50.7%)	405 (59.5%)	186 (27.7%)	313 (46.9%)	196 (29.3%)	173 (26.2%)	193 (28.9%)
VERY IMPORTANT	150 (22.2%)	211 (31.2%)	214 (31.4%)	181 (26.9%)	188 (28.1%)	185 (27.7%)	199 (30.2%)	217 (32.5%)
MODERATELY IMPORTANT	119 (17.7%)	91 (13.5%)	39 (5.7%)	152 (22.6%)	77 (11.5%)	135 (20.2%)	158 (23.9%)	152 (22.8%)
SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT	94 (14.0%)	11 (1.6%)	5 (0.7%)	92 (13.7%)	31 (4.6%)	71 (10.6%)	55 (8.3%)	52 (7.8%)
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	117 (17.4%)	20 (3.0%)	18 (2.6%)	61 (9.1%)	59 (8.9%)	82 (12.3%)	75 (11.4%)	54 (8.1%)
TOTAL	673 (100.0%)	676 (100.0%)	681 (100.0%)	672 (100.0%)	668 (100.0%)	669 (100.0%)	660 (100.0%)	668 (100.0%)

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

HOW IMPORTANT TO YOU ARE THE FOLLOWING ATTRIBUTES OF A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE?

FOR THOSE WHO RESPONDED “DEFINITELY YES” OR “PROBABLY YES” TO:
WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES
INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHTED SCORE
Access to the materials I want	4.52
Convenient location	4.34
Space for children to attend programs	4.20
Meeting space for community groups	3.80
Space for students to work collaboratively	3.63
Space for adults to attend programs	3.60
Quiet area to read or work independently	3.55
Access to computers and/or other technology	3.41

n = 453

	Access to computers and/or other technology	Convenient location	Access to the materials I want	Space for adults to attend programs	Space for children to attend programs	Quiet area to read or work independently	Space for students to work collaboratively	Meeting space for community groups
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	126 (28.4%)	231 (51.8%)	267 (59.3%)	119 (26.8%)	235 (53.0%)	120 (27.0%)	120 (27.2%)	139 (31.4%)
VERY IMPORTANT	109 (24.5%)	145 (32.5%)	156 (34.7%)	132 (29.7%)	124 (28.0%)	139 (31.3%)	141 (32.0%)	151 (34.1%)
MODERATELY IMPORTANT	84 (18.9%)	62 (13.9%)	22 (4.9%)	111 (25.0%)	44 (9.9%)	87 (19.6%)	111 (25.2%)	97 (21.9%)
SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT	73 (16.4%)	5 (1.1%)	2 (0.4%)	60 (13.5%)	17 (3.8%)	59 (13.3%)	35 (7.9%)	36 (8.1%)
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	52 (11.7%)	3 (0.7%)	3 (0.7%)	22 (5.0%)	23 (5.2%)	39 (8.8%)	34 (7.8%)	20 (4.5%)
TOTAL	444 (100.0%)	446 (100.0%)	450 (100.0%)	444 (100.0%)	443 (100.0%)	444 (100.0%)	441 (100.0%)	443 (100.0%)

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

HOW IMPORTANT TO YOU ARE THE FOLLOWING ATTRIBUTES OF A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE?

FOR THOSE WHO RESPONDED “UNDECIDED” TO:
WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHTED SCORE	n = 99
Access to the materials I want	4.52	
Convenient location	4.38	
Space for children to attend programs	3.85	
Quiet area to read or work independently	3.75	
Meeting space for community groups	3.65	
Space for adults to attend programs	3.56	
Space for students to work collaboratively	3.49	
Access to computers and/or other technology	3.41	

	Access to computers and/or other technology	Convenient location	Access to the materials I want	Space for adults to attend programs	Space for children to attend programs	Quiet area to read or work independently	Space for students to work collaboratively	Meeting space for community groups
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	31 (32.0%)	54 (55.1%)	63 (63.6%)	32 (33.0%)	37 (38.1%)	38 (40.0%)	24 (25.8%)	24 (25.5%)
VERY IMPORTANT	22 (22.7%)	29 (30.0%)	26 (26.3%)	22 (22.7%)	31 (32.0%)	21 (22.1%)	26 (28.0%)	31 (33.0%)
MODERATELY IMPORTANT	17 (17.5%)	14 (14.3%)	9 (9.1%)	20 (20.6%)	14 (14.4%)	21 (22.1%)	25 (26.9%)	27 (28.7%)
SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT	10 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (14.4%)	7 (7.2%)	4 (4.2%)	8 (8.6%)	6 (6.4%)
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	17 (17.5%)	1 (1.0%)	1 (1.0%)	9 (9.3%)	8 (8.2%)	11 (11.6%)	10 (10.8%)	6 (6.4%)
TOTAL	97 (100.0%)	98 (100.0%)	99 (100.0%)	97 (100.0%)	97 (100.0%)	95 (100.0%)	93 (100.0%)	94 (100.0%)

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

HOW IMPORTANT TO YOU ARE THE FOLLOWING ATTRIBUTES OF A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE?

FOR THOSE WHO RESPONDED “DEFINITELY NO” OR “PROBABLY NO” TO:
WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES
INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHTED SCORE
Access to the materials I want	4.10
Convenient location	3.81
Space for children to attend programs	3.32
Quiet area to read or work independently	3.16
Meeting space for community groups	3.16
Space for adults to attend programs	3.07
Space for students to work collaboratively	3.04
Access to computers and/or other technology	2.78

n = 126

	Access to computers and/or other technology	Convenient location	Access to the materials I want	Space for adults to attend programs	Space for children to attend programs	Quiet area to read or work independently	Space for students to work collaboratively	Meeting space for community groups
EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	32 (26.2%)	52 (42.6%)	68 (55.7%)	31 (25.4%)	36 (30.3%)	34 (28.3%)	25 (21.4%)	27 (22.1%)
VERY IMPORTANT	15 (12.3%)	33 (27.0%)	29 (23.8%)	23 (18.9%)	29 (24.4%)	22 (18.3%)	29 (24.8%)	31 (25.4%)
MODERATELY IMPORTANT	17 (13.9%)	15 (12.3%)	8 (6.6%)	21 (17.2%)	19 (16.0%)	25 (20.8%)	20 (17.1%)	26 (21.3%)
SLIGHTLY IMPORTANT	10 (8.2%)	6 (4.9%)	3 (2.5%)	18 (14.8%)	7 (5.9%)	7 (5.8%)	12 (10.3%)	10 (8.2%)
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	48 (39.3%)	16 (13.1%)	14 (11.5%)	29 (23.8%)	28 (23.5%)	32 (26.7%)	31 (26.5%)	28 (23.0%)
TOTAL	122 (100.0%)	122 (100.0%)	122 (100.0%)	122 (100.0%)	119 (100.0%)	120 (100.0%)	117 (100.0%)	122 (100.0%)

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

PLEASE SHARE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOU HAVE REGARDING A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE:

Common themes of responses to this open-ended question included:

- Concerns and suggestions about funding sources (page 37);
- Support for moving forward (page 37);
- Concerns about moving forward (page 39);
- Suggestions for future library resources, space, and amenities (page 41); and
- Miscellaneous comments (page 44).

CONCERNS AND SUGGESTIONS ABOUT FUNDING SOURCES

- A new library would cost millions. Don't know if Glendale is in a position to borrow money for this. Glendale charges 1.00 a year for use of the present library space. What happens with that?
- charge a fee to use the library
- How much will a new Glendale Library cost? This won't let me go to the next page
- I don't understand how we will be financing this library. I'm assuming that Fox Point, Bayside and River Hills will still use a new library.
- I hope Glendale residents can utilize neighboring libraries in the future and not increase taxes with a physical structure that may be out dated or unable to be used in the future, example prolonged closure for recent virus.
- I hope you could grow the sale of donated books. I'm always looking to buy books and it's a great way for the library to make some money.
- I'm hoping that we're moving forward with this separate library if it makes sense for us fiscally. We may have held a greater 'share' than other North Shore communities, but if we won't have similar access to what is available now for comparable taxes we need to examine if this really makes sense or whether our NS communities can still come together for what is best for all.
- Keep is affordable, many retired live in Glendale. don't drive them out with another tax increase. These seniors have paid for most of what Glendale has today! You need to keep that in mind as you move forward!
- My taxes better not go up. There is nothing wrong with the current library.
- Will the costs of running the library, such as labor, utilities, book purchases, go up for Glendale residents now that the other communities will not be contributing monies?
- I would like to see a cost/benefit analysis comparing new library vs staying with the North Shore Library.
- What is this going to cost
- Glendale taxpayers? Surely going it alone and having to start from scratch is more expensive than staying with the North Shore Library.

SUPPORT FOR MOVING FORWARD

- A public library is the most basic of services that a community can provide to its residents. With so many of our residents being low income, or lacking reliable internet, or having other barriers to the vast educational resources a library provides, the library serves a function that simply cannot be outsourced. Glendale must figure out how to provide this to its citizens if we are to consider ourselves an inclusive, accessible community for all residents.
- An excellent public library is an essential service in our community.
- Any library is a space for all members of the community have equal access to services. It is an opportunity to bring folks together and helping those who have less access or knowledge a leg up into the future.
- As a older man - I would be willing to help raise funds for the library, through phone calls, mailings, copying or use of computers to help raise funds to meet the system's goals, as a Glendale resident.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Every community its needs a physical library system for its children
- For libraries to be sustained, we need to make them vital vibrant community epicenter for a wide swath of patrons, but we especially need to make them a destination for younger people/teens/kids to ensure they bridge into another generation.
- Glendale doesn't have a lot of community gathering spaces. This could be a chance for Glendale to do something bold!
- Having a public library is extremely important to this household. I would not want to live in a community that did not have and fully support a public library.
- I am excited about the possibilities and commend Glendale for making the commitment. libraries are the backbone of democracy.
- I hope we can count on a library in Glendale
- I think all the attributes in #7 are extremely important for the community. Not necessarily for me personally!
- I think it's a good decision to leave. BD and WFB have the resources to accommodate our community, given if it's been a low to moderate usage.
- I use the federated library system to access books and audio /video materials from other libraries within the entire Milwaukee County system, this is very important to me.
- I want a great library.
- I'm a firm believer in the value of a community library and would love to see North Shore assume its position as a valuable asset. Right now I feel it is being held back. Libraries are becoming more important not less and should be given the attention and resources needed.
- It is a very important part of life.
- Libraries are the ne place that some people can access computers and wifi. Some people also need a quiet place to study and access to books.
- Libraries function today as community centers; they are an important resource that showcases the community's commitment to serving all residents
- Looking forward to the library existing.
- Our library is highly important as even Thomas Jefferson said when he donated his own library to the Library of Congress!!!
- Public libraries are very important to the community. It's the place where anyone can still go for information and attend programs for children and Adults.
- So excited for the future!
- So excited to come back to our library! I would really like to see expanded children and YA materials.
- Thank you for allowing input.
- Thank you for making an emphasis on creating disability friendly spaces and services. Thank you!
- Thank you for the opportunity to share my opinion. Lifelong library liver!
- Thank you for thinking about this and the beautification of Glendale.
- Thank you! Very exciting!
- The current facility has been in decline for a number of years. The facility has a poor collection and is poorly maintained and staffed. Glendale residents have been more than patient with this state of service and time for a change to something better, not worse.
- The library can help keep Glendale attractive and set apart from neighboring communities
- The library is an essential service for me. Without a good library, Glendale is a less desirable community for me to choose to stay in as I consider downsizing to a condo. I'm sorry the NSLibrary isn't working - though it was overcrowded and I'm sad that it wasn't a place more people (esp. kids) could walk to. One thing I noticed on my visits was the diversity of patrons. I'd spend time in the kids' section and go to programs with my grandkids at times, and it was a pleasure to see the mix of people and popularity of kids' programming. (Thus far I've attended few adult programs, but they were worthwhile.)
- The library is the cornerstone of a civilized community and should be offered and maintained no matter what.
- The very presence of a library in the community reinforces the importance of reading, study, and societal acceptance of these things.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- There are some libraries that are thriving!! I think it would be good to get ideas from some of the other libraries in different towns and states.
- This is a wonderful opportunity to re imagine the library and services. To be on the fore front of the future. To really serve the community as the hub of community activities. I would love to see how other innovative communities have created their library.
- This is such a wonderful opportunity to build a state of the art library for the Glendale community, with great community spaces for events and classes; smaller, readily accessible gathering places for students to study in small groups together and comfy spots for individual reading or areas for technology use. I would love to see Glendale survey the wonderful libraries in metro Milwaukee to draw on their various strengths of design and incorporate the very best elements into an outstanding Glendale Library.
- Very excited for the future of a Glendale Library!
- We love the library and all the programs for young toddlers. I am looking forward to having my kids in programs again soon.
- We need a Library in Glendale!

CONCERNS ABOUT MOVING FORWARD

- All of the above are already at the current library. The current library is clean and well kept. We have an excellent facility. There is no reason to make such unreasonable requests from the other municipalities.
- As a single Senior Glendale Public Library was FINE the way it is!!
- As I questioned why are you wanting to leave the North Shore Library and establish Glendale's own library? Have you considered the cost? This is one of the many reasons our property taxes are so high. Have you considered the cost of a building, cost of staffing, and cost of material which by the way North Shore already has?. Continued consolidation with Shorewood, WFB, etc. should continue for library services. What is wrong with you-all? Why are you fixing something which is not broke? I do not expect an answer from Glendale. And by the way your gift cards are also coming out of our taxes.
- As I stated before, a physical library in the city of Glendale is not absolutely necessary with other options nearby. If Glendale is upset with their share of operating the North Shore, how much will it cost to secure their own location? Obviously more than sharing the current location. I say stay where we are and put money into improving the current service.
- Go back and renegotiate!!!!
- Going alone? Is this economically feasible and still have a decent library?
- I am disappointed that Glendale is unable to work with neighbors to provide a library that would serve us all. While I'm not familiar with the problems that have led to this decision, it seems that a better library could be provided by joining with our northshore neighbors. The biggest problem with the current library is the location (and small size). A library needs to be safe to get to for children. Closing off access under 43 was a stupid decision for everyone concerned. I honestly don't know how Glendale is going to offer anything that will match what we could do with our neighbors. I'm so disappointed with our elected officials.
- I am sorry that Glendale and the surrounding communities cannot agree.
- I am still not sure why we're leaving the North Shore Library
- I believe that the joint North Shore library already has all the attributes that I want in a library, so I do not understand the need to duplicate all the services in the same community.
- I don't believe that a Glendale library will have as many resources or be used as much as the North Shore Library. Leaving the North Shore Library is a mistake.
- I don't understand how the conclusion that the North Shore Library is not meeting the needs of Glendale residents was reached. Can you enumerate their failings?
- I don't understand why Glendale is splitting from the North Shore library (and would appreciate more on this in future communication) but will be extremely disappointed if the split results in an even smaller facility, fewer resources, materials, and reduced programming.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I found the North Shore Library to be very convenient, and was surprised to hear of the opening of a Glendale Library, which I presume will be in fairly close proximity. I don't have full understanding of the decision.
- I have no problem using a library that is shared by multiple communities, if by combined budgets and scale it can develop great collections and programs. However, that can't happen unless each community partner contributes a realistic and sustainable budget for an adequately sized library. If Glendale or other communities can't make a reasonable financial commitment to do that, don't bother.
- I have volunteered at the library. Space and bldg. seem more than adequate. What is the purpose of the change?
- I see no reason not to have a joint library in NorthShore. Independent Library in Glendale makes no sense. Having lived here for over 40 y, the decision to terminate relationship with our NorthShore Communities needs wider citizens input I recommend a referendum.
- I think it is unfortunate that the other communities were not able to come to a solution that was fair to Glendale as our family has happily used the existing library for many years.
- I think that leaving the North Shore Libray is the stupidest thing the city has ever done!
- I think this is a waste of your time and mine. I believe the Mayor and the city administrator need to be held accountable for this debacle.
- I urge the Glendale City Council to rise to a leadership opportunity here, and look at the long term quality of life benefit of the NS Library to Glendale residents.
- I was surprised to hear we want to go it alone.
- I would like Glendale to reconsider and remain a part of NS Library with Fox Point and River Hills (and Bayside if they would reconsider as well). While I understand the motivation behind the decision to leave, I believe it was short-sighted and will prove to be cost prohibitive for Glendale to replicate the quality of staff and services currently offered by the NS Library on its own.
- I'm not convinced that creating a brand new library system when we already have a very adequate one is a good use of our tax dollars.
- I'm sad that Glendale couldn't reach an agreement with the other communities to continue sharing a library.
- I'm sorry to see that the alderman running the government have to change our library system - I am happy the way it is - I can't afford to have my taxes razed because of the library - some of us don't have a big income - leave it alone -
- It is a mistake to leave the North Shore Library!! Too expensive!! Too much duplication of resources. Not in favor of a Glendale only library. Can Glendale join the Whitefish Bay Library?
- Need more info on why Glendale is leaving North Shore. It does not seem fiscally responsible to set up a separate library.
- No explanation was given on the why a change is being made. However, I am not sure I understand why Glendale thinks a separate system can support a full comprehensive catalog of library materials (in person or online without sharing the costs with other surrounding communities. It this move to a separate system being driven by subjective politics, rather than objective analysis of costs then it seems we should revisit working with some other communities to share the cost and vision. I am not against spending the dollars to have a nice library, but really wonder how a separate system would be possible without a large taxpayer cost.
- Not needed as a separate entity from our North Shore Library. Life will never be the same, so forget your grandiose ideas and plant a tree. Get Your Egos out of Your ASS!
- Please reconsider the greater expense of building new Glendale Library vs staying in functional North Shore Library!
- Pulling out of the North Shore Library is a horrible idea that will eventually cost Glendale much more money than is currently being spent in the shared agreement with the other communiities.
- See my comments in question 5. A new library seems such a waste of tax dollars when we have a perfectly good library in Glendale already.
- Seems that separating would be more expensive than sharing the NS Library. Love the location and building that we have. Would like more information why this even came up.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Since other local libraries are available, I don't feel Glendale needs a library. It would be a savings on taxes if Glendale does not pay for a library.
- Skip it. Put the effort into something more useful.
- Somehow I missed why Glendale is leaving the North Shore Library. Why?
- Stay jointly with north shore
- The attributes I checked above are important to me only if you're going forward, however, I do not believe that a new public library is necessary in Glendale, There are enough libraries around and a new park with a community room. Enough already!
- This is stupid to be redundant in creating what we already have. We must be part of the Milwaukee County system.
- We can do all the above at the current location!! Or at home!!
- Why can't Glendale work out a deal to stay in the North Shore library? I've been here in Glendale for 45 years and enjoy the library we have.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE LIBRARY SERVICE

- ample parking
- A bright, inviting atmosphere would be a lovely, welcome change from the current dull, dreary library.
- A good place for adults and children to have meetings and brief classes would be great .
- A library is an essential building and service in a community. Glendale will benefit greatly from adding its own library by continuing to provide services to our community.
- A library should be a place of peace and should be void of materials considered controversial
- Abundant, accessible parking, easily visible signage both inside and out, longer hours, more access to self-serve options.
- Access to MCFLS system wide collection
- Also staff or classes to explain and train people on how to use electronic devices and technology to learn what you want to find out about or explore some realm of knowledge and information.
- Am an older member of the community so my Opinions are shaped by the experience of Libraries while growing up. Additionally, I like the idea of a Book Specialist who could advise me on Books (fiction and non-fiction) to read.
- an outdoor reading area with some chairs and tables and shade and perhaps pretty flowers would be a nice addition. The Wisconsin Dells public library has a large and gorgeous outdoor area! Please consider calling them and actually viewing their patio and grounds. Also I like a library that is all on one level, but if on multiple levels then a CENTRALLY located elevator for use- I am a senior so very important.
- Any library must have a representative collection and a means of gaining access to materials that it does not have.
- As a Senior and less in need of a Library now it would be nice and convenient if one were in closer proximity to my home should I have a need to participate in any programs available.. Thank you
- At this time my primary use of the library is to borrow online ebooks. Given this my primary request would be to ensure a large collection of ebooks be available. On occasion I did borrow physical books.
- Attributes you didn't ask about include operating hours and virtual programming, and both of those are important to me. I fear that the choice to withdraw from the NS consortium will lead to declines in hours, available materials, and programming as they will all need to be funded by Glendale. If they do, I suspect many Glendale resident will continue to use the NSL, and the City of Glendale will take a hit in public opinion as a result.
- Can you build it on that little bit of lawn in front of the fire station, near City Hall. That puts it right across from Parkway. Then you have easy access for kids after school, and parents with younger kids for storytime after they drop off their gradeschoolers in the morning. That is a HUGE draw. Parents + coffee (have a kuerig - coffee shops in libraries are never profitable) + storytime = 50% of library use and circulation.
- Centrally located, ADA compliant. Maybe rent a space at Bayshore.
- Children and well known artist's work adorned the walls at the Shorewood Library. Students, elderly, and children had a space to call their own. It brought us together and kept us all happy together.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Cleanliness is a must
- Connected with other businesses is great, like post office, restaurant, small movie theatre etc. Coming with housing above it.
- Could the City of Glendale combine with Nicolet High School for library services?
- Do not need large spaces as COVID proved that remote works.
- Easy parking
- Good natural lightning should be considered
- Good public transit and bike access so all in the city have reasonable access
- Handicap bathroom that has room in the stall to turn a wheelchair.
- Has to have bike access and walking from main trail system, maybe off mke parkway. Putting off good hope or something would not be good. Is this just for glendale residents or anybody?
- Have different sections for young adult, middle school, graphic novels, elementary school, etc., types of books. Having that at Brown Deer has been beneficial, and gives the kids a wider variety to choose from. It isn't as inundating as having to dig through shelves with multiple age groups of books.
- Hire consultants. Look at other libraries within 20 miles. Our library is NOT inviting due to its basement feel. I like it's proximity to Nicolet as it feels it serves the high school district. It's the only thing that unified the Nicolet population. Perhaps there is a big sonar that would like to have naming rights to something spectacular. I have visited many libraries, specifically to help me decide on what was wrong with the North Shore library. All were much lighter, roomier and inviting. The books and magazines at North Shore were great, however. I know people that have chosen a home in a community because of a library.
- Hope for a good location and more parking for program attendees
- I actually rarely use the library. But as a senior it would be nice to have someone who can answer technical questions regarding smartphones and computers.
- I am proud that our library, although small, is wonderful
- I am a senior citizen with mobility issues. Other family members will also use library
- I believe locating the library in or nearby a developed area would be a good choice. For example, the Bayshore Mall area would be a good choice. Oak creeks library is a great example. Oak creek built their library in the "city center" area.
- I do not have any student age children, but I'm sure that computers, research materials, and group study areas would eventually matter.
- I love our library. But it's because of the staff. The actual location is the worst in the area. But we come for the staff and programs. We need a space that better serves the community.
- I think having maximum hours of operation is most important, but could be offset with a vending machine like the one at 64th and silver spring. Going it alone is counter intuitive to all other efforts at shared services and it is difficult to see how this will lead to either better or cheaper service. I think Glendale should stay involved with the North Shore Library rather than going it alone.
- I think it would be awesome if the library had a nice outdoor space to bring out books and read. It would also be cool to have a mini "Bookworm Gardens" where kids could explore scenes from classic books and attend outdoor activities.
- I think that the new library should be planned with the needs of all ages in mind. As a senior citizen, I would appreciate enriching and stimulating lectures that would help people connect with others. The WFB, Brown Deer and Glendale libraries could take turns hosting Midwest author visits, a maker space would be wonderful, especially if Bayshore does not follow through on their proposed plans for one off of the rotunda.
- I think we should enter into a partnership with Brown Deer like they suggested a while ago.
- I value education for our children as well as adults. The quality of schools was one of the primary reasons for selecting Glendale. I think that the plan for a new library should include its role in supporting the educational experience for students of all ages. Engaging our local schools in this plan might reveal some innovative opportunities for enhancing Glendale's role in lifelong learning for its citizens. This would include all schools, Parkway, Glen Hills, Nicolet, Stritch, the school at Bayshore, the Jewish Academy, and any others.
- I want a community room that can be rented out for use by groups.

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I want a quiet environment to read and study.
- I want to stay part of Milwaukee Federated Library system
- I would hope to have Spanish-language materials and storytimes for children. We speak Spanish in our household and it would be great to have more resources for my children.
- I would love it to be easily accessible for pedestrians or by bike.
- I would want it to be what you would typically see from a library space.
- If the city is going to build a new library it doesn't need to fall in the same fate of the City Hall having that "look" for no reason. If it's built to last, it should be inspiring, somewhere people are drawn to go to to read, research and relax. Have a competition between architects, it could be the centerpiece of the community instead of bayshore "brown" center.
- If this library participated in Drag queen story hour, I would be extremely disappointed. Tax dollars shouldn't fund a program, or any other like this which promote an ideology which is dangerous to children.
- If you are looking for further input or help with the Children's area I would love to help.
- Is it possible to revisit a collaboration with the Brown Deer Library?
- It is important to me for the space to feel clean, spacious, and inviting. It is also important to me that it be easy to get to. It's not terribly easy to reach the current library because Port Washington Rd isn't bike-friendly.
- It should have easy access with adequate parking. Similar to the current North Shore Library, but with more space provided for more books and seating area, etc.
- It would be great if it was easily accessible by bike or near the bike path.
- It would be helpful for a book drop that could be accessed from one's car without having to park and walk to it.
- It would be nice if new locations are considered, that you poll Glendale residents as to their preferences.
- It would be nice to have programs for adults in their 30s and 40s that aren't focused on kids or retirees.
- It would not have to be located in Glendale if a great option is available in a neighboring north shore community
- It's super important to stay part of the MCFLS and work collaboratively (not competitively) with other libraries. I hope you keep the same wonderful staff. The people who currently work at the library are part of what makes it such a special place.
- Just want a card for download access to read books.
- More people space. We loved the design we saw in Fall of 2020. Why are area businesses not more involved in funding and supporting the library?
- More space between shelves. The present set up is almost claustrophobic.
- My preference is that the new library will be at the same location as the current one.
- need a wide range of topics and depth in topics carried
- Needs to be bikeable/walkable. This is a big limitation of the current site and to create a new site without having it truly be accessible would be a huge missed opportunity.
- Parking also needs to be very accessible.
- Please focus on most marginalized community members' needs (not mine)
- Please keep the same wonderful librarians and assistants, and make it easily accessible.
- Please leave the library in the same location. Very convenient
- remain @the same location
- Sufficient parking for both cars and bikes (maybe also strollers?) is something to keep in mind.
- The future public library in Glendale has to be a part of the Milwaukee Federated Library system so that Glendale community members would be able to request items that were not physically in the Glendale library. This way the Glendale library could have a smaller item inventory with the ability for residents to borrow items from the other town's libraries.
- The Glendale Library should continue being a fixture in the community.
- The librarians do a great job and are very helpful and friendly. I would hope that that would continue. I personally don't use the self checkout because I usually need help anyway. Once the library is remodeled it would be nice to have small group (6-8) tours of what is all available. A small group allows for more questions and interactions. It would be an investment of time that would pay off with visitors feeling more

USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

confident about using the space and equipment and save having to ask a librarian questions because the patron is self sufficient.

- the library needs to reach many audiences in Glendale. Children and students will need access as well as older adults. A flexible venue is needed. The library will need to be part of the Milwaukee County system..
- The location should be near the North Shore Library. Cardinal Stritch University, several Elementary Schools, Middle Schools and Nicolet. There is also convenient shopping and restaurants.
- The re-envisioned Bayshore mall seems like a perfect location
- Washington Park and WFB libraries are nice examples of what I enjoy about library space.
- We use the current library frequently, and we hope the new location will be in close proximity to the current site.
- What we have has worked well. Replicate it.
- Would be nice if it was within somewhat close proximity to other Community services such as restaurants/cafes, coffee shops, and other activities.
- Would like to see it west of the expressway. Near one of the schools (Parkway, Glen Hills, Nicolet) would be great.
- Would love for a library to be a showpiece in the community and not in the basement of an office building.
- I used the Northshore Library a lot when my kids were younger. We borrowed tons of books & listened to books on CD. At this time in my life it would need to be a community meeting place to be useful- but eventually I'd like a nice place to take my grandkids.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

- A library is extremely important for the growth and education of our community. I fully support the need for a library and will be sad to see the North shore Library go. I hope there is a new library as good as that one.
- Again, I surely hope that you have not been shortsighted in jobs that are now in jeopardy.
- All of the issues listed in 7. above are important to my family in meeting their expectations. From what I see as existing currently involving involving the aforementioned decision making, " The decision makers have talked the talk and now it is time for them to walk the walk"i.e. Implement their decision.
- As said before I have no problem with things as they are. Present location is great for me.
- Bayshore would be a GREAT location!
- Books have lost much of their importance and moved to online.
- I am an avid reader and great proponent of public libraries. I have minimal knowledge of the reasoning behind development of a Glendale library, which I presume will be in close proximity to the North Shore library
- I am fortunate to have a computer and WiFi at home to use
- I believe that this is an issue that should be left to the voters to decide.
- I cannot believe this is the first you are asking for opinions from constituents. It's insulting. I would rather you'd asked my opinion to let it INFORM THE BIG DECISION. What a farce.
- I found North Shore library staff very welcoming and helpful.
- I have lived in Glendale and worked in Glendale for over 50 years and my children used the Library all through their schooling years. I am Also a friend of the Library.
- I indicated I had not used the Glendale library prior to Covid 19. We added a child to our household in February 2020 and I see great value in programs for children from the library. Because of Covid we've not begun taking advantage of those programs but I would be very disappointed if those were no longer available to us due to Glendale departing the North Shore Library.
- I know of a former public library director who now lives in Fox Point -- she'd be a great consultant. Let me know if you want her contact information.
- I like the current location and was sorry when it was no longer my polling place.


USING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I love spending time at the library with my children. When I'm studying, it is also a big resource for me. With my position at work remaining in a flexible schedule, I envision spending time there doing heads down work.
- I love that we are part of the larger Milwaukee library system.
- I love the CountyCat system and have found it to be very efficient. It also allows the collaborating libraries to share materials in a cost effective manner. I think book storage is secondary and diverse community integration and learning opportunities are critical.
- I miss being able to go to the library in person. I liked looking at their books for sale, etc.
- I moved to Glendale during the pandemic, so my answers will not accurately reflect the questions in this survey.
- I think the COVID pandemic will be with us for years to come. Thus, for me to use it frequently, the library should have space and a good ventilation system.
- I used the library more when my children were young. It would be a shame if that same service wasn't there for other young families. Thank you for all you do!
- I will continue to use North Shore Library.
- I would hope that planners look closely at what is being done in Shorewood, Whitefish Bay and Milwaukee to make better use of the library buildings: apartments, businesses, kitchens for cooking classes, meeting spaces, herb classes, bringing in teachers for classes other than what we have traditionally offered. Look at what the Franklyn public library offers. We need to think way outside of our normal comfort zone box for use of the building and classes and other programs. Using the building this way brings in money for the rentals that can cover costs.
- I've answered #7 based on how I use the library, but it was difficult because I think all of the services mentioned are important for a community library. For example, I don't have children, but I think it's very important that libraries offer free programming for children.
- I've never visited the North Shore Library, but I'd like there to be a library close by for the future because I now have 2 small children.
- I'm sure this has been brought up but, as Bayshore transforms, apartments going up on Lyell starting later this year, BS being the new "town center" there has to be room and feasibility to put the library there...central to what should become a great gathering place for Glendale and all.
- Is this going to be part of the Milwaukee County Federated Library System? If it is not it is never going to be worth much period. How many librarians and other staff are you going to support, 0?? Is this going to be another "virtual" project.
- It is scary that just a few people will decide the future of the library for generations to come. I hope that are selfless in their decision.
- Location is crucial. Current location is perfect, Hours and staff not so helpful.
- Quest 7 asked how import it was to "me" not what I thought we import for glendale as a community.
- Students at Nicolet are a very important potential base.
- The current location on a bus route is fantastic!
- We are very interested to know where the library will be located or what various locations are being considered.
- Where will you build a new library in Glendale?

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY


A \$250,000 HOME IN GLENDALE CURRENTLY CONTRIBUTES \$62.50 IN TAXPAYER SUPPORT ANNUALLY FOR PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE THROUGH THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY.

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?



WHAT INCREASE, IF ANY, WOULD YOU SUPPORT THROUGH AN OPERATIONAL REFERENDUM TO HELP GLENDALE PROVIDE PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE?
(PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BASED ON THE YEARLY TAX IMPACT OF A \$250,000 HOME, I WOULD SUPPORT A:



FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

PLEASE PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDING OF A FUTURE PUBLIC LIBRARY IN GLENDALE:

Common themes of responses to this open-ended question included:

1. Uncertainty and concerns about leaving the North Shore Library (page 47);
2. Support for moving forward (page 49);
3. Concerns about moving forward (page 51); and
4. Miscellaneous comments (page 52).

UNCERTAINTY AND CONCERNS ABOUT LEAVING THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY

- Again I really do not understand what is at all wrong with our current and existing NorthShore Library except for earlier closing times during this pandemic. The library has served my families needs well for the past approximately 35 years!!!!
- Again, I'm a strong proponent of public libraries. I believe they provide great value to communities. However, I don't have full understanding as to the development of a Glendale library. Also, related to the tax impact - would I continue to be taxed for the North Shore Library, in addition to the new library?
- Again, we have a great library here already. This is a waste of tax dollars!
- I already have two libraries within a couple miles of my house. Why do we need an additional one that will cost us more and not provide additional services? Just help out the ones that already exist.
- I am angry. Got to the amount you want to increase our taxes because YOU decided to withdraw from cooperating with our northshore neighbors. I will vote against ALL of you!!!! You are NOT qualified to make decisions for this community. I am willing to pay the same as the other northshore communities for a good library but why should I more than double my taxes because YOU made a BAD decision. My advise, get off your high horse and figure out a way to make this work with our neighbors. Glendales contribution to the current library system is inadequate. The building is bad. The location of the building is BAD. Figure out how to cooperate or we WILL VOTE YOU OUT.
- I am sorry to see that the communities cannot reach an agreement to work together to provide a first rate library- this seems to be a logical place for a joint effort.
- I don't fully understand why we left the current North Shore Library. It was very nice.
- I don't see why we would create an Glendale library at a greater cost than than what it would cost to just stay with the NorthShore library. I have been very pleased with the services provided by the North Shore library and would have been happy to continue to receive services from the North Shore Library,
- I don't understand the need for a change in a system that seems to work. That should have been more prominently illustrated to residents of all the participating communities.
- I don't understand why it is important to move away from the North Shore Library. I have only seen the comment "better meet the needs of its residents". How does moving away from the North Shore Library better meet the needs of Glendale residents?
- I have concerns that the communities were unable to work together in the North Shore Library--will separate libraries function or will there be a loss of service? Community funding may be difficult. I am not confident that my fellow residents will continue to support the library when presented with a \$75.00 tax break.
- I really liked the north shore library and think the duplication of services is silly. However - more libraries can never be a bad thing if it can be done.
- I still feel it was a mistake for Glendale to withdraw from the North Shore Library. Going-it-alone may appeal for the short term but collaborative sharing is probably a better long-term solution. Otherwise, a level of service similar to what is currently available meets the needs of my family.
- I struggle with the increase in taxes, since the schools are successful with their referendums and my taxes just keep going up. I guess I don't understand why Glendale wants to do this project alone.
- I think there is strength in unity. Glendale leaving is a lose-lose for both Glendale and the NSL.

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I think this is probably a needless use of time and money. I believe there are a number of things that would be more beneficial to the residents on which to spend money. I don't understand why this needs to happen.
- I think we should stay at North Shore Library
- I think we should stay with the consolidated library.
- I was happy with the old system, and have a hard time understanding the need for this change, especially with any tax increase involved !! With the addition of a propose tax increase for Nicolet AGAIN. Glendale is making it more difficult for long time, tax paying, retired citizens on a fixed income to live here!! This is ill conceived ! No more new tax increases !!!
- I would like an explanation as to why this decision was made and a reassessment of this decision.
- I would like Glendale to reconsider and remain a part of NS Library with Fox Point and River Hills (and Bayside if they would reconsider as well). While I understand the motivation behind the decision to leave, I believe it was short-sighted and will prove to be cost prohibitive for Glendale to replicate the quality of staff and services currently offered by the NS Library on its own.
- I would prefer keeping shared expense of the library with the other communities of the North Shore (Fox Point and Bayside).
- I would rather have the North Shore library how it is than pay more in taxes for a similar situation, or pay even more just to have a few added bonuses.
- I would support tax increases if we did not already have an existing library (Northshore) at our disposal. The Northshore library seems more than adequate for the needs of Glendale residents. I have a post graduate education and am an avid reader.
- I'd need to know more about the potential benefits of Glendale having its own public library, as opposed to remaining part of the North Shore Library before I supported a tax increase.
- I'm not sure why Glendale is separating from the North Shore library but I feel it is a bad decision.
- I'm somewhat concerned that we're leaving a functional system to pay At least double for something we already have
- I'm still not sure I understand why we needed to break off. If north shore library is still there, I suspect I will use both libraries
- It doesn't make sense to leave a system and then charge us more...
- It is unfortunate that we did not do for our north shore library what we are doing now.
- Leave it the way it is. We had a GREAT DEAL.
- My husband and I are retired. I see no benefit to our family to leave the NS Library and have tax increases.
- No info has been provided on why Glendale is leaving North Shore. What is the nature of the dispute? This explanation should be provided on the City of Glendale website and sent out to residents.
- Question #10 was not clear. I do not understand why we would have to pay more than double the amount that is already being spent to get the same service. If this is the case, why is Glendale leaving the North Shore Library System? I also don't understand what is meant by a fully comprehensive library service and why it would cost \$200 to 250 more than we already pay. I thought that we already have a fully comprehensive service. This is confusing. I will put my email at the end and I would appreciate clarification.
- So - I would be taxed for use of the North Shore Library - in addition to being taxed for the new Glendale Library?
- There is absolutely no reason the four communities can't work out the problems to continue the NS Library. Every community doesn't need their own Library.
- There is significant financial economy to having a joint library. It is unclear to me why the Glendale Common Council voted to leave North Shore Library. Sharing the costs to build a new joint library would be a better solution.
- There is strength in unity. I think that by leaving the North Shore Library neither Glendale or the NSL will be able to provide the same programs and services that now exist.
- There was nothing wrong with going down the road to use the current North Shore Library. I don't want to pay more just so Glendale can have it's own library instead of using existing resources.

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- These options seem wildly skewed. The lowest option is more than doubling the cost from \$62.50 to \$132.50 for something similar to current service. It begs the question of why not stay with the Northshore system.
- This feels silly. Let's be adults and realize it's better to work together than apart. That is what we try to teach our kids. Go back to the drawing board. Figure out how to make this work with everyone. Have everyone raise the tax a little and build something awesome with more resources.
- This should have been explored prior to voting to leave the North Shore Library unless that decision can be reversed. I do not understand how a separate Glendale Public Library would provide greater benefits to our community than what we currently have, nor do I see how this could possibly be financially feasible without raising taxes.
- Very disappointed that the public was not consulted prior to making the decision to leave. Not in favor of providing any additional funding for a separate library. Do not think a future Glendale public library adds any value that wasn't already there with the current library.
- We are already part of the North Shore Library and do not need a separate Glendale library which would increase our taxes -- we are close enough to Shorewood and Whitefish Bay to use their fine libraries.
- We are one of the families that think NSL is perfectly fine and that Glendale taxes are too high.
- we are opposed to a separate library. If emergency services could be merged with other NS communities so should the library. TRY AGAIN WITH NEGOTIATIONS!!!
- We don't need our own library.
- We have one! Don't fix it if it ain't broke!
- Why are we branching out if it would cost households an additional \$75 per year or more to provide the same services we already have with the Northshore Library. Convince me of this need.
- Why are we leaving the North Shore Library? If it is going to cost more than staying, it seems like a stupid idea to leave. We are satisfied with the services provided by the North Shore Library.
- Why are we separating from the other NS communities to pay more in taxes to keep the same comparable services? It seems like some city officials need to learn to compromise and work together. It may not seem 'fair' that Glendale pays a greater share, which may be true, but it isn't fair to raise taxes and separate to keep the same level of library services just to prove a point. Glendale may have a larger population, but what about looking at who is using the Northshore Library and split the costs based on community usage? You should be able to pull that data since our drivers license was shown when signing up for a library card. Normally I would support a tax increase for the library, but doubling the taxes for the same service doesn't seem to make a whole lot of sense.
- Why can't we stay in the North Shore System??
- Why did Glendale leave the Milw. County system? This is not clear. Why pay more for our own system when we are in a good system now that only costs \$62.50?
- Why is this necessary. Info on this would be appreciated. I've found the staff at the North Shore Library to be helpful
- Why should we pay more for same or less service than we are getting through North Shore Library?
- Why would I want to pay \$75 more a year to get the same services we already receive from North Shore Library?
- WHY????????? When we already have a library.
- Wish we could leave it just as it is
- Would prefer to beautify and upgrade the North Shore Library. Has had a meaningful, useful place in my family's needs/life.

SUPPORT FOR MOVING FORWARD

- A fully functioning Library is as important to a community as is the Police, Schools and Fire departments.
- Aesthetics are important. I use the library for working on writing away from home. A feeling of beauty, spaciousness, and calm seems to be what any library should offer--besides all the books to browse among.
- Any tax increase that goes towards an excellent library is fine with me.

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Because we were the biggest user of the NS PL, I'd anticipate that we'd be able to create a very impressive public library.
- Don't be afraid to raise taxes. You're important.
- Franklin said that libraries are the cornerstone of democracy. Now, more than ever, all citizens require access to information and a public repository for that information in whatever form it is available. This is not the time to decrease public access to information in book form; it is the time to INCREASE access to all forms of information for citizens. It is also necessary that the library establish itself as a focal point of the community for activities and meetings. Whitefish Bay and Shorewood have refused to participate in the race to the bottom mentality so frequently taken by government officials. They have set library services as a public value and provided outstanding libraries for their citizens. It is time for Glendale to do the same as a matter of community pride.
- How could Glendale call itself a "community" without a state of the art library? Why would anyone consider not having the best possible library? Why would Glendale sponsor a race to the bottom of the literacy ladder?
- I believe having a space for the community to meet is increasingly important assuming it's promoted so there's awareness
- I believe public libraries are very important to a community.
- I feel strongly that the library should be well-funded but I would hope that there would be some moderation. Don't go crazy.
- I hope we can continue Glendale location with remodeled space
- I really like the Northshore Library and am happy with it. However, I understand the reasoning for creating a Glendale Library. I'm happy to support it if the tax impact is reasonable (I know everyone's definition of "reasonable" is different! But I think all of the potential increases mentioned in question #10 are pretty reasonable, given how important libraries are to communities.)
- I regard a public library as absolutely critical to a community. As a senior citizen, library services are so important to me as a recreational and educational facility.
- I think libraries are a cornerstone of a community. I realize that I am an outlier willing to pay much more for public libraries.
- I would wish to have similar services as at NS Library.
- I'd love to bring my kids to a library that is inviting and pleasing to adults and kids alike, that I don't have to travel the few extra minutes to go to WFB's or Shorewood's
- It's frustrating to see another operational referendum in Glendale. However, I think that a better library meets the needs of more residents throughout their lifetime than a school request which benefits a narrower band of residents for a short period of time. So I am much more supportive of a request in support of the library.
- Mailings, text messages to residents, phone calls (BLOCK CAPTAINS, in districts meeting with residents to raise FUNDS). More VOLUNTEER SUPPORT STAFF, important!
- Menomonee Falls has a nice library. You can't have a nice town without a nice library.
- My family has used the current library extensively during the last 30 years, while I was a home owner in Glendale. I believe a public library is an essential service.
- Personally, I would support the \$100-\$150 increase but I worry about families of more moderate incomes trying to make a go of it.
- This is a critical aspect of the infrastructure of democracy. Our democracy is fragile and support of accurate research-based information as well as common ground for gathering and discussion is one way that our small community can make a meaningful contribution of democracy in ours and our children's future.
- Very important to future of Glendale
- We enjoy the services currently provided through the existing library however, if there are additional costs that attain the benefits of additional services, I consider an additional tax may be required and approved to meet these additional service goals.
-

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- We must use this opportunity to improve our library facilities and programs. This is a vital community resource. It would be amazing if we could put it in Bayshore, helping grow and revitalize the area.
- We need to DUMP the other communities like Fox Point who just want to sponge off of Glendale. We need to break ground on the newest, greatest library in the history of Glendale.
- We would pay more than an additional \$75 for similar services.

CONCERNS ABOUT MOVING FORWARD

- A library is essential but I don't feel we need a new one.
- Future proof your ideas of what a library is or could be. Digital first. It's a hard landscape when you can learn about almost anything on YouTube and Google. How will this library enrich people's lives that doesn't already exist in our community or accessed online? I'd support tax increases that were truly innovative, tech oriented, local history focused, or creativity minded but based on these questions...that does not seem to be under consideration or on the radar.
- Glendale does not need a library.
- Glendale poorly spends their taxpayer dollars today and considerations from corporate partners should be the major funding source. The "Johnson Controls Public Library" for example, I would also support usage fees. However I would not support simply taxing me more. I think there are some smart folks running Glendale left and even meeting rooms could have sponsorship ("The Maglio Conference Room" for example or "the Sprecher Brewery quiet area"). In recent years the crime rate in Glendale has surpassed that National average, careful attention to attendees and their security should be considered.
- Glendale should continue to work with other communities.
- I do not agree with this initiative. Pass this out more rigorously to Parkway, Glen Hills, and Nicolet parents. See if they want you to do this.
- I do not believe Glendale alone can support a library by itself. The NS Library has all the features a good library should have.
- I do not see a tremendous benefit to separating from the rest of the north shore, I would have hoped things would work with adults figuring it out
- I do not support increasing taxes to support a new library when the current joint North Shore library provides all the services I consider necessary.
- I do not want my taxes increased to provide a building for free movie rentals.
- I feel there is better programs to spend our taxes. It is not your money and don't fix which is not broken.
- I live in Glendale but am within walking distance to the NSL, so I cannot see a reason to financially support the creation of another library.
- I object to paying for something I won't use.
- I want our library to stay as is
- I will lobby my neighbors not to support the unnecessary expense of building a new Glendale Library
- If new library would be in a different location & not within walking distance, then I wouldn't be able to visit it. Hence, I would not support a tax increase.
- If our services and the space remain the same we would have a hard time paying more in taxes.
- If the increase in taxpayer support is a lot greater than now, I'd have a hard time with that. I'm a senior on a fixed income.
- If there's going to be a tax increase we should really be getting more value than current state
- In some cases a short term increase for the early build stages could help agreeable if planned well.
- Milwaukee County taxes are way too high as it is
- My taxes better not go up. There is nothing wrong with the current library
- Not another "elitist" Glendale project. I have lived in Glendale decades and am looking to leave it if possible. This community is just for the elites with endless money pocket ideas. This is another big idea - like Bayshore Area Shopping District - now we make us a learning desert too!
- Our taxes are high enough! I hope this is not another boondoggle like Bayshore Town Center. Disappointed that our politicians could not work something out with the other communities.

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- Stop spending taxpayers funds when our economy is hurting. This is NOT the time for this project. too many people not able to work because of restrictions.
- Taxes will be going up under Pres. Biden, Nicolet will be asking for a building referendum. Residents can only support so much additional taxes.
- There are many older people living on a fixed income, in addition, Glendale's low income population is growing by leaps and bounds. Glendale cannot support a decent library! Fox Point, Bayside etc., have lucrative incomes. Stay with North Shore!
- There's LOTS of better ways to spend fifty or a hundred bucks per house than a mini-library.
- Unable to see an upside to creating our own library.
- We had a library before with these thinks, why pay more. I am sick of all these add ons. More federal taxes, school wants money and I am on disability. I cannot snap my fingers to earn more money. 35 years in same home same on you.
- We longtime residents of Glendale (I've lived here 50 years) have enough trouble keeping up with continual referendum increases for our schools. I would not support the building or obtaining a new library that would increase my taxes yet again.
- We're already taxed to death. How many more referendums do we need? Learn to be more fiscally responsible. That goes for the schools, too. Spend, spend, spend... Try budget, budget, budget.
- With the soon to be, depression, super inflation, care should be taken to plan for lower property taxes, lower home values and more unemployed in Glendale.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

- add business and corporate sponsors
- Any increased taxes for construction or remodeling of an existing building should have a sunset date which is followed and not extended.
- Are private donations going to be part of cost for maintenance and upkeep. You do not make clear if the \$75 is in addition to the \$62..50 or just an additional \$12.50 on top of the 62.50. The latter would be easier to accept. Thx-this will be a great undertaking!
- Are you asking if I'd support an increase of \$75, meaning the total amount would be \$138? Or an increase to the total of \$75? I'd support \$75 annually, but not more than doubling the annual cost.
- As an apartment resident of Glendale, I do not pay property tax. Therefore I will not be able comfortably make decisions at the expense for those who do pay property taxes.
- ask the Koch brothers or Rupert Murdoch
- Besides the homeowners other revenue sources should be considered. In Wisconsin the burden of services falls on the taxpayers and there should be other equitable ways for revenue considered.
- Could we still partner with some of the other communities? For capital costs, a joint venture with a residential or commercial property (coffee/sandwich shop) could be a beneficial option.
- Don't forget we have increases due to Nicolet renovations, keep this increase very low. Why not do some fundraising or private donations.
- Finding a spot or cultivating one that is pedestrian/ foot traffic friendly would be great.
- Get on it for the infrastructure bill! And start to hammer State government for funds, I drive around the State and other communities are getting a lot more funding then Milwaukee County. Stop wasting money on Bayshore.
- Get some big donors. Don't leave River Hills out of the mix. Let donors name some areas of the library. Be proud. This should have been done before. Glendale was North Shore because of the library. I would be willing to donate rather than a big tax increase. There needs to be community buy-in and pride in what a library can accomplish and how it can serve a community. It should be a hub. Can't believe Glendale quit without a plan. Maybe if we can see a plan we can see value. Right now we are losers and have nothing to get us excited about anything different. This is the time to rethink what a library should be and to make it representative of our best efforts. You might be surprised as to what volunteers can do, if proud and feel they make a difference.
- Glendale has always been City with a future and we should keep it that way.

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

- I believe that this is an issue that should be left to the voters to decide.
- I don't see the need for a new expensive building. A library is vital for a community. Why can't current
- I don't think many households in our Glendale community can sustainably and realistically support an increase of \$200-250/year, despite our desire for a new library that provides fully comprehensive library services.
- I don't understand if questions 9 and 10 are related. Q9 says taxpayers pay \$62.50 for current partnership at North Shore. Q10 asks what level of increase I'd be comfortable with. Does a \$75 increase translate to \$137.50 total tax burden annually ($\$62.50 + \$75 = \$137.50$) for same level of service as North Shore? Or does a \$75 increase translate to \$12.50 total tax burden annually ($\$75 - \$62.50 = \$12.50$)? If \$137.50 for similar services by moving out of North Shore partnership for same services, no way. If \$12.50 increase for similar services, then fine. But I do not fully understand why Glendale would leave the North Shore library system.
- I feel as though you will have more support if you can grab the attention of students. Make it a modern space conducive to their learning preferences rather than traditional set up
- I happen to live close to the N.Shore library, even if I walked there. I've gone to the Brown Deer Library if N.Shore didn't have something I knew was there. I've attended talks by public figures (county ex. , information about League of women Voters for example, at Brown Deer and White Fish Bay libraries. Brown Deer Library
- I might be willing to pay more if, e.g. there were a senior center or more recreational space for teens. I admire the Shorewood and Tosa libraries and would pay more for something of that caliber.
- I reluctantly put "\$75 increase". There needs to be a better solution to this problem!
- I support use of existing space if possible — at Bayshore?
- I think Glendale should look into grants, fundraising, gifts and donations to acquire the money to start up.
- I think it could also have supporting programs to help offset new costs too. Especially if it has several large meeting rooms (yoga, fitness class, etc.)
- I think you have to consider the financial environment of the post covid world and the selectivity of a survey monkey tool requesting information from people about a library initiative. Only those interested in libraries are going to fill out the survey which will skew your results.
- I would accept the decision made
- I would also like to see the city reappropriate tax funding from other programs/projects to support the operational costs of the library.
- I would at least like to see the same service that I have today. If the proposal is for more services or comprehensive services, I would have to know exactly what those additional services would be before I could make a decision about supporting the tax increase.
- I would expect at minimum we would receive the same type of services for a similar cost.
- I would have supported \$20 to \$25 but that was not an option above.
- I would like to be kept informed about the expenditure of funds collected for the library.
- I would need to see the plans. Also, is this a one time increase or will it continue annually?
- I would support a tax increase, however, I would not want to jump to an additional \$75.
- I wouldn't support a \$75 increase, but a smaller amount might be considered. Maybe between \$25 and \$50.
- I'm assuming Q10 is based on the assumption that Glendale would operate its own library, not shared with another community? How does that impact the estimated increases in the responses previously?
- Increased family and and children's programs, A Strong Children's Library that offers up to date tech options to practice, programs to teach children how to do create or do new innovative things. Better hours. Small group rooms for children and adults to work on projects or have meetings. A better connection with our public school and what students will be learning about/ benefiting from.
- It would be adequate to order books and other physical materials online, then pick them up at carry out location.
- It would be nice to start a library that is built on full-time, benefited, staff. That results in less turnover and stronger services. (Like Shorewood) If budgets are balanced by making most positions part-time with no

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY

benefits, then we'll have trouble keeping staff longterm. We'd get into a cycle of constantly training, which makes it difficult to offer consistently excellent services.


- It's the alderman who have pushed for this project let them pay not the residents
- Libraries are basically obsolete given the internet et al.
- Library does not have to have "everything".
- Like I said previously, I think this is a waste of time.
- Look to large businesses in the area to fund, similar to how Maslowski park and its athletic fields were funded.
- Maybe if you do mix used space wouldn't have to raise taxes, or operate another dept more efficiently
- My one concern is that I have contributed to the North Shore Library Foundation. I've only seen moderate changes following their fundraising. Would there be any way for a portion of those donations from Glendale residents to be redirected to the new Glendale library?
- My preference would be to align with the Brown Deer library with the hope that the combination would provide for greater access to materials for residents of both communities at no greater cost.
- Need to understand referendum, like how many years higher tax would apply.
- Needs a city wide referendum on this issue.
- Not looking for a tax increase, but certainly desire a lovely Glendale library.
- Number 10 is not a good question. What is the purpose to be achieved at each level of increase? What does fully comprehensive library services mean? There is no context given here for me to judge the value of any of these.
- Please allow public comment at future Library Review Committee meetings. Also conduct City Council Meetings in public session when dealing with the new library and the North Shore Library.
- Please consult w disability advisory groups to help w design of space, services, etc. thank you!
- Please do not censor books. Let parents decide what their young children read and let the rest of us read what we want. I will NOT support the library if it is a "nanny state"
- Private Donations would be another option
- Publicize the need for donations from businesses and Area Corporations. Even personal donations
- Publicize using RMD funds directed to 501 (c) (3) to Federal Tax ID number. It will reduce their tax liability..
- Question #10 is difficult to answer since I don't know what "more services" or "fully comprehensive library services" involves!!!
- Question 10 was difficult as we really don't know how the facilities/services might relate to those of the alternate facilities we frequent.
- Request tax-deductible donations, matching grants, etc.
- Rethink the old joint venture, why pay more to get less.
- solicit donations from businesses and individuals, and recognize their contributions within the new library.
- Space near City Hall or Bayshore would be excellent spaces for a new library.
- Start a go fund me page!
- thank you for the survey
- Thank you!
- Thanks to all who've made this survey possible.
- The North Shore library's presence in Glendale was a factor in my family's choice to buy a home here; it tells people that this community values education, self-betterment, access to valuable materials even for those unable to buy them and providing space for community groups, students, Shakespeare fans, preschoolers and parents and seniors to meet
- The northshore library should be neighborhood library. The ability to share materials through the county and online materials makes it not so important to have specific materials. The one thing I find I like looking at the books to make a selection is adult fiction.
- The parking situation has not been the most convenient. If there is an increase in library patrons, parking would most definitely have to be more efficient

FUNDING A FUTURE LIBRARY


- The staff members at the NS library are friendly, helpful, and very knowledgeable. Our family and grandchildren always feel welcome. We hope a Glendale library would hire staff members with similar expertise and communication skills.
- Thinking it may be wise to save the money and visit other libraries.
- Try to get donations or sponsors
- Undecided at this time
- use Voluntarism to pay for a library for those who volunteer to pay and use
- We expect transparency re the issues. Please post the details about the issues - otherwise it might seem like we are being punished because of big egos.
- We see very little promotion of the Glendale library among community businesses.
- We should be able to do the same with the money we spend now. Nicolet just had a referendum and may do another one in the near future.
- What does "fully comprehensive library services" actually entail??
- What is the over all expected cost to create a new library, including a physical site, stocking it with everything needed, staff, etc? Why is that not included in this survey?
- When and where would it be? What books and materials will we have?
- While I am willing to contribute funds toward the library, not everyone can afford that tax increase. It is my sincere hope that instead of assuming this will solely be funded through property tax increase, that the City of Glendale governance is taking a hard look at spending priorities. It is a difficult pill to swallow that we needed to spend over \$3 million on a new city hall building, but claim we have no money for a library. I realize that ship has sailed, but before we embark on new bike paths or whatever else is in the pipeline for major expenditures, a library needs to be treated as the priority it is.
- Would a new building be necessary? Or the remodel?
- Would like more adult book clubs.
- Would like to know more information re: Location, building design, costs proposed and finished, when project will start, and any future information that pertains to any final and future decisions available as time goes on..
- would like to see a full review of options carried out before a new expensive venture is pursued. Costs of any program should be shared across all taxpayers and likely in conjunction with some other groups and/or communities.

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION


PLEASE SELECT YOUR AGE RANGE.



PLEASE SELECT HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD, INCLUDING YOURSELF.




PLEASE SELECT THE AGES OF THE PEOPLE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD, INCLUDING YOURSELF. (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)




DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

PLEASE SELECT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES WITH WHICH YOU IDENTIFY. (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)



DO YOU RENT OR OWN YOUR CURRENT RESIDENCE?





Help us write our next chapter.

HOW YOU CAN HELP:

Please submit one survey per household by **April 30, 2021.**

What led to this moment?

In August 2020, the City of Glendale Common Council voted to give notice to leave the North Shore Library in 2023. The North Shore Library is a joint library comprising the member communities of Glendale, Fox Point, Bayside, and River Hills. After more than a year of discussion focused on improving the library building and enhancing library service to our community, Glendale made the decision to leave the joint library and move forward with a plan to provide library service that will better meet the needs of its residents..

What does this mean for Glendale?

We'll be using the results of this community survey to identify the types of public library service that best fit our community in Glendale.

PRIZES:

A random drawing among those who entered will be held for a **\$100 gift card** to each of the following:



Public Library Survey

1. Do you live in Glendale?

☐ Yes ☐ No

2. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, how often did you visit the North Shore Library?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Multiple times per week | ☐ Once every few months |
| ☐ Once per week | ☐ Never |
| ☐ Once or twice per month | |

(Survey continues on back)

3. What other libraries do you use, if any? (Please check all that apply.)

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| ☐ Brown Deer Public Library | ☐ Milwaukee Public Library | ☐ West Allis Public Library |
| ☐ Cudahy Family Library | ☐ Oak Creek Public Library | ☐ Whitefish Bay Public Library |
| ☐ Franklin Public Library | ☐ Shorewood Public Library | ☐ Other (please specify) _____ |
| ☐ Greendale Public Library | ☐ South Milwaukee Library | |
| ☐ Greenfield Public Library | ☐ St. Francis Public Library | |
| ☐ Hales Corner Public Library | ☐ Wauwatosa Public Library | |

4. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, which of the following reasons explain why you used a library other than the North Shore Library, if applicable? (Please check all that apply.)

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ The library I use has the materials that I want | ☐ The hours of operation of the library I use are convenient to me |
| ☐ The location of the library I use is more convenient to me than the North Shore Library | ☐ Other (please specify): _____ |
| ☐ I prefer the physical space of the library I use | |
| ☐ The library programs offered at the library I use are of interest to me | _____ |

5. As Glendale transitions from the joint North Shore Library, what do you hope for in library service?

6. How do you envision using a public library that is located in Glendale in the future? (Please check all that apply.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ Borrowing physical books | ☐ Reading, working, or studying in a quiet environment |
| ☐ Borrowing audio/visual materials (e.g., DVDs, CDs, video games) | ☐ Meeting with others or working collaboratively |
| ☐ Borrowing other physical materials (e.g., magazines, puzzles) | ☐ Using computers or other technology |
| ☐ Accessing electronic materials (e.g., e-books, e-audiobooks, genealogy resources, databases, downloadable content) | ☐ Using the Wi-Fi |
| ☐ Taking my children/grandchildren to visit | ☐ I do not envision using a public library located in Glendale in the future |
| ☐ Attending programs | ☐ Other (please specify): _____ |
-

(Survey continues on next page)

7. How important to you are the following attributes of a future public library in Glendale?
(Please mark with an X.)

	Extremely important	Very important	Moderately important	Slightly important	Not at all important
Access to computers and/or other technology					
Convenient location					
Access to the materials I want					
Space for adults to attend programs					
Space for children to attend programs					
Quiet area to read or work independently					
Space for students to work collaboratively					
Meeting space for community groups					

8. Please share any additional comments you have regarding a future public library in Glendale:

9. A \$250,000 home in Glendale **currently contributes \$62.50 in taxpayer support annually for public library service through the North Shore Library.**

Would you support the creation of the Glendale Public Library if it involves **increased taxpayer support?**

- ☐ Definitely yes
 ☐ Probably no
- ☐ Probably yes
 ☐ Definitely no
- ☐ Undecided

Operating expenses for a public library include costs such as staff, library materials, utility costs, and supplies.

Operating costs do not include expenses associated with construction or renovation of a library building.

10. What increase, if any, would you support through an operational referendum to help Glendale provide public library service? (Please check all that apply.)

Based on the yearly tax impact of a \$250,000 home, I would support a:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| ☐ \$75 increase: The City of Glendale should provide similar service to what is available through the North Shore Library | ☐ \$100 to 150 increase: The City of Glendale should provide more services than currently available through the North Shore Library | ☐ \$200 to 250 increase: The City of Glendale should provide fully comprehensive library services |
| | | ☐ I would not support any tax increase |

11. Please provide any additional comments regarding the funding of a future public library in Glendale:

12. Please select your age range.

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| ☐ 17 years and under | ☐ 36 – 50 years | ☐ 81 years and over |
| ☐ 18 – 24 years | ☐ 51 – 64 years | ☐ Prefer not to answer |
| ☐ 25 – 35 years | ☐ 65 – 80 years | |

13. Please select how many people live in your household, including yourself.

- | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| ☐ 1 | ☐ 4 | ☐ Prefer not to answer |
| ☐ 2 | ☐ 5 | |
| ☐ 3 | ☐ 6 or more | |

14. Please select the ages of the people in your household, including yourself. (Please check all that apply.)

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| ☐ 5 years and under | ☐ 25 – 35 years | ☐ 81 years and over |
| ☐ 6 – 12 years | ☐ 36 – 50 years | ☐ Prefer not to answer |
| ☐ 13 – 17 years | ☐ 51 – 64 years | |
| ☐ 18 – 24 years | ☐ 65 – 80 years | |

15. Please select the following categories with which you identify. (Please check all that apply.)

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| ☐ White | ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native | ☐ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander |
| ☐ Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin | ☐ Middle Eastern or North African | ☐ Some other race, ethnicity, or origin |
| ☐ Black | | ☐ Prefer not to answer |
| ☐ Asian | | |

16. Do you rent or own your current residence?

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| ☐ I own my home | ☐ I rent my home | ☐ Prefer not to answer |
|--|---|---|

17. OPTIONAL: Please include your contact information to enter for a chance to win a gift card.

Email address: _____ Daytime phone number: _____

CROSS-TABULATIONS

HOW DO YOU ENVISION USING A PUBLIC LIBRARY THAT IS LOCATED IN GLENDALE IN THE FUTURE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BY RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY

	17 years and under	18 - 24 years	25 - 35 years	36 - 50 years	51 - 64 years	65 - 80 years	81 years and over
Borrowing physical books	2 (100.0%)	4 (100.0%)	89 (86.4%)	146 (89.0%)	103 (76.3%)	191 (87.6%)	25 (80.6%)
Borrowing audio/visual materials	0 (0.0%)	2 (50.0%)	51 (49.5%)	101 (61.6%)	78 (57.8%)	131 (60.1%)	16 (51.6%)
Borrowing other physical materials	0 (0.0%)	3 (75.0%)	23 (22.3%)	46 (28.0%)	42 (31.1%)	55 (25.2%)	12 (38.7%)
Accessing electronic materials	1 (50.0%)	3 (75.0%)	56 (54.4%)	98 (59.8%)	79 (58.5%)	103 (47.2%)	8 (25.8%)
Taking my children/grandchildren to visit	0 (0.0%)	3 (75.0%)	73 (70.9%)	109 (66.5%)	41 (30.4%)	75 (34.4%)	9 (29.0%)
Attending programs	0 (0.0%)	2 (50.0%)	66 (64.1%)	101 (61.6%)	64 (47.4%)	114 (52.3%)	16 (51.6%)
Reading, working, or studying in a quiet environment	2 (100.0%)	4 (100.0%)	46 (44.7%)	72 (43.9%)	47 (34.8%)	59 (27.1%)	4 12.9%)
Meeting with others or working collaboratively	0 (0.0%)	3 (75.0%)	23 (22.3%)	52 (31.7%)	39 (28.9%)	34 (15.6%)	2 (6.5%)
Using computers or other technology	0 (0.0%)	3 (75.0%)	16 (15.5%)	28 (17.1%)	33 (24.4%)	43 (19.7%)	7 (22.6%)
Using the Wi-Fi	2 (100.0%)	3 (75.0%)	25 (24.3%)	44 (26.8%)	40 (29.6%)	24 (11.0%)	2 (6.5%)
I do not envision using a public library located in Glendale in the future	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	6 (3.7%)	14 (10.4%)	9 (4.1%)	2 (6.5%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (5.8%)	14 (8.5%)	12 (8.9%)	24 (11.0%)	4 (12.9%)
Total Respondents	2	4	103	164	135	218	31

A \$250,000 home in Glendale currently contributes \$62.50 in taxpayer support annually for public library service through the North Shore Library.

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?

BY RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY

	17 years and under	18 - 24 years	25 - 35 years	36 - 50 years	51 - 64 years	65 - 80 years	81 years and over
Definitely yes	2 (100.0%)	1 (25.0%)	37 (35.9%)	70 (42.7%)	33 (24.4%)	78 (36.1%)	10 (31.3%)
Probably no	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	41 (39.8%)	49 (29.9%)	47 (34.8%)	64 (29.6%)	11 (34.4%)
Undecided	0 (0.0%)	2 (50.0%)	10 (9.7%)	24 (14.6%)	17 (12.6%)	38 (17.6%)	5 (15.6%)
Probably no	0 (0.0%)	1 (25.0%)	7 (6.8%)	11 (6.7%)	15 (11.1%)	16 (7.4%)	2 (6.3%)
Definitely no	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (7.8%)	10 (6.1%)	23 (17.0%)	20 (9.3%)	4 (12.5%)
Total Respondents	2 (100.0%)	4 (100.0%)	103 (100.0%)	164 (100.0%)	135 (100.0%)	216 (100.0%)	32 (100.0%)

What increase, if any, would you support through an operational referendum to help Glendale provide public library service? (Please check all that apply.)

**BASED ON THE YEARLY TAX IMPACT OF A \$250,000 HOME,
I WOULD SUPPORT A:**

BY RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY

	17 years and under	18 - 24 years	25 - 35 years	36 - 50 years	51 - 64 years	65 - 80 years	81 years and over
\$75 increase	2 (100.0%)	1 (25.0%)	48 (47.1%)	65 (41.9%)	57 (42.9%)	109 (51.9%)	12 (40.0%)
\$100 to \$150 increase	1 (50.0%)	0	42 (41.2%)	54 (34.8%)	32 (24.1%)	49 (23.3%)	9 (30.0%)
\$200 to \$250 increase	1 (50.0%)	1 (25.0%)	12 (11.8%)	31 (20.0%)	8 (6.0%)	12 (5.7%)	2 (6.7%)
I would not support any tax increase	0 (0.0%)	2 (50.0%)	17 (16.7%)	28 (18.1%)	40 (30.1%)	46 (21.9%)	9 (30.0%)
Total Respondents	2	4	102	155	133	210	30

HOW DO YOU ENVISION USING A PUBLIC LIBRARY THAT IS LOCATED IN GLENDALE IN THE FUTURE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BY AGES OF YOUTH LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

	5 years and under	6 – 12 years	13 – 17 years
Borrowing physical books	105 (92.9%)	98 (96.1%)	63 (90.0%)
Borrowing audio/visual materials	69 (61.1%)	69 (67.6%)	45 (64.3%)
Borrowing other physical materials	33 (29.2%)	29 (28.4%)	19 (27.1%)
Accessing electronic materials	61 (54.0%)	60 (58.8%)	45 (64.3%)
Taking my children/grandchildren to visit	110 (97.3%)	88 (86.3%)	39 (55.7%)
Attending programs	89 (78.8%)	72 (70.6%)	42 (60.0%)
Reading, working, or studying in a quiet environment	46 (40.7%)	50 (49.0%)	38 (54.3%)
Meeting with others or working collaboratively	30 (26.5%)	33 (32.4%)	26 (37.1%)
Using computers or other technology	17 (15.0%)	22 (21.6%)	16 (22.9%)
Using the Wi-Fi	27 (23.9%)	31 (30.4%)	27 (38.6%)
I do not envision using a public library located in Glendale in the future	1 (0.9%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.9%)
Other	7 (6.2%)	12 (11.8%)	4 (5.7%)
Total Respondents	113	102	70

A \$250,000 home in Glendale currently contributes \$62.50 in taxpayer support annually for public library service through the North Shore Library.

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?

BY AGES OF YOUTH LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

	17 years and under	18 - 24 years	25 - 35 years
Definitely yes	47 (41.6%)	52 (51.0%)	27 (38.6%)
Probably no	42 (37.2%)	30 (29.4%)	20 (28.6%)
Undecided	11 (9.7%)	11 (10.8%)	11 (15.7%)
Probably no	7 (6.2%)	5 (4.9%)	8 (11.4%)
Definitely no	6 (5.3%)	4 (3.9%)	4 (5.7%)
Total Respondents	113 (100.0%)	102 (100.0%)	70 (100.0%)

What increase, if any, would you support through an operational referendum to help Glendale provide public library service? (Please check all that apply.)

**BASED ON THE YEARLY TAX IMPACT OF A \$250,000 HOME,
I WOULD SUPPORT A:**

BY AGES OF YOUTH LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD

	17 years and under	18 - 24 years	25 - 35 years
\$75 increase	50 (44.6%)	46 (46.0%)	31 (45.6%)
\$100 to \$150 increase	46 (41.1%)	40 (40.0%)	22 (32.4%)
\$200 to \$250 increase	15 (13.4%)	18 (18.0%)	10 (14.7%)
I would not support any tax increase	17 (15.2%)	11 (11.0%)	14 (20.6%)
Total Respondents	112	100	68

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


INTRODUCTION

During April 2021, the City of Glendale sought input from residents on what the community desired for public library service. Surveys were disseminated electronically via social media, e-blasts, the City of Glendale website, and among community partners. Paper copies of the survey were made available to residents as requested.

The City of Glendale received 719 survey responses, and of those, 96.8% of respondents reported that they lived in Glendale.


A \$250,000 HOME IN GLENDALE CURRENTLY CONTRIBUTES \$62.50 IN TAXPAYER SUPPORT ANNUALLY FOR PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE THROUGH THE NORTH SHORE LIBRARY.

WOULD YOU SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE GLENDALE PUBLIC LIBRARY IF IT INVOLVES INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT?




HOW DO YOU ENVISION USING A PUBLIC LIBRARY THAT IS LOCATED IN GLENDALE IN THE FUTURE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BY SUPPORT FOR LIBRARY IF IT INCREASED TAXPAYER SUPPORT



WHAT INCREASE, IF ANY, WOULD YOU SUPPORT THROUGH AN OPERATIONAL REFERENDUM TO HELP GLENDALE PROVIDE PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE? (PLEASE CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.)

BASED ON THE YEARLY TAX IMPACT OF A \$250,000 HOME, I WOULD SUPPORT A:



ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHTED SCORE
Access to the materials I want	4.44
Convenient location	4.25
Space for children to attend programs	4.00
Meeting space for community groups	3.66
Space for students to work collaboratively	3.52
Quiet area to read or work independently	3.51
Space for adults to attend programs	3.50
Access to computers and/or other technology	3.31

WEIGHTS:
 5: Extremely important
 4: Very important
 3: Moderately important
 2: Slightly important
 1: Not at all important

KEY THEMES & INSIGHTS

CONVENIENCE: Respondents wanted their library to be convenient to their lives—including location, accessibility, and available materials and resources.

PUBLIC AWARENESS: Numerous survey respondents expressed limited awareness regarding the City of Glendale's transition from the North Shore Library.

COMMON DESIRED ATTRIBUTES OF A PUBLIC LIBRARY: Survey respondents expressed demand for easy access to materials, including through the Milwaukee County Federated Library System; a robust children's space; and the potential for a public library's role as a third place in the community.

- Use of other public libraries is not strongly correlated with support of potential tax increase.
- Residents use other libraries out of convenience and for amenities, such as larger collections and larger, more updated spaces.
- Resident support for a library-related tax increase does not monolithically align with resident usage of the current library.
- Regardless of their support of a potential tax increase, respondents primarily envision borrowing physical books, audio/visual materials, and electronic materials; attending programs; and taking children/grandchildren to the library.

North Shore Water Commission

Sale of Property Associated with WisDOT I-43 Expansion Project

June 4, 2021

Eric Kiefer

The Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) is purchasing properties to prepare for a project to expand I-43 and make other improvements in the vicinity of the Water Filtration Plant. During the design phase of this project, WisDOT determined that it would be necessary to purchase a strip of property along the west side of N. Jean Nicolet Rd. This spring WisDOT retained the services of Hicks Company Inc. to prepare an appraisal of said property.

Plant staff carefully reviewed the appraisal and the stakes that were placed during the property survey and determined that the sale of the property does not adversely impact the Commission.

The North Shore Water Commission (Commission) reviewed the appraisal and WisDOT's agreement for purchase of real estate at the April meeting. Attorney John Fuchs, who is representing the Commission, reviewed the matter and determined that the Commission is not authorized to approve the sale of real estate because the member utilities are the tenants in common. The governing bodies of each member municipality must approve the sale of property or authorize the Commission to approve the sale.

After that meeting, Whitefish Bay representatives reviewed the appraisal and determined that it would be appropriate to retain the services of a qualified firm to get an independent appraisal, as allowed by Wisconsin Statutes. Attorney Christopher Jaekels, who is representing the Village of Whitefish Bay, coordinated that effort. On June 3, 2021, the independent appraisal was finalized and WisDOT was duly notified. The original appraisal places the value of the property at \$58,900; the independent appraisal is at \$61,600.

Based on the latest appraisal, it appears that a sale price of \$61,600 is fair. I recommend that the member municipalities use the resolution prepared by Attorney John Fuchs to authorize the sale of property.

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CITY OF GLENDALE
VILLAGE OF FOX POINT
VILLAGE OF WHITEFISH BAY

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

A JOINT RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF LAND

WHEREAS, The City of Glendale, and the Villages of Fox Point and Whitefish Bay are the joint owners/members of the North Shore Water Commission (hereinafter “Member Municipalities”), and

WHEREAS, the Member Municipalities jointly own the real estate commonly known as 516 West Bender Rd., and more particularly described on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein (hereinafter “The Property”), and

WHEREAS, The Property of the Member Municipalities is the premises upon which the North Shore Water Commission plant and facilities are constructed and operated, and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation desires to acquire that portion of The Property described and depicted on exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein (hereinafter “The Acquired Property”), and

WHEREAS the Department of Transportation has appraised the Acquired Property as having a total value of \$58,900 and the North Shore Water Commission has procured an appraisal indicating a total valuation of the Acquired Property in the sum of \$61,600., and

WHEREAS the respective legal counsel to the North Shore Water Commission and the Member Municipalities advise that the taking is for a legitimate purpose and a legal exercise of the power of eminent domain,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Glendale, and the Village Presidents and the Village Boards of the Villages of

Whitefish and Fox Point, individually and jointly authorize the sale of the Acquired Property to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation in the sum of \$61,600.

ADOPTED this ____ day of June, 2021.

Countersigned:

Bryan Kennedy, City of Glendale Mayor

Megan Humitz, City Clerk

Kevin Buckley, Village President

Erin Granstrom, Village Clerk

Douglas H. Frazer, Village President

Kelly Meyer, Village Clerk



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Application for Transient Merchant Permit filed by Tree-Ripe Fruit Co.

FROM: Megan Humitz, City Clerk

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	N/A
Budgeted Expenditure:	N/A
Budgeted Revenue:	\$500.00

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	N/A
Municipal Code:	7.4

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

Application was approved by the City of Glendale Police Department. Tree-Ripe Fruit Co. is a new applicant for the City of Glendale. Tree-Ripe Fruit Co. will operate out of the parking lot at Village Outdoor Living, located at 6075 N. Green Bay Avenue, from 3:00-4:30 p.m. on June 29th and July 27th.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the application from Tree-Ripe Fruit Co. for a Transient Merchant Permit.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to approve the Application for a Transient Merchant Permit filed by Tree-Ripe Fruit Co. for the period ending December 2021.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. None.



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Review and Acceptance of Tree Donation from Mrs. Cathy Borman

FROM: Charlie Imig, Director of Public Works

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	General Fund
Budgeted Expenditure:	\$250
Budgeted Revenue:	\$450

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	
Municipal Code:	

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

The Public Works Department was contacted by Mrs. Cathy Boorman, a Glendale resident that lives in the Crestwood neighborhood. Mrs. Boorman would like to donate \$450.00 for a memorial tree with a plaque in memory of her late husband in Wingate Park. The memorial tree will be a Fall Fiesta Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum* 'Bailsta').

Fall Fiesta Sugar Maples are native to the Midwest and do well when sited away from roads. It can be used as a focal point for fall color or as an accent tree, making it a perfect memorial tree. Additionally, staff has previously cut down several hazard trees in this Park creating a need for new trees.

City staff will plant this tree, set the plaque and supply wood chips. Additionally, Staff will determine best locations for the planting.

The cost for City Staff to assist with this project is estimated at around \$250. The Public Works Department has sufficient funds to cover these costs. The Public Works Department will send out an official thank you letter to Mrs. Boorman for her donation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept donation of \$450.00 to cover the cost of purchase for 2 inch ball in burlap Fall Fiesta Sugar Maple tree and 8 inch by 8 inch memorial plaque.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to approve the acceptance of \$450.00 to cover the cost of purchase for 2 inch ball in burlap Fall Fiesta Sugar Maple tree and 8 inch by 8 inch memorial plaque.

ATTACHMENTS:

None



SUBJECT: Review and Approval of Bid for the 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation

FROM: Charlie Imig, Director of Public Works

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	Water/Sanitary
Budgeted Expenditure:	Contracted Services
Budgeted Revenue:	N/A

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	N/A
Municipal Code:	N/A

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

Two bids were received, reviewed and tabulated for the 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation project. Upon confirmation of the bid documents, Mid City Corporation was the low bidder. This project falls under the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) trans 220 project agreement and 90% of the costs are reimbursed by the WisDOT per the signed Agreement for Design Project ID: 1228-22-01. The City of Glendale's share of construction is estimated to be \$19,474 after final reimbursement. The estimated construction inspection costs are \$40,000. These inspection costs are also 90% reimbursable per the signed WisDOT agreement.

- | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Mid City Corporation: | \$ 194,740.00 |
| 2. Globe Contractors, Inc.: | \$ 198,260.00 |

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City award a contract to the low responsible bidder, Mid City Corporation in the amount of \$194,740.00. Mid City Corporation is an established contractor in the area and has performed well on other similar construction projects in the City. Additionally, Mid City Corporation is currently the contractor for the 2021 Watermain Improvement Project

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to accept the low bid and award of contract Mid City Corporation for the base bid amount of \$194,740.00 for the 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation project.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Engineer Recommendation Letter



June 3, 2021

Charlie Imig
Director of Public Works
City of Glendale
5909 N. Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

Re: 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation

Dear Charlie,

I reviewed and tabulated the two bids received for the 2021 Hampton Avenue Off Ramp – Hydrant & Sanitary Manhole Relocation project and confirmed that the as-read low bidder, Mid City Corporation is the low bidder for this project. The bid amounts received are as follows:

Mid City Corporation:	\$ 194,740.00
Globe Contractors Inc.:	\$ 198,260.00

The bid tabs for this project are attached.

Clark Dietz recommends that the City award a contract to the low responsible bidder, **Mid City Corporation**, for the base bid amount of **\$ 194,740.00**. Mid City is a qualified contractor in the City of Glendale and is currently under contract with the City for the 2021 Watermain Improvement Project that is currently in progress.

This project falls under the WisDOT trans 220 project agreement and 90% of the costs are reimbursed by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation per the signed Agreement for Design Project ID: 1228-22-01. The City of Glendale's share of construction is estimated to be \$19,474 after final reimbursement.

The estimated construction inspection costs are \$40,000. These inspection costs are also 90% reimbursable per the signed WisDOT agreement.

The allowable construction period for this project runs until Dec 31st, 2022 and is dependent on the I-43 construction schedule.

If you have any questions or require additional information regarding this matter, please contact our office.

Sincerely,

Mustafa Emir, PhD, PE
City Engineer
Clark Dietz, Inc.



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Review and Approval of Wisconsin Department of Transportation Traffic Mitigation Grant/Agreement for 2021

FROM: Charlie Imig, Director of Public Works

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	Mitigation Grant
Budgeted Expenditure:	\$100,000
Budgeted Revenue:	\$100,000

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	
Municipal Code:	

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

The Wisconsin Department of Transportation would like to enter into an agreement with the Department of Public Works (DPW) to provide funds for traffic mitigation impacts related to the I-43 URT project. Funds will be used to reimburse DPW for the implementation of intersection improvements, pavement maintenance and restoration, signal timing and equipment improvements, signing and pavement marking improvements and other activities associated with the I-43 URT project. Funding amount is \$100,000 for 2021, additional contracts will be executed for services in 2022 and 2023. The Common Council has already approved a similar agreement with for the Glendale Police Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Public Works Department will track costs to determine if future funding allocations need to be revised. Staff recommends approval of the Agreement between the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and the Glendale Department of Public Works for 2021 Traffic Mitigation related to the I-43 URT Project.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to approve the Agreement between the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and the Glendale Department of Public Works for 2021 Traffic Mitigation related to the I-43 URT Project.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Agreement between the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and the Glendale Department of Public Works for 2021 Traffic Mitigation related to the I-43 URT Project.

AGREEMENT BETWEEN
THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AND
THE CITY OF GLENDALE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
State Project I.D. 1228-22-97
Contract No. 1
2021 - 2023 Traffic Mitigation
I-43 URT Project

This agreement is made and entered into between the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (DEPARTMENT) and the City of Glendale Department of Public Works (CITY) provides for services as described herein. The Wisconsin Department of Transportation and City of Glendale Department of Public Works are partnering during the I-43 URT Project (PROJECT) to ensure safer and more efficient travel in the City of Glendale.

The DEPARTMENT advises implementation of a comprehensive and cost-effective transportation management plan that will help ensure the safety, accessibility and mobility for the traveling public in the PROJECT area. The PROJECT area is defined as interstate IH 43 from West Capitol Drive on the south to 2100 ft north of West Hampton Avenue on the north, including ramps (N Green Bay Avenue, W Fiebrantz Avenue, N Port Washington Road, and W Hampton Avenue) within the 1.462 mile project corridor. Included in the PROJECT area are local alternate route streets within two miles of the interstate roadways.

To mitigate traffic impacts caused by the PROJECT, the DEPARTMENT will provide **\$100,000** to the CITY. In turn, the CITY will provide planning, coordination and staffing services (as outlined below) in an effort to mitigate traffic impacts in the PROJECT area and stay informed of PROJECT roadway closures and construction progress for a 3-year period commencing on May 1, 2021 and ending on December 22, 2023.

The monies provided by this agreement will allow for dedicated staff to assist in:

- Implement intersection improvements
- Pavement maintenance and restoration
- Signal timing and equipment improvements
- Signing and pavement marking improvements

Project Approval Process

For all signal hardware, signing, and/or pavement marking work, the CITY and DEPARTMENT shall agree upon the location(s) and specifications of proposed work. The CITY shall provide a benefit/cost analysis to the DEPARTMENT for work exceeding \$25,000. The DEPARTMENT will review the proposal and provide approval/disapproval for the work. The CITY may perform work under this contract without prior agreement from the DEPARTMENT in the event of an emergency or unsafe condition.

Basis of Payment

The CITY shall charge actual costs incurred and attributable to the work performed and described above under this agreement to the DEPARTMENT. The DEPARTMENT will provide to the CITY reimbursable funding for these functions in the amount of **\$100,000**. Total reimbursement to the CITY for traffic mitigation measures under this agreement will not exceed **\$100,000**. Billings shall be directed by the CITY to the attention of Steven Kuhl, Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Southeast Region, 141 NW Barstow Street, P.O. Box 798, Waukesha, WI 53187-0798 and will include a statement of expenses supported by a description of items and services provided and expended. The DEPARTMENT shall pay the bill promptly upon receipt thereof.

Notifications

Other correspondence and notifications required under this agreement shall be given as follows:

Notice to the City:

Charlie Imig
Director of Public Works
5909 N. Milwaukee River Pkwy
Glendale, WI 53209
(414) 228-1710
c.imig@glendalewi.gov

Notice to the Department:

Steven Kuhl
I-43 URT Project
WisDOT SE Region - Southeast Freeways PDS
141 NW Barstow
Waukesha, WI 53187-0798
(414) 531-6932
steven.kuhl@dot.wi.gov

Signatures

This agreement may only be amended by a written document signed by each of the parties hereto.

APPROVED _____
For the City of Glendale Development Department Date

APPROVED _____
For the City of Glendale Department of Administration Date

APPROVED _____
For the Wisconsin Department of Transportation Date

APPROVED _____
Governor, State of Wisconsin Date



5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway
Glendale, WI 53209

SUBJECT: Application for change of zoning 5201 N. Port Washington Road from B-1 Business and Commercial to Planned Unit Development for a Chick-fil-A–Referral to Plan Commission.

FROM: Rachel Safstrom, City Administrator

MEETING DATE: June 14, 2021

FISCAL SUMMARY:

Budget Summary:	N/A
Budgeted Expenditure:	N/A
Budgeted Revenue:	N/A

STATUTORY REFERENCE:

Wisconsin Statutes:	N/A
Municipal Code:	13.1.34

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS:

Attached is a request from HR Green, Inc. to rezone 5201 N. Port Washington Road to Planned Unit Development for a Chick-fil-A.

Current zoning of the property is B-1. Attached are draft plans.

RECOMMENDATION:

Attached are draft plans.

ACTION REQUESTED:

Motion to refer the application for change of zoning 5201 N. Port Washington Road from B-1 Business and Commercial to Planned Unit Development to Plan Commission.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Application for rezoning.
2. Draft Plans

[Elevations and Perspectives](#)

[Chick-fil-A Site Plans](#)

[WI DTO Preliminary Land Title Survey](#)

[Preliminary Auto-Turn for Box Truck & Fire Truck](#)

[Preliminary Left Turn Lane Exhibit](#)

[Sign Package](#)

[MCLIO Aerial 2020 Cadastral](#)

[Traffic Impact Analysis](#)

[Stormwater Management Report](#)

[Exterior Lighting Cuts Submittal](#)


[Project Narrative](#)



CITY OF GLENDALE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
5909 NORTH MILWAUKEE RIVER PARKWAY
GLENDALE, WISCONSIN 53209-3815
PHONE: (414) 228-1704 or (414) 228-1742
FAX: (414) 228-1725
WWW.GLENDALE-WI.ORG

APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF ZONING
Application Fee: \$250 (\$500 for Planned Development)

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name of Applicant: HR Green, Inc. (Joseph Vavrina)
Name of Business Owner (if different from applicant): Chick-fil-A, Inc.
Business Name: Chick-fil-A, Inc.
Address of Applicant: 3980 Albany Street, Suite 4
City, State, Zip: McHenry, IL 60050
Office Phone Number: (815) 759-1778 Cell: (815) 482-7868 Fax: _____
Applicant E-Mail: jvavrina@hrgreen.com
Applicant Signature:  Date: May 25, 2021

PROPERTY INFORMATION

(Please use additional application forms if re-zoning more than one parcel)

Current Zoning of Property: B-1 Business & Commercial Proposed Zoning of Property: PUD
Current Use of Property: Office Building Proposed Use of Property: Drive-thru Restaurant
Property Address (or addresses): 5201 North Port Washington Road
Property Owner (s) (if different from applicant): R&Z Holdings (c/o Roger Mixter)
Property Owner Address: 5201 North Port Washington Road
City, State, Zip: Glendale, WI 53217
Property Owner Phone: (414) 963-0500 Cell: (414) 916-8866 Fax: _____
Tax Key Number: 1969000001
Lot Size: Depth: 452 feet Width: 156 feet Area: 42,828 SF (0.98 acres)

PROPOSAL INFORMATION

Type of Business: Quick Service Restaurant
Reason for Seeking a Change In Zoning: Change in zoning is being requested in order to allow for the proposed drive-thru lane which is not allowed by right in the current B-1 zoning district. Also to reduce the required landscape yard depth which cannot be met along the west property line.

Who is the Primary Contact for This Project: HR Green, Inc. (c/o Joseph Vavrina)
Contact Phone Number: (815) 759-8363 Cell: (815) 482-7868 Fax: _____
Contact E-Mail: jvavrina@hrgreen.com

Please See Reverse Side of Application for Additional Submittal Requirements

CITY OF GLENDALE USE ONLY

Total Fee: _____ Application Date: _____ Hearing Date: _____ Time: _____

